Previous references.
S.R. 1806/91-92

1892.
191
(Sep. Rev.)

SR **File No.** 3786/92-93
Serial Nos. 1-54.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-54.

Abajor ~~**Part**~~ **B. for** April 1899

SUBJECT

Transport of liquor through British Territories.

---

indexed

881. From the D. C. Tumkur.
No: 192. 25.7.92.
Submits for orders together with records copy of his Court order No: 3485/478 of 23/6/92 in Revision case No: 1140/592 accused Ramaya & 40 others charged under Sections 21 & 41 of the Excise act.

882. To ——— do —
No: 2438/A 3992-92 15.8.92.
Calls for a statement of cases in which inhabitants of Mysore have been prosecuted & convicted by British Magistrates for conveying liquor thro' British territory to places situated in Mysore without a pass from their officers.

G. R. S. 58

GPB—4,000—4-7-11

883 To — the Excise Comr.
No: 2439/A 3994-92 15.8.92.
Forwards letter No: 192 for Submission & his Report

No: 700
63

19.8.92.

Requests that the papers relating to case alluded to by the W.T. Tumkur may be called for and sent for reference.

Sl 5. From the W.T. Tumkur.

No. 307.

9.9.92

Submits the statements asked for in No: 2438/R 343-92 of 15.8.92

Sl 6. To the Excise Comr.

No: 4627
R 7 100 92

14.9.92

Forwards the above with reference to his letter No 983/53 dated 19th August 92

Sl 7. From — do — —

No 1893
96

24.9.92.

Submits his remarks on the above.

Sl 8. To — do — — to the Govt Advocate & to the W.T. Tumkur.

No 9780-2
R 7 100 92

23.12.92

Forwards to the Govt Advocate for his opinion certain correspondence relating to the proposal to move the high court of Madras to revise the convictions by British magistrates of Mysore inhabitants for conveying Mysore Toddy through British Territory without passes from British authorities.

Sl 9. From the Govt Advocate.

No: 10.

25.1.93

Submits his opinion in the matter of a petition to be presented to the High Court of Madras.

Sl 10. To the W.T. Tumkur.

No: 13453
R. 7 100 92

30.1.93

Requests to furnish with copies of judgements of the magistrate of Madakasira, prosecuting & convicting the inhabitants of the Mysore State for conveying Mysore liquor thro' British Territory

Sl 11. From — do — — — —

No: 752.

14.3.93.

Submits copy of his letter to the Govt magistrate of Anantapur & that of his reply thereto stating that application for copies of judgements in such cases referred to should be made through the Madras Government

Sl 12. To the T.A. Resident.

No: 2396
R 7 100 92

16.7.93

Requests to furnish with copies of judgements in all cases on the file of the Taluq Magistrate of Madakasira in which the inhabitants of the Mysore State were prosecuted and convicted under

Sl. 13: From the J. A. Resident.

No: 2777/310-93 — 15. 8. 93.

Requests to furnish with fuller particulars in re. copies of judgements in criminal cases required by the Wurbar.

Sl. 14. To the W. E. Tumkur.

No: 4135/R.7.100-92 — 1. 9. 93.

Forwards the above copy for submission of the particulars required.

Sl. 15. From the —do—.

No: 238. — 12. 9. 93.

Requests to send the original Statement submitted with No. 307 R.9 7/92 may be kindly sent to for reference

Sl. 16. To —do—

No: 10718/R.7.100.92 — 5. 12. 93.

Refers the W. E. to No: 13453/R.7.100-92

Sl. 17. From —do—

No: 754 — 19. 3. 94.

Submits a Statement showing the name etc of persons who were convicted by the British magistrates for having conveyed liquor across their frontier without their pass.

Sl. 18. To the J. A. Resident.

No: 16770/R.7.100.92 — 2. 4. 94.

Forwards a Statement giving full particulars of the cases in which copies of judgement were applied for in letter of the 16th July 93.

Sl. 19. From the 7. A. Resident.

No: 1650/310-93 10. 3. 94.

Enquires whether the Durbar will meet the charges for stamped paper required for copies of judgements in certain criminal cases on the file of the Dist Magistrate of Ananthapur.

Sl 20. To — do ——

No: 22854/R. 7. 100-92 21. 6. 94.

States that the charges will be paid on intimation of the amount and that the copies may be prepared in anticipation & sends Rs 100 as an advance.

Sl 21. From — do ——

No: 2896/310-93 21. 8. 94.

Forwards for compliance copy of letter from the Dist Magistrate of Ananthapur in regard to copies of certain judgement required by the Durbar.

Sl 22. To the W. E. Turnkin.

No: 3665/R. 7. 100-95. 30. 8. 94.

Forwards the above for information and report.

Sl 23. From the 7. A. Resident.

No: 3478/310-93 4. 10. 94.

Forwards copy of letter no: 342 dated 25 in Sept 94 from the Dist Magistrate of Ananthapur and requests an early reply

Sl 24. From — do ——.

No: 3246/310-93 1. 9. 94.

Forwards copies of judgements in some cases on the file of the Dist Magistrate of Ananthapur

and calls for an early reply.

Sl 25. To the W. C. Tumkur.

No: 6757 / R.7100-92 — 30.10.94.

Forwards the above copy for early attention.

Sl 26. From — do —

No: 497. — 6.12.94.

states that the information required is not available.

Sl 27. From — d

No: 528 — 14.12.94.

Resubmits the Statement of the cases in which the Todely sub-contractors of the Paragada Taluk were punished by the British Magistrate.

Sl 28. To — do —

No: 12695 / R.7.100-92 — 10.2.95.

Forwards letter no: 3246 / 380-93 with copies of judgement from the F.A. Resident and states that the same has been forwarded to Govt Advocate for opinion.

Sl 29. To the F.A. Resident.

No: 12693 / R.7.100-92 — 16.2.95.

Forwards extract para 1 of Tumkur W.C's letter No: 497 on the subject and requests to move the collector of the Anantapur with to cause the information required by the amildar of Paragada being furnished to him

Sl 30. To — do —

No: 12694 / R.7.100-92 — 16.2.95.

Forwards copies of judgements and connected papers and requests an early expression of his opinion as to whether a revision of these judgements may be applied for.

Sl 31. From the Govt Advocate

No: 187. 6.4.95.

States that the cases referred to are similar to the Revision case no: 114 of 92 disposed of by the Chief Court on the 25th June 1892

Sl 32. From the T.A. Resident.

No: 1526/310-93 6.5.95.

Forwards copy of a letter from the Dist Magistrate of Anantapur.

Sl 33. To do —

No: 24906/R7.100-92 26.6.95.

Acknowledges letter no 1526/310-93 Dt 6th June with Rs 4-4-0 being the balance.

Sl 34. To the Excise Comr

No: 465/R7.100.92 9.7.95.

States that they are of opinion that it is not desirable to move the High Court of Madras for the Revision of the sentences in question and requests to report whether there still exists any difficulty in the ~~transfer~~ transit.

Sl 35. From — do —

No: 68/4 3.7.96.

Submits copy of communications together with original enclosures from the W.C. Trunkur in re. transport of Toddy from one

village to another village in the Mysore State across British Territory and requests that the Madras Govt may be moved to pass orders for recognising Mysore permits

Sl 36. From the Special Comr.

No. 140/12 6.7.96.

Requests that the Madras Govt may be moved to recognise as in the case of arrack the Mysore permits accompanying Toddy & Reports that requisite passes have since come from the Collector of Anantapur

Sl 37. To — do —

No. 1491/2c59 8.8.96.

Enquires ~~whether~~ how consignments of British Toddy passing through Mysore Territory are dealt with & whether they are covered by permits from British Officers or by the W.Cs of the several Districts in Mysore.

Sl 38. From — do —

No. 1254/94 8.8.96

States that consignments of British Toddy seem to be covered only by permits from British Officers

Sl 39. From — do —

No. 2095/155 1.10.96.

Reports results of inquiry as to the Procedure adopted in re- to the consignments of British Toddy passing from one British village to another through the Mysore Territory.

Sl 40. To the H.A. Resident.

No. 4040/R.7.100.92 29.10.96

Invites attention to para 10 of the progs: of the Board of Revenue Madras.

Sl 41. From the 7. A. Resident.

No: 1128 17. 3. 97.

Forwards copy of the Progs of the Board of Revenue Madras re. the transport of Toddy from one village to another thro' the British Territory & requests to be favoured with the views of the Durbar as to the introduction of the tree tax system into the Mysore State.

Sl 42. To the Excise Comr.

No: 484/R.7.100-92 19. 3. 97.

Forwards the above to submit with his remarks.

Sl 43. From — do —

No: 186/11 3. 7. 97.

Submits his views as to how arrack consignments from both territories should be covered.

Sl 44. To the 7. A. Resident.

No: 778/Ex31 19. 7. 97

Invites attention to the correspondence ending with letter no: 678/Ex28 Dated 16th July 97 which settles the question so far as the transport of Toddy is concerned and forwards a copy to the Excise Comr.

Sl 45. From — do —

No: 2694 1. 6. 98

Suggests an alternative proposal for the Durbar's opinion re- the regulation of the transport of Arrack from the Mysore Village to Anantapur across British

territory and vice versa.

Sl 46. To the Excise Comr.

No: 11434/Ex466. 9.6.98

Forwards the above for his early opinion on the proposal therein made.

Sl 47 From — do —

No. 8176/482 10.6.98.

States that the Residents' Proposal may be approved.

Sl 48. To the J.A. Resident.

No. 11898/Ex482 20.6.98.

States that the proposal has been approved & requests to move the Madras Govt to issue necessary instructions to the officers in the matter & forwards a copy to the Excise Comr for reference.

Sl 49. From the Excise Comr.

No: 4602/223 19.1.99.

Requests to furnish with a copy of the instructions issued by the Madras Govt in regard to the issue of general permits for each official year to cover the transport of arrack from one British Kannada village to another across the Mysore territory & vice versa.

Sl 50. To the J.A. Resident.

No. 5218/Ex215. 1.2.99.

Requests to furnish with a copy of the instructions if any issued by that Govt in the matter above referred to.

Sl 51. From — do —

No: 1344. 23.3.99.

Forwards a copy of the progs of the Madras

ard of Revenue re- the transport of Arrack from one British village to another across Mysore Territory.

Sl 52. To the Excise Comr.

No: 6811/Ex 262 30.3.99.

Forwards the above to be returned after taking a copy for reference.

Sl 53. From - do —

No: 6161/295 5.4.99.

Returns the printed copy sent with letter no: 6811/Ex 262. ~~To~~

Sl 54. To the F.A. Resident.

No: 7343/Ex 271. 17.4.99.

Returns the copy of the Madras Board's Proc^s received with letter no: 13444 dated 23rd March 1899.

M. S. Krishna Rao

Countersigned

B. Appaja Rao
P.C.

Sec B.

Memo.

x Serial no 1.
Letter No 192 of 25th July 92.

SECTION 37.S.R.
FILE No. 370 of 92-93
SERIAL No.

The Dy Commr, Tumkur District, x reported that the Aucildar Magistrate of the Madgiri Taluk convicted some men of the Toddy Contractors of Her Majesty's Territory who having been found taking several cart loads of Toddy from one part of Her Majesty's Territory to another through a portion of the Mysore Territory, were prosecuted for possession of liquor without a pass from the Mysore Authorities; fined them and directed confiscation of the Carts &c; that on appeal, the Dist Magte confirmed the Conviction and fine but cancelled the Confiscation; that the Chief

As a matter of fact they had passes from the Collector of Her Majesty's territory

Court quashed the Conviction in revision. The Dy Commr brought to notice that several of the dealers in liquor or their men in Mysore were convicted & punished by British magistrates upon facts quite similar to those in the case in which the Conviction was quashed by the Chief Court, and solicited permission to enter in the High Court of Madras an application for the revision of the sentences passed on the Mysore dealers.

It is not clearly stated that these consignments were covered by Mysore passes - Details of the cases were called for but have not yet been received

[illegible]

Serial no 1 together with its enclosures was forwarded to the Excise Commr for remarks.

Serial no 3. (No 2439 / 15.8.92) R394-92

The Excise Commr suggests that the Govt advocate

Serial no 8. (No 1893 / 94 / 24.9.92

may be asked to present a petition to the High Court of Madras, praying for the revision of the aforesaid sentences passed upon Mysore subjects by British Magistrates.

The accompanying file (Section A on which a draft order is submitted for approval) shews the orders issued by the Madras Government in regard to the consignments of Sandal passing from one place to another in Mysore, through the intervening British territory.

Submitted for orders.

For Madras see para 10 of Rds Proceedings (No (17/a) in the file) of 19 Sept 92 No 3591 recd with Govt Rds No 3713 of 19 Nov 92 —

R.D.
15/10

Issue draft in Sec: A & submit the file to the Chief Secy for orders.

30/10/92

* Asking Madras Gov for opinion on the forms of permits & certificates of consignments of Mysore sandal passing through British territory from one Mysore village to another Mysore village

R.D.
10

May a Govt Resolution issue to the following effect: —

The action of the
Trichinopoly Distrct Ma-
-gistracy in dealing
with British liquor in
transit through Mysore
territory as contraband
merely because it
was not covered by
a Mysore pass
though it was protected
by a British pass is
very much to be
regretted — Govt. note
that the Chief Courts
of Mysore have quashed the
convictions & sentences
awarded by the
Trichinopoly Distt Magcy.

This not clear from
paras 7 of the Dy Cr's letter
No 192 & 25 July last
whether in the instances
of similar action by
the Hon Indian Magistracy
the consignments of

Mysore legislation were covered by Mysore passes; and the Dy^r has not responded to the call for particulars contained in the Chief Secy's No 2439 of 15th Augt last - R394.9.2 If the consignments were covered by Mysore passes, the Depty Comm^r is authorised to instruct a Pleader to move the Mahas High Court to quash the convictions - Otherwise it seems useless to make such an application, & the Dy^r with explaining why the consignments were not covered by Mysore passes - In many case, the practice

ency (& quote the Dy Cs
words)[2] for the District
officers concerned
to get passes for their
respective contractors
from the opium through
whose jurisdiction the
liquor has to be conveyed".
There is no reason appa-
rent why the Dy Cr did
not obtain the usual
passes from the
Collector of Anantapur
for the consignments
of Mysore liquor in
question. Egt
must that the omission
has by this time been
supplied & that there
is now no ~~trouble in~~
~~this matter~~ difficulty
in the transit of Mysore
liquor through British
territory from one
Mysore village to another
Mysore village as usual.

~~The story~~ Should be disadvantageous all concerned & introduce
a system of mutual opium & liquor passes on the lines suggested
in the Madras Govt's letter No 3591 of 19th Sept. 1892 & Govt Mr. is requested
forward to the Dy Cr of Anantapur, the ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] therein
~~made~~ ~~[illegible]~~ have been offered for his opinion &
remarks.

Opie

[The Dewan is in-
clined to think
that the
local magistrates were tech-
nically right though as a matter
of comity let the
two Govts
whose pro-
tections should not
have been
instituted]

Rest as in-
dicated
between
red pencil
brackets
[illegible]
11/12

See Redraft

Read: —

1. Letter no 307 of the 9th September 1892 from the Dy Commr. Tumkur District, submitting as called for in docket No. 2438/R 393-92 of 15th Aug. 92 statements showing particulars of cases in which the inhabitants of the Mysore State were prosecuted and convicted by British Magistrates ~~as requested in Chief Secretary's docket No 2438 dated 15th August 1892.~~ R 393-92

for conveying Toddy thro' British Territory without passes signed by British authorities,

Read also

2. Excise Commr's letter no 1893/94 dated 24th September 1892 submitting with reference to Chief Secretary's dockets nos. 2439/R 394-92 dated 15th August 1892 and 4627/R.F 100-92 dated 17th September 1892 remarks on the proposal

recommending that the High Court of Madras may be moved to revise the convictions in the cases above refd. to.

of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District, that an
application for revision
of the sentences passed on
Mysore subjects by British
Magistrates for conveying
Toddy from one part of
the Mysore State to
another through British
territory, may be ordered to
be presented in the High
Court of Madras.

Order thereon: ——

It is clear from the
statements received with
the first of the Communi-
-cations read above that
the consignments of Toddy
which passed through

British territory were as a matter of fact covered by Mysore passes, though not by permits from British authorities; and the Excise Commissioner has suggested in the letter read above that the Govt advocate may be requested to present a Petition to the High Court of Madras praying for the revision of the aforesaid sentences.

2. Under the circumstances stated in the foregoing para the Excise Commissioner will be requested to authorize a competent advocate to present a petition to the High Court

of Madras for the revision of the aforesaid sentences passed upon Mysore subjects by British Magistrates.

3. Govt observe that the practice being (to quote the Dy Commrs words in his letter No 192 dated 25th July 1892 to the Chief Secretary) "for the District Officers "concerned to get passes for "their respective contractors "from the Officer through "whose jurisdiction the liquor "has to be conveyed", there is no reason apparent why the Deputy Commissioner did not obtain the usual passes from the Collector of Anantapur for the consignments of Mysore liquor in question.

Govt trust that the difficulty has by this time been supplied and that there is now no difficulty in the transit of Mysore liquor through British Territory from one Mysore village to another Mysore village as usual. It would be of advantage to all concerned to introduce a system of mutual issue of transport passes on the lines suggested in the Madras Rev: Board Proc^s No. 3591/Mis dated 19th September 1892, and acquiesced in by the Excise Commr in his letter No. 2987 of 2nd ~~September~~ Dec. 92. Copies of which will be ~~forwarded~~ forwarded to the Dy Commr for information

Draft

Chief Secretary

To The Excise Commr in Mysore

" The Dy Commr, Tumkur District.

Submitted.

Sir,

I would respectfully suggest the File, or the principal papers, being sent to the Ex: Comr. to submit definite proposals of what is actually needed in practice, to meet the difficulties now prevalent, ~~both~~ as regards (1) Arrack (2) Toddy (3) Drugs, after consulting Dy Comrs, if necessary.

2. This will save unnecessary reference and trouble, hereafter, as all that will be needed will be fully elicited and disposed of in one single reference.

S. A. Comr.

3/7/95.

Submitted to the 3rd Commissioner. The Dewan wishes to see the

Draft of letter
proposed to be
addressed to the
Residency. I am
not in a position
to prepare a
draft until it
is known definitely
in what respects
mutual cooperation
is proposed to
be asked for.

C

3-7-95

Tumkur Dy Commrs No 762
dated 14th March 93
Serial No (12)

Serial No (1)
Letter No 192 dated 25th July 92.

Office Note. (13)

In reporting for the information of Govt that a criminal case in which the Amildar Magistrate of Maddagiri convicted some men of the Toddy Contractors of Her Majesty's Territories on being found to be in possession of Toddy, a consignment of which was in transit from one part of Amil Territories to another through Mysore Territory, without a pass from Mysore authorities, and punished them, the Distt Magte. Tumkur confirmed the conviction, but the Chief Court quashed the conviction in revision, the Dy Commr. Tumkur

applied for permission to enter in the High Court of Madras an application for the revision of the sentences passed on Mysore subjects by British Magistrates under circumstances similar to those noted above.

2. In reply to this office docket No 24+39 / R394.92 dated 15th Augt 92, the Excise Commr suggested that the Govt advocate might be asked to present a petition to the High Court of Madras for the revision of the sentences stated to have been passed on Mysore subjects by British Magistrates.

+ Serial No (3)

Serial No (8) · No 1893/94 & 24/9/92

3. In reply to Govt Proc

+ Serial no (9)

No 9780-2 / R.F 100-92 dated 23rd Dec. 1892, the Govt advocate in submitting that applications might be presented to the High Court of Madras for the revision of the sentences referred to reported that it would be more satisfactory if copies of judgments in the Criminal cases were obtained & sent to him ~~in order that he~~ as in the absence thereof no application could be presented.

4. In reply to this office

Serial no (11)

No 13453 / R.F 100-92 dated 30th Jany 1893, the Dy Comnr

reports that the District Magistrate of Anantapur has, in reply to his letter requesting to be furnished with copies of judgments in the criminal cases referred to, suggested that the Madras Govt may be addressed on the subject, and submits copies of the correspondence.

Serial no (12)
No 752 of 14.3.93.

5. In the draft now submitted for approval, the appn to the Resident is requested to obtain copies of the judgments referred to & furnish the Durbar with the same.

K.S.

A.D.

Revenue Secretary's Office

Revenue Branch

Office Note

Subject } — Mutual arrangement to accept "transport papers" of each goods when issued by the Officers Concerned.

Last Reference } Letter No 203/18 dated 13th July 1895 from the Ex: Commissioner in Mysore

1. The present procedure is indicated in the margin* and has been laid down by the Madras Board of Revenue.

Para* 10. In regard to Toddy, no difficulty appears now to exist; the Collector of Anantapur now gives papers containing the necessary details to the Mysore Renters and the Collector of Coimbatore will be directed to do the same. This is effective in the case of Toddy of which the consignments are regular and uniform.

x - - - - - - x - - - - - - - x - - - - - - - - - x - -

2. The Ex: Comr: states that the above procedure is working satisfactorily.

3. It is elaborate. Time and trouble must be involved in obtaining the required papers, either by Her Majesty's Officials, or those in Mysore, and a good deal

of necessary correspondence must necessarily be carried on.

4. The procedure required by Govt. is indicated in the extracts as per margin*. Vide Govt. Proceedings No 9780-2 / R. 7. 100-92 dated 23rd December 1892. This is what the 3rd Councillor wants to secure. The Excise Comr. may be asked to Communicate the above to Deputy Commissioners to submit formal proposals to secure, the mutual arrangements, suggested by Govt., and now in force for "Arrack", for the other items of "Toddy" also.

J. W. Bruce
Spl. Appt.

* 2 x - - - - x - - - x - -
"It would be of advantage
"to all concerned to intro-
"duce a system of mutual
"issue of "transport papers".
"x - - - - x - - - - x - - - x"

Submitted with reference to this office no. 1491/59 dated 8th August 196 enquiring how the British consignments of Toddy are dealt with when passing through Mysore Territory

The Excise Commr. in his reply letter no. 1254/94 dated 18th August 1896, says that British consignments of Toddy when passing through Mysore Territory seem to be covered only by permits from British Officers.

In his second letter no. 2095/155 dated 1st October 196 he however says that on enquiry made, it is ascertained that in the Bangalore & Mysore Distts such consignments are not covered by Dy. Commrs' pass but in the Districts of Tumkur Kolar and Chitaldroog, the are covered by the Dy. Com passes in addition to those by the Collectors.

JH 9/10/96 ~~Orders are solicit~~
~~as to whether the letter~~ Submit fresh letter
May the original draft issue?
Dy 18/10

Cr. for his opn & early return with his remarks

10/8 Rev

2 drafts sent.

11/8/92

SECTION SR

FILE NO. 37 of 92-93

1

1892 OFFICE 1074 29 JUL 1 R 298

(1)

From

The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Dated 25th July 1892.

Despatched 26th —

Received.

Enclosures-No. 1 & 2 Records.

General No. 192

No.

Submitting for orders together with records, copy of Chief Court Order No. 3485/478 of 23rd June/92. in Revision case No. 114 of 1892. accused - Runga and 4 others Charged under Sections 21 & 41 of the Excise Act.

To the Land & Revenue Comm.r. 24/8 R 383-92

Rev. Ref. Mon 29/7/92

Submitted for orders.

B.R. 6/8

Mon

The Dy. Commr. does not give details of the instances to which he refers in Para 7. Mon 9/8/92

(1) Ask Dy. Commr. for the same

(2) Meanwhile these papers will be sent for Excise

rec'd. 29/7 B.S.

No. 192

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District
Dated 25th July 1892.

(1)

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

Section 21 of the Excise Act says that none shall have in his possession more fermented liquor than that specified in it without a pass, and the breach of this rule is made punishable by Section 41 of the same Act.

2. Some men of the toddy contractors of Her Majesty's territory having been found taking several cart-loads of toddy from one part of Her Majesty's territory to another part through a portion of the Maddagiri Taluq of this District, they were prosecuted for the possession of the liquor without a pass from the Mysore authorities.

3. The Amildar Magistrate convicted them, fined them and directed the confiscation of the carts &c.

4. On appeal, the District Magistrate confirmed the conviction and fine, but cancelled the order of confiscation thinking that fine alone was sufficient.

5. In revision, the Chief Judge held that as the accused had a pass from the Collector of Her Majesty's territory, they were not liable.

The

The other judge that sat with him (Mr. Krishna-murti Row) opined that the possession of the liquor by the accused was not such as that contemplated in section 21 of the Excise Act, in asmuch as the accused had no power to deal with it in any way, but were mere carriers for their master. Thus, the conviction was quashed.

6. As the contractors of Her Majesty's territory have to take liquor through our province so also our contractors have to pass through a portion of Her Majesty's territory, The practice obtaining is for the District officers concerned to get passes for their respective contractors from the officer through whose jurisdiction the liquor has to be conveyed.

7. Instances are not wanting wherein our men have been prosecuted and con-victed by the British Magistrates for having been found conveying liquor across their frontier without a pass from their officers.- Under the view taken by the Chief Court, their conviction also has to be considered illegal. I therefore solicit leave to enter in the High Court of Madras an appli-cation for the revision of the sentences passed on them.

8. In his judgment Mr. Krishnamurti Row alludes to the law on the subject requiring some modification or addi-tion, if it is necessary, in the interest of the Excise Revenue, to prevent such conveyance

conveyance of liquor without a pass as is now under consideration. This is another reason for this reference.

9. The record of the trial before the Amildar Magistrate, that of the appeal before the District Magistrate and a copy of the Chief Court order above referred to are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

M. Venkatavaraiyar
Deputy Commissioner.

No. 3485/478

In the Chief Court of Mysore at Bangalore.

Thursday the 23rd day of June 1892.

Present

T. R. A. Thumboo Chetty Esqr. Chief Judge

and

P. N. Krishna Murti Esqr. Judge.

1/a

Revision case No. 114 of 1892.

*Appellants in Crim. appeal No. 17 of 1892 on the file of the Distt. Magistr. of Tumkur.

In the matter of the conviction of Runga* and 40 others, accused in Criminal case No. 10 of 1892 on the file of the Amildar and 3rd Class Magistrate of Maddagiri - charges under sections 21 and 41 of the Excise Act.

Order.

The Chief Judge - I am unable to support the conviction in this case.

Record herewith returned.

The toddy was covered by a pass from the Collector of Her Majesty's Territory. It was simply in transit while it was arrested. It was not brought into Mysore surreptitiously; it was being taken to Her Majesty's Territory and the accused had to cross the Mysore country before going to their destination in the British Territory. The arrest in Mysore was illegal, and the confiscation quite unjustifiable. Section 21 of the Excise Act is, in my opinion inapplicable to this case. The possession contemplated by that section is illegal possession without a permit of liquor by a person in Mysore intended for sale in Mysore. But here the liquor was being

being taken to Her Majesty's Territory for sale or consumption there. Section 23 of the Act is also inapplicable as the liquor was not imported into Mysore for consumption or sale in Mysore.

There is nothing in the Act which prevents a person who has obtained the permission of the Collector in Her Majesty's Territory from passing through the Mysore Territory to a place in Her Majesty's Territory.

I would set aside the conviction and sentence and refund the fines.

P. N. Krishna Murti Esquire — The accused persons in this case were found transporting toddy from one part of the British Territory into another through a portion of the Moddagiri Taluq, and while passing through the village of Hasakere they were caught and tried under Sections 21 and 41 of the Excise Act. Six carts were caught and six persons were summoned. On the day of the hearing, only 5 appeared and one Giriya absented himself, but Moodli represented himself to be a brother of the absent accused who was said to be only a boy and agreed to be bound by any order which may be passed about him. The Taluq Magistrate fined all the accused persons, and instead of dealing with Giriya he passed a sentence of additional fine on Moodli. This proceeding seems to be unauthorized

by

by law. It is not apparent whether the Magistrate wanted to give Giriya the benefit of any of the exemptions contained in the Indian Penal Code in behalf of infants, or whether he simply allowed Giriya to appear before him by an agent. In any case, the additional fine inflicted upon Moodli seems illegal, and must be quashed. Legal orders about Giriya must be passed.

The other point, which calls for notice, is the revision of the order of confiscation by the District Magistrate. Section 41 of the Excise Act makes the vessels, packages &c. liable to confiscation, and Section 51 gives power to any Magistrate to confiscate it on the application of an Excise Officer. The Taluk Magistrate appears to have acted under these provisions and ordered the confiscation of carts and casks. The District Magistrate has cancelled the order and quotes as his authority Section 520 of the Criminal Procedure Code. This provision of the law seems to me to be inapplicable. It refers only to orders passed under the Code of Criminal Procedure and does not apply to orders passed under the Excise Act. The question which seems to arise is whether the order of confiscation is a part of the sentence or not. If it is a part of the sentence, it comes under Revision by an Appellate Court, and under Section 423 of the Code of Criminal Procedure and can be dealt with by it according to its discretion. But if not, it is doubtful

whether

whether the order is appealable by itself and the District Magistrate has power to revise it. I am, however, inclined to view it as a part of the sentence and uphold the order of the District Magistrate which is equitable.

It is said that all the accused persons had British passes though they had none from the Mysore authorities. They were moreover the servants under the British contractor. If so it seems to me that it is an unwarranted straining of the facts to hold that they had in their possession prohibited quantities of liquor under Section 21 of the Excise Act. It will be satisfactory if a rule, which applies pointedly to such cases is passed and promulgated should the action taken by the local Revenue Officers be deemed necessary for the protection of the local excise revenue. Till then, the act attributed to the accused persons cannot, in my opinion, be treated as an offence. Collett, in his comments on the Indian Penal Code, page 128, states what is necessary to constitute possession. His words are "Briefly then, possession consists in a physical act accompanied by an act of the will. The first is not necessarily connected with any bodily contact with the whole, or any part of the subject; but it implies the physical power of dealing with the subject immediately and of excluding any foreign agency over it.

2/

The act of the will must contemplate a dealing with the subject as one's own property, and not on behalf of another". In this case the accused persons had no such possession and they were simply servants employed by the British Contractor for the purpose of driving the carts from one point to another in British Territory. That in doing so they traversed through a portion of this State does not make them liable to be prosecuted under section 21 of the Excise Act. The possession contemplated by that section does not extend to an act of carrying in their carts sealed casks containing toddy entrusted to them by their employer from one place to another. The conviction must be set aside and the fine if recovered must be refunded. The articles confiscated or their value must be returned.

A true copy
(signed) S. Hanmajee Row
Registrar

"Copy"
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner.

RR No

Facing Sheet, Criminal Appeal.

Form No. 2, Criminal.

21

1/6

IN THE COURT OF the District Magistrate of Tumkur.

Criminal Appeal

No. 17 of first quarter of 1892.

Appellants

Ranga & 4 others

Versus.

Respondent.

Mysore Government

Instituted

26-3-92

Decided.

9-4-92

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—30-10-90.—2,003.

List of papers

1 – 2 Appeal petition
3 – 4 do judgment
5 do Court order
6 – 7 copy of judgment of lower Court
8 Vakalatnama

Abba Rao
A.D. Ananthee

Cr. Appeal Case
No. 517 of 1992
26-3-92

22-3-92

Recd. in camp Kalhal
28/3/92

Posted for disposal of
9/4/92

Hearing on the 9th April 92

at Tumbler -

Before Plaxer -

Call for the record

[illegible]

31/3/92

Heard & Decided

[illegible]

9/4/92

In the Court of the District Magistrate of Ganjam.

This 9th day of April 1892 at Ganjam.

Present B. K. Venkatavaradaiyangar
District Magistrate.

Petition of Criminal Appeal No. 17 of 1892, against the conviction and sentence by Mr. Kantiravachar, Amildar and 3rd class Magistrate of Aladdagiri in Criminal Case No. 10 of 1892, on the file of his court.

Appellants
1 Ranga
2 Rama
3 G. Ranga
4 Babaji
5 Moodli

Judgment

The Appellants were convicted of the offence of transporting a large quantity of toddy from certain groves in the British territory to

certain villages also in the British Territory through the Mysore State without a permit from the Mysore authorities ~~in Mysore~~, contrary to sections 21 & 41 of the Excise Act, and were ~~under section 41 of the same Act~~ sentenced to pay a fine of 5 Rs each, or in default to suffer 7 days' simple imprisonment each, ~~and~~ the 5th Appellant's ~~to pay an additional~~ fine being 10 Rs ~~of 5 Rs~~.

2 The grounds of appeal, presented on 26th March 1892, are

(1) that it was ~~wrong~~ unjust that the lower court did not consider that the complaint ~~was false~~ proceeded from malice and bad intention, ~~and was improper~~,

(2) that ~~the~~ bad faith and neglect on the part of ~~which doomed~~ the accused necessary to ~~the~~ make them liable to criminal

criminal ~~conscious~~ prosecution have not been proved;

(3) that the accused having been simply temporary coolies in the employ of contractors, and being ignorant people, they were not ~~accountable~~ liable for the ~~accusation~~ charge. ~~and~~

(4) that although the fact of their good faith was proved, the Lower Court did not take this into ~~their~~ consideration; &

(5) that it is unjust to have both fined the appellants & ~~confiscate~~ confiscate their carts & casks. —

3. ~~The Court~~ Sec: 21 of the Excise act says that none shall have in his possession more fermented liquor than that specified in it without a pass; & the breach of this rule is more punishable by Sec: 41 of the same act. The Appellants were found within the Mysore Territory taking several carts of toddy (or fermented liquor)

without a bag. They admit this & say that they were not aware of the law. Ignorance of law is no excuse & so I think that they have been rightly convicted. — But the confiscation of their carts & casks was probably not necessary. The fine imposed was itself enough. I, therefore, cancel the confiscation under sec: 520 of the Cr. Pro: code.

R. W. Venkataramaiya

Dist. Magte.

5

Order of Appellate Court, confirming sentence.

Section 423, C. P. Code.
Form No. 20, Criminal.

IN THE COURT OF the District Magistrate of Tumkur

Criminal Appeal No. 17 of 1892

1 Ranga. 2 Rama
3 Gampalahalli Ranga 4 Babaji
& 5 Moodli } Appellants

Versus.

THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT.

Upon reading a petition of appeal presented by the said Appellants Ranga & 4 others against the sentence of the Amild Magistrate of Maddagiri passed on a conviction under Sections 21 & 41 of the Indian ~~Penal Code~~ Excise Act on the 24th day of February 1892, and upon reading the proceedings of the said Amildar Magte on the trial of the said Appellants and after hearing Mr Achuta Rao Pleader for the Appellants

but the order of the said Magte confiscating the Carts & casks of the Appellants be cancelled

It is ordered that the appeal be dismissed, and that a copy of this order be sent to the Court of the said Magistrate of Maddagiri for record.

~~And it is further ordered that a copy of this order be sent to the Officer in charge of the Jail at for the information of the said~~

Given under my hand and the seal of this Court this 9th day of April 1892.

R. Venkata Rao

District Magistrate of Tumkur.

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—9-7-90.—1,290.

14. 8. 92

24-2-92

1892 [illegible] 10

[illegible]

1
2
3
4
5

[illegible]

1. [illegible]

2. [illegible]

3. [illegible]

4. [illegible]

5. [illegible]

6. [illegible]

7. [illegible]

8. [illegible]

9. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[

[illegible] 14-3-92

[illegible] 15-3-92

[illegible] 18-3-92.

[illegible] 350

[illegible] 6-4-0

[illegible] 8-[illegible]-8

[illegible]

21. 3. 92

8

ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

18 92 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ನಂಬರು 10

ವಾದಿ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ಪ್ಲೀಡರ್ ಗೆ.

ವಾದಿ ಬರದು

ಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಅದಾಗಿ,

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ವಿವಾದಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಭತ್ಯ ವಗೈರೆ ಕಟ್ಟುವದಕ್ಕೂ ದಸ್ತೈವಜುಗಳು ಹಾಜರ್ಮಾಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ ವಾಪಸು ಪಡಿಯುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹಾ ಇದರಿಂದ ನಿಮಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ

ಯಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ನ ಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕ್ರಮಗಳಿಗೆ ನಾ ಖುದ್ದು ಯಿದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೇನೆ

ತಾ॥ ನೇ

18 92

ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ.

ಮೇಲೆ ಕಂಡ ಮನುಷ್ಯ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮೆಗೆ ತನ್ನ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾನೆಂತಾ ಇದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Printed by H. R. Misser, at the Town Press, Bangalore—1890

ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಮೊಳವ್ವನು ದರ್ಖಾಸ್ತ್ ಮುಕಳ್ಳದರ್ ನಮೂನೆ ನಂ. 1, ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್,

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರ

ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ದರ್ಖಾಸ್ತ್

18921 / ನೇ ಕ್ವಾರ್ಟರಿನ ನಂಬರ್ 10

ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀಮಂತ ಶಿವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ಬಾಳಿಕಾಯಿ

ಅಪರಾಧಿ - ಶಂಕರ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ತಂ ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ

ಅಪರಾಧ - ಆಯುಧ್ಯ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ ಕಲಮ 21/41

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯವರು ಕೈಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕ್ರಮ ಮತ್ತು ಅಪರಾಧಿಯವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಅಪರಾಧಿಯವರ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಪರಾಧಕ್ಕೆ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ ಆದ ಮೇಲೆ

[illegible]

[illegible] 3 [illegible]

[illegible] 1892 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]		
1	1	[illegible]
2	1	[illegible]
3	2	[illegible]
4	1	[illegible]
5	1	[illegible]
6	1	[illegible]
7	2	[illegible]
8	1	[illegible]
9	3	[illegible]
10	1	[illegible]
11	1	[illegible]
12	1	1 [illegible]
13	1	2 [illegible]
14	1	3 [illegible]
15	1	4 [illegible]
16	2	5 [illegible]
17	1	[illegible]
18	1	[illegible]
19	1	[illegible]
20	1	[illegible]
21	1	[illegible]
22	5	[illegible]
23	1	[illegible]

24 - 6 [illegible]

25 - 5 [illegible]

26 - 1 [illegible]

44 [illegible]

27 1 [illegible]

28 1 [illegible]

29 1 [illegible]

47 [illegible]

3/

21/2/92

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 11-2-92 [illegible] 164 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
1	[illegible]	1	2	1
2	[illegible]	1	2	1
3	[illegible]	1	2	1
4	[illegible]	1	2	1
5	[illegible]	1	2	1
6	[illegible]	1	2	1
6		6	12	6

[illegible]

[illegible] 21-2-92

[illegible]

21/2/92

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

39.

(Police Register Serial No. 13.)

Section 415, C. P. C., Rule 19, Police Registers.

TALUK. ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು.

Report of property seized under Section 415, C. P. C. or of unclaimed proper
in the limits of the Station on the
day of 1892

ಕ್ರಿ. ಪ್ರೊ. ಕೊ. ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ೪೧೫ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸರಹದ್ದಿನಲ್ಲಿ ದೊರತ ಬೇವಾರಸಿ ಜಿಂದಗಿಯ ಅಥವಾ ಕ್ರಿ. ಪ್ರೊ. ಕೊ. ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್
ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ೧೯. ಹಿಡಿಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಜಿಂದಗಿಯ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟು ತಾರೀಖು 19 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ

Register No. and Date of the Report. ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ನಂಬರ್ ಮತ್ತು ರಿಪೋರ್ಟಿನ ತಾರೀಖು.	Descriptive list of property seized or found. ದೊರತ ಅಥವಾ ಕಂಡು ಯಿಡಿಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಮಾಲಿನ ತಪಶೀಲ್.	When, where and under what circumstances seized or found and when brought to Station. ಯಾವಾಗ ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ಹಿಡಿಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟದ್ದು ಅಥವಾ ದೊರತದ್ದು ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ಟೇಷನ್ನಿಗೆ ಯಾವಾಗ ತರಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿತು.	Remar ಷ
1	2	3	4
3. 19/2/92	(1) ಸೀರಲಂಗಿ ಖಂದಿಸರ ರಂಗಿನ ಖಾಯ್ದ ಕಿತ್ತಲಾಂಗಿ ಕೈ 15 — 0 — 0 ಬಿಳಿಖಾಲ್ಯ ಕಲ್ಲಾಲ್ಯ 20 — 0 — 0 ಸುಮಾರು ಮಂಗಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಿಂದ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಕಳ್ಳಿಲಿಯಾಲ್ಯ ಸರದ ಕಿಲಾಂಗಿ ಕೈ 6 — 0 — 0	ಲುಕ್ಕಿನ ಸು ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ 21 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ ಇಲಿಯಾಬಿ - ಕೈ ಸಾಂಬಿ ಕಿಸಾಂಗಿ ಸರಾಂಗಿ. ಹರಕಿಸಿ ಕೈ ಸಿ - ಲುಲಾಂಬಿಗಿ - ಸಾಂಗಿ ಸುಲ್ಲಾ ಲುದದ್ದು. ಆಖತಾಂ ಇಲಾಲಾಂ - ಲುಲಿ ಕೈ ಕ್ಯ (ಅ) - ಖಾಂಖಾಂದಿಲ ತಂಡಿ ಕೀಂ ಬಿಸಾಂಗಿ ನಕ್ಕಲು ಬಿಂದುಂಬಂ ಕೈ ಕೀಂ ಸುಲ್ಲಾ ಲುದ ದನ್ ಲಾಂಗಿ ಕಲು 18 ನೇ ಕಲಂ 3 ಕೀಂ ಬೀ ಲಲ್ಲ - ಕೀಂ ಸಿ ಕೈ ಕೆ	ಖಾಂಗಿ ಕೈ ಕ್ಯ - ಕ್ಯ ಕೈ ಲಲಾಂಗಿ - ಕ್ಯ ಸ್ಕ್ರಾಂಗಿ

Auche Service.

To

The Magistrate of

ಮೋಜಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರಿಗೆ.

Officer in charge of

Police Station.

ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಸೇಪರ್ ಯಿಚಾರ್ಜ ಆಫಿಸರ.

ಪುಟ 144

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಆಇ 164 ಕೆಇಬಿ 92

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿ ಮಂಡಳಿ
ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಎಸ್. ಜಲವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಕೇಂದ್ರ
ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 11-2-92

ಸಭೆ

ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಎಸ್. ಜಲವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಕೇಂದ್ರದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಸಭಾಂಗಣದಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ 21 ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 92 ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸಭೆ ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಎಸ್. ಜಲವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಕೇಂದ್ರದ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಭೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಎಸ್. ಜಲವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಕೇಂದ್ರದ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರು ಕಳೆದ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡಾವಳಿಯನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಎಸ್. ಜಲವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಕೇಂದ್ರದ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರು ಕಳೆದ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡಾವಳಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಿದರು.

ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಎಸ್. ಜಲವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಕೇಂದ್ರದ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರು ಕಳೆದ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡಾವಳಿಯ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆ.ಆರ್.ಎಸ್. ಜಲವಿದ್ಯುತ್ ಕೇಂದ್ರದ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರು ಕಳೆದ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡಾವಳಿಯ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನ ವರದ

ನಿಂಬೆಹಣ್ಣಿನ ಬೆಲೆ ಎಷ್ಟು?

1 ಸಾವಿರ 15 ಕಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು?

2 ಸಾವಿರ 20 ಕಾಯಿ ಕೊಂಡರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು?

3 ಸುಮಾರು 300 ಕಿಲೋಮೀಟರ್ ದೂರ ಹೋಗಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಸಾವಿರ 6 ಲೀಟರ್ ಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ನಿಂಬೆಹಣ್ಣಿನ ಬೆಲೆ ಎಷ್ಟು?

1 ಸಾವಿರ 20 ಕಾಯಿ ಕೊಂಡರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು?

2 ಸಾವಿರ 25 ಕಾಯಿ ಕೊಂಡರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು?

3 ಸಾವಿರ 360 ಕಿಲೋಮೀಟರ್ ದೂರ ಹೋಗಬೇಕಾದರೆ 6 ಲೀಟರ್ ಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ನಿಂಬೆಹಣ್ಣಿನ ಬೆಲೆ ಎಷ್ಟು?

1 ಸಾವಿರ 12 ಕಾಯಿ ಕೊಂಡರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು?

2 ಸಾವಿರ 25 ಲೀಟರ್ 2 ಬಕೆಟ್ ಕೊಂಡರೆ

3 ಸಾವಿರ 345 ಕಿಲೋಮೀಟರ್ ದೂರ ಹೋಗಬೇಕಾದರೆ 6 ಲೀಟರ್ ಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ನಂಜುಂಡಪ್ಪನ ಬೆಲೆ ಎಷ್ಟು?

1 ಸಾವಿರ 10 ಲೀಟರ್ ಕೊಂಡರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು?

2 ಸಾವಿರ 25 ಲೀಟರ್ ಕೊಂಡರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು?

3 ಸಾವಿರ 300 ಕಿಲೋಮೀಟರ್ ದೂರ ಹೋಗಬೇಕಾದರೆ 6 ಲೀಟರ್ ಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

1 ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ ಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ

1 ಸರ್ವೆ 10 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಕೆರೆ

2 ಸರ್ವೆ 25 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಕೆರೆ

3 ಸರ್ವೆ 360 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಕೆರೆ

2 ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ ಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವ ಕೆರೆ

1 ಸರ್ವೆ 10 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಕೆರೆ

2 ಸರ್ವೆ 35 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಕೆರೆ

3 ಸಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 300 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಕೆರೆ

ಸಹಿ

19-2-92

ಸಹಿ

19-2-92

ಸಹಿ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3 [illegible]

[illegible] 20-0-0

[illegible] 25-0-0

3 [illegible] 6-0-0

6 [illegible]

[illegible] 10-0-0

[illegible] 35-0-0

3 [illegible] 6-0-0

1 [illegible]

[illegible] 4 [illegible] 57-0-0

[illegible] 8 [illegible] 105-0-0

[illegible] 1305 [illegible] 4 [illegible] 24-0-0

[illegible] 186-0-0

[illegible] 1305 [illegible]

[illegible] 400-0-0

[illegible] 1305

[illegible] 50-0-0

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1892 [illegible] 17 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[

[illegible] 20-2-92 [illegible]

[illegible]

ಕೋರ್ಟು

ಅಪರಾಧಿಗೆ ಸಮ್ಮನ್,
ಕ್ರಿ. ಪ್ರೊ. ಕೋಡ 68ನೇ
ಪ್ರಕರಣ.
6ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ನಮೂನೆ.
ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್.

ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ

ಅಪರಾಧಿ

ದ

ನಿಂದಾ ತರಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ-
ತಕಶೀರಿಗೆ ಜವಾಬ ಕೊಡಲು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಹಾಜರೀ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಿರುವದ
ರಿಂದ ನೀವು ನಮ್ಮ ರೂಬರೂ
1892 ನೇ ಈಸವಿ
ಮಾಹೇ 24 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಬುಧ. ವಾರ
ಹಾಜರಾಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ತಪ್ಪಕೂಡದು.

ತಾರೀಖು 22 ನೇ - ಮಾಹೇ 1892

ಮೂಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟ.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—1-7-90.—43,850.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಅಪರಾಧಿಗೆ ನಮ್ಮ್ಕ್,
ಕ್ರಿ. ಪ್ರೊ. ಕೋಡ್ 68ನೇ
ಪ್ರಕರಣ.
6ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ನಮೂನೆ.
ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್.

ಕೋರ್ಟ್

ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ

ಅಪರಾಧಿ

ದ

ಗೆ

ನಿಂದಾ ತರಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ
ತಕಶೀರಿಗೆ ಜವಾಬ್ ಕೊಡಲು ನಿನ್ನ ಹಾಜರೀ ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಿರುವದ
ರಿಂದ ನೀನು ನವ್ಮ್ ರೂಬರೂ
189 ನೇ ಈಸವಿ
ಮಾಹೇ 24 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ವಾರ
ಹಾಜರಾಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ತಪ್ಪಕೂಡದು.

ತಾರೀಖು 24 ನೇ — ಮಾಹೇ 189

23.7.92

ಮೆಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟ.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—1-7-90.—43,850.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

24-2-92 [illegible]

[illegible]

1/3

[illegible]

[illegible] 3 [illegible]

[illegible] 1892 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1892 [illegible]

[illegible] 11 [illegible]

[illegible]

22.2.92

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 3 [illegible]

[illegible] 22-2-92

[illegible] 24 [illegible]

[illegible] 1892 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

23-2-92

[illegible]

[illegible] 24-2-92 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 18922 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1892 [illegible]

[illegible] 24 [illegible] 11 [illegible]

[illegible]

22-2-92

[illegible]

50

23-2-92

[illegible]

1892 [illegible] 24 [illegible]

[illegible]

24/2/1892

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3.

[illegible]

[illegible] 24/2/92

[illegible]

1. [illegible]
2. [illegible]
3. [illegible]
4. [illegible]
5. [illegible]
6. [illegible]

[illegible]

1. [illegible]
2. [illegible]
3. [illegible]
4. [illegible]
5. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

24/2/92

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ.
ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸಿಜ್ಯೂರ್-ಕೋಡ್
346ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣ.
ನಮೂನೆ ನಂಬರ್ 41, ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್.

3 ನೇ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ಮೆಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟ್ ಕೋರ್ಟ್.

ಸನ್ 1892 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ನಂಬರ್ 10

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ಹೆಸರು

ವಯಸ್ಸು 12

ಜಾತಿ

ಮತ

ತಂದೆ ಹೆಸರು

ವಾಸಸ್ಥಳ

ಕಸಬು

ಸವಾಲ್

~~ಜವಾಬ್~~

~~ಸವಾಲ್~~

ಜವಾಬ್

~~ಸವಾಲ್~~

ಜವಾಬ್

ಸವಾಲ

~~ಜವಾಬ~~

~~ಸವಾಲ~~

~~ಜವಾಬ~~

~~ಅಪರಾಧಿ~~ ~~ನ~~

ಈ ಮೇಲಿನ ವಾಕ್ಯಾಲವು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ನನಗೆ ಕೇಳಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವಾಗ ತೆಗಿಯೋಣವಾಯಿತು ಮತ್ತು

ಸದರೀ ~~ನು ಹೇಳಿದ ಬೇಳಿಕೆ ಯಾವತ್ತೂ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತೆಂತ ದೃಢ~~

ಪಡಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ತಾರೀಖು

ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯ:

ಜಡ್ಜ:

ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯ:

ಜಡ್ಜ:

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—15-10-85.—27,800.

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ.
ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸಿಜ್ಯೂರ್ ಕೋಡ್
346ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣ.
ನಮೂನೆ ನಂಬರ್ 41, ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್.

ಕೋರ್ಟು.

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ಹೆಸರು

ವಯಸ್ಸು

ಜಾತಿ

ಮತ

ತಂದೆ ಹೆಸರು

ವಾಸಸ್ಥಳ

ಕಸಬು

ಸವಾಲ್

~~ಜವಾಬ~~

~~ಸವಾಲ್~~

~~ಜವಾಬ~~

~~ಸವಾಲ~~

ಜವಾಬ

ಸವಾಲ್

ಜವಾಬ

ಸವಾಲ್

ಜವಾಬ್

ಅಪರಾಧಿ

ಈ ಮೇಲಿನ ವಾಕ್ಯಗಳು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ನನಗೆ ಕೇಳಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವಾಗ ತೆಗಿಯೋಣವಾಯಿತು ಮತ್ತು ಸವರೀ ನು ಹೇಳಿದ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಯಾವತ್ತೂ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತೆಂತ ದೃಢ ಪಡಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ತಾರೀಖು

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—15-10-85.—27,800.

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ.
ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸಿಡ್ಯೂರ್ ಕೋಡ್
346ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣ.
ನಮೂನೆ ನಂಬರ್ 41, ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್.

ಕೋರ್ಟು.

ಸೆ 1892 ಇನ್ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ 10

3

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ಹೆಸರು

ವಯಸ್ಸು 40

ಜಾತಿ

ಮತ

ತಂದೆ ಹೆಸರು

ವಾಸಸ್ಥಳ

ಕಸಬು.

ಸವಾಲ್

~~ಜವಾಬ್~~

~~ಸವಾಲ್~~

~~ಜವಾಬ್~~

~~ಸವಾಲ್~~

ಜವಾಬ್

ಸವಾಲ

ಜಾಬಾಬ

ಸವಾಲ

ಜಾಬಾಬ

ಅಪರಾಧಿ ನ

ಈ ಮೇಲಿನ ವಾಕ್ಯಗಳವು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ನನಗೆ ಕೇಳಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವಾಗ ತೆಗೆಯೋಣವಾಯಿತು ಮತ್ತು ಸದರೀ ನು ಹೇಳಿದ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಯಾವತ್ತೂ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತಂತ ದೃಢ ಪಡಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ತಾರೀಖು

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—15-10-85.—27,800.

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ.
ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸಿಡ್ಯೂರ್ ಕೋಡ್
346ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣ.
ನಮೂನೆ ನಂಬರ್ 41, ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩ ಕ್ರಿ ಕ್ರಿ ಕೋರ್ಟು.

ಸಿ ೧೮೯೨ ಇಸವಿ ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ

3

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ಹೆಸರು
ವಯಸ್ಸು
ಜಾತಿ
ಮತ
ತಂದೆ ಹೆಸರು
ವಾಸಸ್ಥಳ
ಕಸಬು

ಸವಾಲ್
~~ಜವಾಬ್~~

~~ಸವಾಲ್~~
~~ಜವಾಬ್~~

~~ಸವಾಲ್~~
ಜವಾಬ್

ಸವಾಲ್

ಜವಾಬ

ಸವಾಲ್

ಜವಾಬ

ಅಪರಾಧಿ

ಈ ಮೇಲಿನ ವಾಕ್ಯಗಳು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ನನಗೆ ಹೇಳಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವಾಗ ತೆಗಿಸೋಣವಾಯಿತು ಮತ್ತು ಸದರೀ ನು ಹೇಳಿದ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಯಾವತ್ತೂ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತೆಂತ ದೃಢ ಪಡಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ತಾರೀಖು

sore Government Press, Bangalore.—15-10-85.—27,800.

ಕೋರ್ಟ್.

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ.
ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸಿಡ್ಯೂರ್ ಕೋಡ್
346ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣ.
ನಮೂನೆ ನಂಬರ್ 41, ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್.

10

1/2

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ಹೆಸರು

ವಯಸ್ಸು 38

ಜಾತಿ

ಮತ

ತಂದೆ ಹೆಸರು

ವಾಸಸ್ಥಳ

ಕಸಬು

ಸವಾಲ್

ಜವಾಬ್

ಸವಾಲ್

ಜವಾಬ್

ಸವಾಲ್

ಜವಾಬ್

ಅಪರಾಧಿ ನ

ಈ ಮೇಲಿನ ವಾಕ್ಯಾಲವು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ನನಗೆ ಕೇಳಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವಾಗ ತೆಗಿಯೋಣವಾಯಿತು ಮತ್ತು ಸದರೀ ನು ಹೇಳಿದ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಯಾವತ್ತೂ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತೆಂತ ದೃಢ ಪಡಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ತಾರೀಖು

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—15-10-85.—27,800.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ.
ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸಿಡ್ಯೂರ್ ಕೋಡ್
346ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣ.
ನಮೂನೆ ನಂಬರ್ 41, ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್.

ಸಬ್ಡಿವಿಜನಲ್ ಮೆಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟ್ ಕೋರ್ಟ್.

ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 1892ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಕೇಸ್ ನಂ. 10

ಅಪರಾಧಿಯ ಹೆಸರು
ವಯಸ್ಸು
ಜಾತಿ
ಮತ
ತಂದೆ ಹೆಸರು
ವಾಸಸ್ಥಳ
ಕಸಬು

1
2
3
4
5

~~ಸವಾಲ~~
~~ಜವಾಬ~~

~~ಸವಾಲ~~
~~ಜವಾಬ~~

~~ಸವಾಲ~~
~~ಜವಾಬ~~

ಸವಾಲ್

ಜವಾಬ

ಸವಾಲ್

ಜವಾಬ್

ಅಪರಾಧಿ [illegible] ಈ ಮೇಲಿನ ವಾಕ್ಯಗಳು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ನನಗೆ ಕೇಳಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವಾಗ ತೆಗಿಯೋಣವಾಯಿತು ಮತ್ತು ಸದರೀ [illegible] ಹೇಳಿದ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಯಾವತ್ತೂ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತೆಂತ ದೃಢ ಪಡಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ತಾರೀಖು 24/2/92

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—15-10-85.—27,800.

ಕೋರ್ಟು.

ಕೈಫಿಯತು.
ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸಿಡ್ಯೂರ್
ಕೋಡ್ 335ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣ.
ನಮೂನೆ ನಂಬರ್ 40.
ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್.

ತರಫಿನ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ

ಕೈಫಿಯತು

ವಯಸ್ಸು 40

ಜಾತಿ

ಮತ

ತಂದೇ ಹೆಸರು

ವಾಸಸ್ಥಳ

ಕಸಬು

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—9-10-85.—41,600.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜ್ಯರ್ ಕೋಡ್ ಕೋರ್ಟುಗಳ.

ಕ್ರಿ ನಂ 1892ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ನಂಬರ್ 10

ಕೃಷ್ಣಯ್ಯ

ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜ್ಯರ್ ಕೋಡ್ 335ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ನಮೂನೆ ನಂಬರ್ 40. ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್.

ತರಫಿನ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ 1

ನ ಕೃಷ್ಣಯ್ಯತು

19

ವಯಸ್ಸು 30

ಜಾತಿ

ಮತ

ತಂದೇ ಹೆಸರು

ವಾಸಸ್ಥಳ

ಕಸಬು

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—9-10-85.—41,600.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

24/2/92

ಕೈಫಿಯತು.
ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸಿಜ್ಯರ್
ಕೋಡ್ 335ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣ.
ನಮೂನೆ ನಂಬರ್ 40.
ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್.

ಕೋರ್ಟು.

ಸನ್ 1892ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಕೇಸ್ ನಂಬರ್ 10

ತರಫಿನ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ

ನ ಕೈಫಿಯತು

ವಯಸ್ಸು 50

ಜಾತಿ

ಮತ

ತಂದೇ ಹೆಸರು

ವಾಸಸ್ಥಳ

ಕಸಬು

10

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—9-10-85.—41,600.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

A Sikshita

[illegible]

[illegible]

21

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
1	[illegible]	1	2	1	300	1
2	[illegible]	1	2	1	360	1
3	[illegible]	1	2	1	300	1
4	[illegible]	1	2	1	360	1
5	[illegible]	2	4	2	645	2 1
[illegible]						

[illegible]

PERMIT FOR TRANSPORT OF TODDY

UNDER SECTION 11.

14వ సెక్షన్ ప్రకారం శేంధి తీసుకొనిపోవడమునకు రహదారి.

తాలూకాలోవుండే గ్రామమందు నివసించే కుమారుడైన తాలూకు గ్రామంలోవుండే భూమిలోని యీతచెట్లనుండి 200 గ్యాలన్‌లశేంధీని గ్రామంలోవుండే అంగడికి తీసుకపోవడమునకు రహదారిని యిందువల్ల పొందబడ్డాడు.

ఈరహదారి 1892 సం॥ నెల 30 తేదివరకు జారీలో వుంటున్నది. సదరి రహదారి

యిజారాదారుని లేక పావుకీపరుయొక్క నౌకరుని చేర్చుకొనుచు వాలకు అన్వయిస్తున్నది.

అనంతపురం కలెక్టరాఫీసు

1891 సం॥ నెల 1 తేది.

మొ॥ Penukonda

Collector

Huzur Sheristadar.

3 (5)

5 [illegible]

[illegible] 24/12/92

PERMIT FOR TRANSPORT OF TODDY

UNDER SECTION 11.

11డో శెక్షన్ ప్రకారం శేంధీ తీసుకొనిపోవడమునకు రహదారి.

జిల్లా తాలూకాలోవుండే

గ్రామమందు నివసించే కుమారుడైన

తాలూకు

గ్రామంలోవుండే భూమిలోని యీతచెట్లనుండి

(26) గ్యాలన్‌లశేంధీని

గ్రామంలోవుండే అండికి తీసుకపోవడమునకు రహదారిని యిందువల్ల పొంతబడ్డాడు.

ఈరహదారి 18 92 సం[redacted] నెల 30 తేదివరకు జారీలో వుంటున్నది. సదరి రహదారి

యిజారాదారుని లేక పాపుకీపరుయొక్క నౌకరుని చేర్చుకొనుచు వాలకు అన్వయిస్తున్నది.

అనంతపురం కలెక్టరుకచ్చేరి

1892 సం॥ నెల 27 తేది.

మెకాం

Collector

Huzur Sheristadar.

(4)

'3 [illegible]

[illegible] 24/2/92

NO.

PERMIT FOR TRANSPORT OF TODDY UNDER SECTION 11.

11వ సెక్షన్ ప్రకారం శేంధి తీసుకొనిపోవడమునకు రహదారి.

జిల్లా తాలూక్కాలోవుండే గ్రామమందు నివసించే . కుమారుడైన తాలూకు గ్రామంలోవుండే భూమిలోని యీతచెట్లనుండి శ్యాలకాల శేంధిని గ్రామంలోవుండే అంగడికి తీసుకపోవడమునకు రహదారిని యింపువల్ల పొందబడ్డాడు.

ఈరహదారి 1872 సం॥ సెప్ 30 తేదివరకు జారీలో వుంటున్నది. సదరి రహదారి యిచ్చా దారుని లేక పావుకపకుయొక్క నౌకరుని చేర్చుకొనుచు వాడుకు అన్వయిస్తున్నది.

అనంతపురం కలెక్టరాఫీసు

1872 సం॥ సెప్ 13 తేది.

Collector.

Huzur ~~Sheristadar~~ Clerk

(3)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

PERMIT FOR TRANSPORT OF TODDY

UNDER SECTION 11.

సెక్షన్ ప్రకారం శేంది తీసుకొనిపోవడమునకు రహదారి.

తాలూకాలోవుండే

గ్రామమందు నివసించే కుమారుడైన

తాలూకా

గ్రామంలోవుండే — భూమిలోని యీతచెట్లనుండి

గ్యాలన్‌లశేందిని

గ్రామంలోవుండే అంగడికి తీసుకపోవడమునకు రహదారిని యింపువల్ల పొందబడ్డాడు.

తూరహదారి 1892 సెల 30 తేదివరకు జారీలో వుంటున్నది. సదరి రహదారి

యిజారాదారుని లేక పావుకీపరుయొక్క నౌకరుని చేర్చుకొనుము వారికు అన్వయిస్తున్నది.

అనంతపురం కలెక్టరుకచ్చేరి

1891 సెల / తేది.

మొక్కాం

Collector.

Huzur Sheristadar.

7

(2)

24/2/92

PERMIT FOR TRANSPORT OF TODDY

UNDER SECTION 11:

పాడో శేషయ్య ప్రకారం శేంధి తీసుకొనిపోవడమునకు రహదారి.

అనంతపురము జిల్లా — తాలూకాలోపుండే గుత్తి

గ్రామమందు నివసించే తాళ్ళపల్లి పోలిసెట్టి — కుమారుడైన రత్నపల్లి పోలిసెట్టి

అనంతపురము జిల్లా — తాలూకు కళ్యాణదుర్గం సీమలోపల్లి

గ్రామంలోపుండే కరిగుంటపల్లి గ్రామములో గల తోటలో — భూమిలోని యీతచెట్లనుండి

200 గ్యాలన్‌లశేంధి తాండాపల్లి గ్రామంలోపుండే అంగడిలో తీసుకపోవడమునకు రహదారిని యిందువల్ల పొంటబడ్డాడు.

ఈరహదారి 1892 సం॥ — నెల 30 తేదివరకు జారీలో వుంటున్నది. సదరి రహదారి

యిజారాదారుని లేక పాపుకీపరుయొక్క నౌకరుని చేర్చుకొనుచు వాలకు అన్వయిస్తున్నది.

అనంతపురం కలెక్టరాఫీసు

1891 సం॥ నెల 1 తేది.

మొక్కాం Penukonda

Collector

Huzur Shereistadar.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

24

1/6

3

[illegible]

[illegible] 25/4/52

189 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1 [illegible]

2 [illegible]

3 [illegible]

4 [illegible]

5 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

2 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

7. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1/5

25

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1892 [illegible] 24 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1872 [illegible] 24 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1892 [illegible] 24 [illegible]

[illegible] 4 [illegible] 10/[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1892

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
1	[illegible]	1		[illegible]
2	[illegible]	1	1	[illegible]
3	[illegible]	1	1	[illegible]
4	[illegible]	1	1	
5	[illegible]	2	2	

[illegible] 26/12/92

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೩೦೩ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಸಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಂಗ್

ಕ್ರಿ.ಶ. 1892 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳು 10 ನೆ 27

ಇ ಅಂಕಿತ

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಂಗ್

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು

1 ನೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿಂಗ್ - 2 ನೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿಂಗ್ - 3 ನೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿಂಗ್ - 4 ನೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿಂಗ್

5 ನೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿಂಗ್ - 6 ನೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿಂಗ್

ಸಿಂಗ್	೨೧	ಸಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಂಗ್ ಸಿಂಗ್

In the Court of District Magistrate of Tumkur. —

This 9th day of April 1892 at Tumkur.

Present B. K. Venkatavarda Iyengar
District Magistrate

Petition of criminal appeal No. 17 of 1892, against the conviction and sentence, by Mr. Kantiravachar, Amildar and 3rd class Magistrate of Huddageri in Criminal case No. 10 of 1892 on the file of his court.	Appellants 1 Ringa 2 Ranna 3 G. Ringa 4 Babaji 5 Moodli.

R 28

Judgment

The appellants were convicted of the offence of transporting a large quantity of toddy from certain groves in the British territory to certain villages also in the British Territory through the Mysore State without a permit from the Mysore authorities contrary to Sections 21 & 41 of the Excise Act, and were sentenced to pay a fine of

of 5 Rs each, or in default to suffer 7 days simple imprisonment each, the 5th Appellant's fine being 10/ Rupees.-

2 The grounds of appeal presented on 26th March 1892, are

that it was unjust that the lower Court did not consider that the complaint proceeded from malice and bad intention.

(2) that bad faith and neglect on the part of the accused, necessary to make them liable to Criminal prosecution have not been proved;

(3) that the accused having been simply temporary Coolies in the employ of Contractors, and being ignorant people, they were not liable to the charge.—

(4) that although the fact of their good faith was proved, the lower Court did not take this into its consideration; and

(5)

(5) that it is unjust to have both fined the appellants and confiscated their carts and casks.-

3 Section 21 of the Excise Act says that none shall have in his possession more fermented liquor than that specified in it without a pass; and the breach of this rule is made punishable by Sec: 41 of the same Act. The appellants were found within the Ellyore Territory taking several carts of toddy (or fermented liquor) without a pass. They admit this and say that they were not aware of the law. Ignorance of law is no excuse and so I think that they have been rightly convicted. But the confiscation of their carts and casks was probably not necessary. The fine imposed was itself enough. I therefore cancel the confiscation under Sec. 520 of the Criminal Procedure Code.-

(Signed) B. N. Venkatavarda Iyengar
Distt. Magistrate.-

Copy

Misc. No. 1383

Copy forwarded to the Amildar Magistrate of the Mud-dagiri Taluq with copy of order and the record of the case.—

[illegible]

9-4-92 District Magistrate

12/4/92

16/4/92

18/4/92

Order of Appellate Court, confirming sentence.

Section 423, C. P. Code. Form No. 20, Criminal.

IN THE COURT OF the District M[illegible] trate of Tumkur

Criminal Appeal No. 17 of 1892.

1 Ranga. 2 Rama
3 Gamplahalli Ranga 4 Babaji & 5 Moodli } Appellants.

Versus.

THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT.

Upon reading a petition of appeal presented by the said Appellants Ranga & 4 others against the sentence of the Amil[illegible] Magistrate of Maddagiri passed on a conviction under Sections 21 & 41 of the Indian ~~Penal Code~~ Excise Act on the 24th day of February 1892, and upon reading the proceedings of the said Amildar Magte on the trial of the said Appellants and after hearing Mr. Achootha Rao, Pleader for the Appel[illegible]

the order of the said Magistrate confiscating the Carts & Cattle of the A[illegible] lants be [illegible]

It is ordered that the appeal be dismissed, and that a copy of this order be sent to the Court of the said Magistrate of Maddagiri for record.

~~And it is further ordered that a copy of this order be sent to the Officer in charge of the Jail at for the information of the said~~

Given under my hand and the seal of this Court this 9th day of April 1892.

"Copy"

(Signed) B. K. Venkatavaradar[illegible]
District Magistrate
Tumkur

[illegible]
District Magistrate

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—9-7-90.—1,290.

(General Serial No. 30.)

(2)

1892.

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Deputy Commr. of the Tumkur District

Dated 15th Aug/92

Despatched 17

Received

Enclosures No. 2 Do-ofl.

General No. 2438
No. R393-92

Calling for a Statement of cases in which inhabitants of Mysore have been prosecuted & convicted by British Magistrates for conveying liquor thro' British territory to places situated in Mysore without a pass from their officers—

SECTION S. R.

FILE No. 370642

SER. No. 2

References to be marked.

To the same no 3326. & 30/8/92
(5) R.T-100-92

From the same No 3078 & 9/9/92
(6)

2 Drafts. (2)

To

The Dy Commr.
Tumkur District.

Dated 15/17 August 92
Desp'd.

No. 2438/R 393-92 2 Drafts

His No. 192 of the 25th Ultimo submg for orders copy of the Chief Court Order No. 348/478 of 23rd June 1892 in Revision case No 114 of 1892 accused Ranga and four others charged under sections 21 and 41 of the Excise Act.

Has the honor to request ~~that~~ the Dy Commr. will be good enough to submit for the information of Govt. (vide reference to para 7 of his letter) a statement showing the particulars of cases in which

R

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

2 Dr[illegible]
12/8

~~been~~ of this State have been prosecuted and convicted by ~~the~~ British Magistrates for ~~having~~ ~~been forced~~ conveying liquor ~~across their boundaries~~ from this British Territory to places situated in Mysore, without a pass from their officers. ~~in support of his allegation in para 7 of the above~~

"By order"

Draft 15/8
Chief Secretary.
12/8

References to be marked.

To (3)

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 15 August 1892

Recd. 19th

No. 2439 Sw 242 Revd
R 394-92

Transmitting Dy Commrs
letter No. 192 of the 25th
Ultimo submitting for
orders. Copy of the
Chief Court order No. 3485/478
of 23rd June 1892 in
Revision Case No. 114 of
1892, accused Ramaya
and four others charged
under sections 21 and 41
of the Excise Act.

Has the honor to
forward for information the above letter
together with its enclosures
in original ~~(to be returned when dealt with)~~
~~for information~~, and
to request ~~that~~ the
Excise Commrs will
be good enough to
~~return~~ submit the same
at an early date.

with his remarks.

"By order"

Draft. 15

Chief Secretary.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

References to be marked.

Rev. Have not the papers been already called for? MSK 20/8

Record
Put up draft to Commissioner for orders subject:
RS. 22/8/92
Endorsed
Yes, a draft reminder to D.C.'s submitted for approval.
24/8/92 RM 27/8

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

OFFICE OF THE
1940
26 AUG 92
R 519

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore. (4)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 19 August 1892.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 983

No. 53

In reply to Chief secy's lr: 2439/394-92 dated 17 instant SUBJECT.

The Excise Commissioner being unaware of any cases in which persons carrying liquor from one part of the Mysore State to another through British territory, were prosecuted and convicted by British Magistrates requests that the papers relating to the cases alluded to by the Deputy Commr, Tumkur District, may be called for and sent to this office for reference.

SECTION S.R.

FILE No. 3706 92-93

SERIAL No. 4.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the same No 4627 dt 16/9/92
(7) R.F 100-92

Recd 20/8

No: 983/53

Office of the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore, Dated 19 August 1892.

(4)

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No: 2439/394-92, dated 17 instant, I have the honor to state that I am not aware of any cases in which persons carrying liquor across British territory from one part of the Mysore State to another were prosecuted and convicted by British Magistrates. In the case of the Pavagada taluk, in which a consignment of Mysore arrack which was passing through British territory was seized by the Madras Abkari Officials, a report was immediately made to you in this Office No: 1460/72, dated 7 June 1892. Thereupon the Madras Government was moved to order the liquor to be released, and accordingly it was released. There was no prosecution in this case.

2. It is therefore requested that the papers relating to cases

Cases referred to by the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, in para 7 of his letter, may be kindly called for and sent to me for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

S Mukerji
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Jmb.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892-932903.
12 SEP. 9
R 767

(6)

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore

SECTION S.R.
FILE NO. 37 of 92
SERIAL NO. 5

Dated 16th } September 92
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 30
No.

His docket no: 2438 of the 17th R.393-92
relating —

Submitting the statements received from the District Magistrate of Anantapur and the Amildars of the Madagadi and Pavagada Taluks showing the particulars of cases in which the inhabitants of the Mysore State were prosecuted and convicted by British Magistrates for conveying liquor through the Madakasira Taluk to Pavaga

Rev. Refer.
12/9/92

13.9.92

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

(7)

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Excise Commr in Mysore.

SECTION SR.

FILE No. 37 of 92

SERIAL No. 6

Dated 16th September 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4627
No. R. 7-100-92

Forwarding in original Tumkur Dy. Commr's letter ~~&c~~ together with its enclosures on the Subject, viz: prosecution & Conviction of inhabitants of Mysore for conveying liquor thro' British Territory to places Situated in Mysore without a pass from the Officers of British Territory &c., —

References to be marked.

(8)

From the same No 1893/94 of 24.9.92

(7)

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 16th/20 Septr. 92

Sw. 4. — No. 4627 / R 7100-92

His letter no. 983/53 of the 19th Ultimo requesting that the papers relating to cases in which inhabitants of the Mysore Territory were prosecuted and convicted by British Magistrates for conveying liquor through British Territory to places situated in Mysore without a pass from the officers of the British Territory alluded to by the Dy Commr., Tumkur District, in his letter no 192 of the 25th July 92 to the Chief Secy. may be sent to him for reference

Ma

the honor to forward an original Tumkur Dy Commr's letter No 307 of the 9th Instant together with its enclosures and to request that the Hon'ble Commr will be good enough to submit all the papers on the subject with his remarks.

By order

Draft.

Mr 15/8/92

For Chief Secy.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

References to be marked.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 24 September 1892.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 6 & 2 Recd.

General No. 1893

No. 94

In reply to Chief Secy's Loo: 2439/394-92 715/17-8-9 & 4627/100-92 717-9-92.

SUBJECT.

Submits remarks on the proposal of the Deputy Comr. Tumkur District, proposing to present an application in the High Court of Madras for revision of the sentences passed on Mysore subjects by British Magistrates for having carried toddy from one part of the Mysore State to another, across British territory.

Ref Mr 25/9/92

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Recd 10/10

No: 1893/94
(Encls: 6 & 2 records)

Excise Commissioner's Office
in Mysore, Bangalore.
Dated 24 September 1892.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

(8)

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In returning, herewith, the records and correspondence forwarded, for my remarks, with your dockets No: 2439/394-92, dated 15 August 1892, and 4627/100-92, dated 17 instant, I have the honor to submit the following observations: —

(1) It appears from the records that British Magistrates, under sec: 55 of the Madras Abkari act, recovered from the servants of the Toddy Contractors of the Pavagada and Mudgiri taluks, heavy fines amounting to Rupees 1360, besides subjecting them to other losses, on the ground that they were found conveying toddy from one part of the Mysore State to another across British Territory, without permits from British authorities. I beg to submit that the above sentence is illegal, as the aggrieved parties were neither importing nor exporting the liquor within the meaning of

of the aforesaid sec: 55 of the Madras Abkari act, or para 4 of Rule 170 in Chapter XI of the Standing Orders of the Madras Board of Revenue, but they were simply transporting it from one part of the Mysore State to another. If an appeal had been preferred, or if the cases had been brought to the notice of the High Court of Judicature, Madras, I am inclined to think that the sentences would have been quashed on the same grounds as the Chief Court of Mysore quashed the sentence which had been passed by the Mysore Magistrates on British subjects for having carried toddy from one part of British territory to another across the Mysore territory. But the parties do not seem to have moved in the matter.

The Fees was [illegible]

(2) The Amildars of the Pavagada and Huddigiri taluks bring to notice that not only have the contractors suffered, but the Mysore State Revenue has also suffered in consequence of several shops having been closed ever since the above punishments were inflicted by British Magistrates.

(3) Under the above circumstances, I beg to suggest that the Government Advocate may be asked to present a

a Petition to the High Court of Madras, praying for the revision of the aforesaid sentences passed upon Mysore subjects by British Magistrates.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

S Markette
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

2

(General Serial No. 30.)

(9)

1892.

SECTION SR

FILE No. 378/92

SERIAL No. 8

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Excise Commr. in Mysore
" Dy. Commr., Tumkur &c
" Govt. Advocate.

Dated 23rd Decr 92

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 9780-2

No. R. 7. 100-92

Forwarding to the Govt. Advocate for his opinion, certain correspondence relating to the proposal to move the High Court of Madras to revise the convictions by British Magistrates of Mysore inhabitants for conveying Mysore Toddy thro' British Territory without passes from Br. Authorities &c., —

(9)

Read: —

Letter No 307 of the 9th September 1892 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District, submitting as called for in docket No. 2438/R393-92 dated 15th August 1892, statements showing particulars of cases in which the inhabitants of the Mysore State were prosecuted and convicted by British Magistrates for conveying Toddy through British Territory without passes signed by British authorities.

Read also

2. Excise Commissioner's letter No. 1893/94 dated 24th September 1892 recommending

Put up on 22/12

No 9780-2/R.7.100-92 Dt 23/12/92 2-1-93

Sir 2

with a file of papers as per list

that the High Court of Madras may be moved to revise the convictions in the cases above referred to.

Order thereon: —

It is clear from the statements received with the first of the communications read above, that the consignments of Toddy which passed through British Territory were as a matter of fact covered by Mysore passes, though not by permits from British authorities; and the Excise Commissioner has suggested

has by this time been
supplied and that there
is now no difficulty
in the transit of Mysore
liquor through British
Territory from one
Mysore village to another
Mysore village as usual.
It would be of advantage
to all concerned to
introduce a system
of mutual issue of
transport passes
on the lines suggested
in the Madras Revenue
Board Proc.s No $\frac{3591}{mis}$
dated 19th September

1892 and acquiesced in by the Excise Commissioner in his letter No 2987 dated 2nd December 1892. Copies of which will be forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District, for information.

Draft
23/12
Chief Secretary

To The Excise Commissioner in Mysore
" Dy. Commr.,
" Tumkur District
~~Extract~~ The Govt Advocate
with papers as per
list annexed —

(10)

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 . 8035 R 2061.

From The Actg Govt Advocate in Mysore.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 25th January 1893

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 20 as per list

General No. 10.
No.

SECTION SR.
FILE No. 37 & 92
SERIAL No. 9.

Submitting opinion in the matter of a petition to be presented to the High Court of Madras.

R. Mr 26/1

Draft ~~sent~~ to the Commissioner of Income Tax.
R.M
30/1/93.

To The Dy Commr Income Tax
13453 / R 7100-92 & 30/1/93

Recd 26/1

No. 10

Enclosure as per list

Agent Govt. Advocate's Office
Dut. 15th January 1893.

(10)

From

The Agent Govt. Advocate
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to the Government Proceedings No. 9780-2 / R. 7.100-92, dated 23rd December last. I beg to state that from the correspondence I am of opinion that applications may be presented to the High Court of Madras praying for the revision of the sentences, but it would be ~~most~~ more satisfactory if ~~the~~ copies of judgments in the Criminal Cases are obtained & sent to me to enable me to express definitely the grounds if any for revision and further no application can

Can be presented without the copies of the Judgments.

2. The enclosures are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most obedt Servant.
[illegible]
Actg Govt Advocate

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892-93

(11)

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Deputy Commr. Tumkur District

SECTION SR

FILE No. 32892

SER. No. 10

Dated 30th January 1893

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No

General No. 13453

No. R.7.100-92

Requesting to be furnished with copies of judgments of the Magistrates of Madakasira, prosecuting & convicting the inhabitants of the Mysore State for conveying Mysore liquor thro' British Territory &c.,

From the same - No 752

dy 14. 3. 92.

1892-93.

(11)

To

The Dy Commr.

Tumkur District.

Dated 30th January 1893.

Desp'd. 3-2-93

No. 13453 / R.F.100-92 Sir 3 statements

Govt. Proc. No. 9780-2 / R.F.100-92

(9) Dated 23rd December 1892.

Has the honor to request that copies of judgments in the Criminal cases on the file of the Taluk Magistrate of Madakasira and on the file of the Sheristedar and 2nd Class Magistrate of the aforesaid Taluk,

in which the inhabitants
of the Mysore State
were prosecuted and
convicted under section
55 of the Madras Abkari
Act, may be obtained Draft for ...
through the District
Magistrate of Anantapur
and submitted to this
office at as early a
date as practicable

2. The three statements
received with his letter
No 307 dated 9th September
1892 are herewith returned
for reference

By order

Chief Secretary.

Draft pubd.
21/3/93

(General Serial No. 30.)

10468.
16 MAR 93
R 2605

1892 . 93

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kurkis District

(12)

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt:
of Mysore.

SECTION S.R.
FILE No. 37 & 92
SER No. 11

Dated 14th
Despatched } March 1893.
Received

Enclosures No. 1.

General No.
No. 752.

Chief Secretary's Docket
No: 13453. (11) See B of the 30th Jany:
R. 7. 100-92
1893.

Submits copy of the Dy: Commrs: letter to the Dt: Magte of Anantapur & that of his reply thereto stating that application for copies of Judgments in the Criminal Cases referred to, shd: be made through the Madras Govt:

R. 16/3
Pt 17/3

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

Sub-d with draft
21/3

Recd 17/3

Indl: No: 791.

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated 17th February 1893.

To,

The District Magistrate
of Anantapur

12/a

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore has, in his Docket No: 13453 R. F. 100-9 of the 30th Ultimo, asked me to obtain from you and forward to him copies of the Judgments in the Criminal Cases on the files of the Taluk Magistrate and Sheristadar Magistrate of Madakasira, convicting under Section 55 of the Madras Abkari Act certain inhabitants of the Mysore State for the transport without a pass of Mysore toddy from one part of Mysore to another part across your frontier —. The statements of the Cases referred to are herewith sent for reference and obliging return. I shall feel highly obliged to you if you kindly favor me with the Copies wanted —. I have &c

(Signed,

/Signed/ B. K. Venkatavarada Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner

—"—

No: D. No: 153.
3-3-93.

Anantapur District
Magistrate's Office
Dated 3rd March 1893.

From, W. G. Underwood Esquire
District Magistrate
of Anantapur

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Kurnool District.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No: 791
17-2-93
I have the honor to state that it seems to me that I am precluded by Section 548 C.P.C. from forwarding you copies of Criminal Judgments or orders. I beg to suggest that the Madras Government be addressed through the usual channels.

I have &c

/Signed/ C. Raghavachar

For District Magistrate.

"Copies"

Deputy Commissioner
Kurnool District

(General Serial No. 30.)

13

1893

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

SECTION SR

FILE No. 37 of 92

SERIAL No. 12

To The 1st Asstt to the Resident in Mysore.

Dated 16th July 1893

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2394
No. R.7.100-92

Requesting to be furnished with copies of judgments in the Criminal Cases on the files of the Taluk Magistr & Sheristadar Magistr of Madaka-Sira, in which the inhabitants of the Mysore State were prosecuted and Convicted under Section 53 of the Madras Abkari Act—

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

To

(15)

The 1st asstt to the Resident
in Mysore.

Dated 16 ~~September~~ 1893.
No 2394
R.7.100-92.

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to obtain and furnish the Darbar with copies of judgments in the Criminal cases on the file of the Taluk Magistrate of Madaka Sira and on that of the Sheristedar Magistrate thereof in which the inhabitants of the Mysore

State were prosecuted and convicted under section 55 of the Madras Abkari Act.

I have &c.

16/7

Chief Secretary.

21/3/93

Draft for file

R.F 100-92 Sec. 1

(General Serial No. 30.) 2035

1893/94 R524

From The 1st Asst to the President in Lagos

SECT. Sh.

FILE NO. 37892

SUB-FILE NO. 13

To

Dated 15th August 1893

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2777

No. 310.93

Requested to be furnished with fuller particulars in re copies of judgments in criminal cases required by the Barber.

To

The Commr Lumbur No 4135 R9100-92

71/9/93

Rev. Mse 15/8/93

1/8

Recd 16/8

No. 2777
310.93.

(14)

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

TO

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 15th August 1893.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.2394-R.F.100.92 dated the 18th July 1893, asking for copies of judgments in certain criminal cases, I am directed to request that you will be good enough to furnish fuller particulars, viz: the names of the accused in whose cases copies of judgments are required, the approximate dates of such judgments, and the Districts in which the convictions took place.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H.V. Cobb.

First Assistant Resident.

K.L.

(General Serial No. 30.)

Rev Dr 13/9

1893.94. 35-47.

R 857

From The Dy: Commissioner Anukur District

Submitted with draft for approval

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt. of Mysore.

23/12

Dated 12th September 1893.

SECTION S.A.
FILE NO. 37092
SER... 15

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. -

General No.

No. 238.

Chief Secretary's Memo: No: 4135 of the 1st Instant: R.F. 100-92

—"—

Requests that the original statements received from the District Magistrate of Anantapur and the Amildars of Maddagiri and Pavagada Taluks (in connection with the cases in which the inhabitants of the Mysore State were prosecuted & convicted by British Magte) & submitted with the Dy: Comrs: No: 307 dy 9th Septr: /92 may be kindly sent for reference.

To the same No 10718 dy 5/1/94 RF-100

Recd 15/9

No: 238.

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur 12th September 1893.

16

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No: 4135 of the 1st Instant, calling for further particulars in connection with the cases in which the Inhabitants of the Mysore State were prosecuted and convicted by British Magistrate for conveying liquor through the Madakasira Taluk, I have the honor to request that the original Statements received from the District Magistrate of Anantapur and the Amildars of Maddagiri and Pavagada Taluks on the subject and submitted with this Office letter No: 307 dated 9th September 1892 may be kindly sent for reference.

R. F. 100-92

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

A Raghavendra Rao

For Deputy Commissioner

Innikiri District

Pols for affs

(17)

The Dy Comr
Tumkur Distt

5/11 Dec/93 Jany 94

No 10718 / R.F. 100-92

His docket No 238 Dy 12th Sept/93 requesting the return of the Matrimonials submitted by him with his No 307 Dy 9th Sept/92 in re the cases in which the inhabitants of the Mysore State were prosecuted and convicted by British Magte.

In reply has the honor to refer the Dy Comr to this office No 13453/R.F. 100-92 Dy 30th Jan 4/93 from whe

S.R.
No. 3788/92
SERIAL No. 16
Dy

it will be seen that the statements referred to were sent to him for reference.

2. From his letter No 791 D/17th Feby/93 to the District Magte of Anantapur, ~~& his reply~~ copies of which ~~were~~ were submitted to this office with his letter No 752 D/ 14th March 93, it is observed that these statements ~~were~~ forwarded by ~~from~~ the Dy Com to the Dt Magte of Anantapur for reference, ~~but not~~ do not appear to have been returned.

B. O
Y.
for Chief Secy

29/Vol/93

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

To

The ~~Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.~~

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—30-6-92—30

(General Serial No. 30.)

14232
22 MAR. 94
R 27/18

1894.

From The Deputy Commr. Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~Deccan~~ Govt. of Mysore.

Dated 19th March 1894

Despatched 20 " — do —

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 754
No.

His docket no 10718 R.F. 100-92 of the 5th January 1894

Submits a statement showing the names &c of the persons who were convicted by the British Magistrates for having conveyed liquor across their frontiers without their laps

Submitted with draft for approval & order & desp.

28/3/94

SECTION S.R.
FILE NO. 37092
SERIAL NO. 17

To The 1st Asst to the Resident no 16770 RF 100-92 dt 2/4/94.

R 22/3

Rev 22/3/94

No: 754
Encls: 1

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office

(18)

Dated Tumkur, 19th March 1894

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your Docket No: 10718 / R.F. 100-92 of the 5th January 1894, I have the honor to submit herewith a statement (Compiled from information available here) shewing the names &c. of the persons who were Convicted by the British Magistrates for having Conveyed liquor across their frontier without their pass.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedient Servant

[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner

Statement shewing the names of the persons who were convicted by the British Magistrates for having conveyed liquor through British territory to places situated in Mysore &c. without a pass from their Officers.

18/a

Number of the Case	Name of the accused	Approximate date of Judgment	By what Magistrate Convicted and in what District
5 of 1892	Obadu	1892	Shirstadar and 2nd Class Magistrate of the Madaka Sira Taluk in the &c. Anantapur District
2 of 1892	Basavadu	1892	Do Do
10 of 1892	Dasappa	1892	Do Do
84 of 1892	Dasadu	1892	Do Do
85 of 1892	Tippagadu	1892	Do Do
86 of 1892	Timmadu	1892	Do Do
87 of 1892	Ellappa	1892	Do Do
70 of 1892	Narasigadu	1892	Do Do
69 of 1892	Obaleesu	1892	Do Do
66 of 1892	Venkatappa	1892	Do Do
72 of 1892	Khasem Sáb	1892	Do Do
65 of 1892	Narasimhadu	1892	Do Do
66 of 1892	Vammagadu	1892	Do Do

6 of 1892	Guravadu	1892	Do	Do
7 of 1892	Budin Sáb	1892	Do	Do
of 1892	Naracimhiya of Venkatapura Pavagada Taluk	January 1892	Do	Do
of 1892	Shikindar of Araikéré Pavagada Taluk	January 1892	Do	Do
of 1892	Chikka Obiya of Shamarayana Palya	1892	Do	Do
of 1892	Yer Thimmiyya	1892	Do	Do
of 1892	Nagaya of Chamamady Pavagada Taluk	1892	Do	Do
of 1892	Ramalinga Gowda of Virapa sundra Pavagada Taluk	1892	Do	Do
of 1892	— Do —	28th & 29th February 1892	Do	Do
4 of 1892	Naracimha of Venkatapura Pavagada Taluk	February 1892	Do	Do
36 of 1892	Sattigadu	1892	Taluk Magistrate of Madakasira in the Anantapur District.	
37 of 1892	Kangiriyappah	1892	Taluk Magistrate of Madakasira in the Anantapur District.	
of 1891	Yerra Timmiya of Gujjanadu in the Pavagada Taluk	December 1891	Do —	Do —

Draft for approval

The 1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore

No 16770 Dt 2-4-94
R.7.100-92 4

Gn1.

SECTION SR.
FILE NO. 37 of 92
SR. NO. 18

Sir

As desired in your letter No 2777 Dt 15th Aug 93, 310-93 I am directed to forward herewith a statement giving full particulars of the cases in which copies of judgments were applied for in my letter of the 16th July 1893.

Mysore
2/4/94
Under Secy

28/3

(General Serial No. 30.)

16683

1893/94 R 3388

SECTION SR

FILE No. 37 & 92

From The 1st Asst to the Resident in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 16 May 94

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1650

No. 316. 93

Enquiring whether the Darbar will meet the charges for stamped paper required for copies of judgments in certain criminal cases on the file of the District Magistrate of Anantapur.

R

References to be marked.

To

The Same No 22854 of 21/6/94
R 7100-92

Submitted for orders 19

Govt will have to pay not only for stamps but I believe also transcribing fees according rule which payts will have to be made 1st the documents are granted. What sum should in fact case be remitted? If we do not send a lump sum they will ask for it & time will be lost. Why a lump sum be sent & balance if any refunded on intimation or if any remain intimated?

11/6/94

S.R.
S.D.S.

To the Chief Secy.

Reply that the stamp & transcr charges specified will be paid on intimation of the amount and that the copies may be prepared in anticipation. A sum of Rs 10 is hereunto sent as an advance

Subject to adjustment

Dr Hall 26

Omitted
Jdy
21/6

M. G. C. Press, Bangalore.—3-2-94.—3000.

Rec'd 10/5/94

No. 1650 / 31093

(20)

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 10th May 1894.

SIR,

The District Magistrate of Anantapur, who was asked to furnish copies of judgments in the criminal cases specified in the statement received with your letter of the 2nd April 1894, No. 16770 / R.F. 100.92, has, in reply stated that he is precluded, under section 540 of the Code of Criminal procedure from granting copies of judgments gratis, and inquires if the Darbar will meet the charges for stamped paper required for such copies.

I have the honour to be, Sir, Your most obedient servant,

[illegible]

1st Asst. Resident.

Maj.

H

(21)

To.

The First Asst. to the Resdt. in Mysore

No 22854 D 21.6.94
R.7-100-92 27
Fr Rs 10.

SECTION SR.
FILE NO. 37 of 92
SUB NO. 20

Dt. June 1894.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter No 1650/310-93 of 10th May 1894, stating that the Distt. Magte. of Anantapur has replied to the effect that under section 548 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, he is precluded from granting gratis, copies of judgments referred to in this office letter No 16770/R.J. 100-92 of 2nd April last, and enquiring whether the Durbar will meet the charges for stamped paper required for such copies.

2. In reply, I am to say that the charges specified will be paid on intimation of the amount, and that

the

the copies may be pre-
-pared in anticipation.

3. A sum of Rs: 10/- is
herewith sent, as an
advance, subject to
adjustment.

I have &c

Chief Secy.

21/6/94

(General Serial No. 30.)

2316

1894/95- 22 AUG 94

From The 1st Asst to the Resident in Mysore R576 (22)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

SECTION SR

FILE No. 32092

SERIAL No. 21

Dated 21 August/94

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 2891

No. 310.93

Forwarding for compliance copy of letter from the District Magistrate of Anantapur in regard to copies of certain judgments required by the Darbar.

R

References to be marked.

Rd 27/8

To

Dy Comnr Tumkur No 366 R 7100.

dt 30/8/94.

M. G. C. Press, Bangalore.—3-2-94.—3000.

Submitted with draft for appl

KS.

Rec'd 22/8/94

No. 2891 / 3/10.93

22

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 21st August 189 4.

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter 22854 dated the 21st June 1894, I am directed to forward for fa
R.F.100-92
of compliance a copy of a letter No.342 dated the 9th August 1
from the District Magistrate of Anantapur in regard to copies
certain judgments required by the Durbar.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Major.

First Assistant Resident.

22

Letter No.342 dated the 9th August 1894 from the District Magistr of Anantapur to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

-----: O :-------

With reference to your No.1375-310.93 dated 16th April 1894 reques ing to furnish you with copies of judgments in cases in which persons the Mysore Territory were convicted under section 55 of the Madras A Act, I have the honour to state that full particulars have not been gi in the statement that accompanied the letter. Copies are being made a will be sent immediately in cases of judgments in which the number o Calendar cases with the year has been noted. As for the others, the Ta Magistrate, Madakasira, reports that on some of the dates ~~[illegible]~~ noted therein cases were disposed of. As the required records cannot be picked out i Taluk office without exact details, I have the honour to request that will kindly quote the number and date of Calendar cases, copies of juc ments in which are required.

[Copy]

Registrar, Resident's office.

(23)

SECTION SR
FILE NO. 3782-92
SR 22

(Here enter copy of Govt. ~~Reader~~ Residents' no 2891/310-9 dt 21st Aug 1994 and its enclosure in re ~~[illegible]~~ copies of certain judgements required by the Durbar)

No 3665/R7 100-92/R9 dt 30.8.94

Copies forwarded to the Dy Comr, Tenkasi Distt, for information & report, with reference to his letter no 754 (18) dt 19th March 94

30/8/94
for Chief Secy

J.A.
29/8/94

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE 3711 19

1894 R 1011

From The First Assd Resident

24

Submitted with drafts for appl
28
1/10.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

SECTION SR
FILE No. 37892
SERIAL No. 23

Dated 18 September/94.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 19.

General No. 3246

No. 310-93

Forwarding copies of judgments in some cases on the file of the Dist Magistrate of Anantapur and calling for an early reply to his no 2891/310-93 dt 21-8-94.

References to be marked.

Reg.

M. G. C. Press, Bangalore.—3-2-94.—3000.

Recd 18/9/94

No. 3246 / 3/1093 Ex 19.

(24)

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 18 September 1894.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No. 2891 / 310-93 dated the 21st August 1894, I am directed to forward herewith copies of judgments in the cases noted on the margin received from the District Magistrate of Anantapur, and to ask for an early reply to this office letter, cited above.

Madakasira Taluk Magistrate's file
36837, of 1892;
197, 198 and 199 of 1891.
Sheristadar Magistrate's file.
84, 85 and 87 of 1891.
2, 5, 6, 7, 10, 65, 66, 69, 70, 72 and 86 of 1892.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Henry Clarke
for 1st Assistant Resident.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

4668.
6 OCT 94
~~4429~~
R 1382

From
The 1st Assistant Resident

(25)

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 4-10-94

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 3478
No. 310-93

Forwarding a copy of a letter No. 342 dated the 25th idem from the District Magistrate of Shanlapur & requesting an early reply to his letter No. 2891/310-93, dated 21st Aug/94.

Rec'd. 17/10

The papers are in the Revenue Branch & there may be transferred to the C Branch

References to be marked.

Sd/
22.10.94

Ex. Clerk: You must come to office for a few hours at least tomorrow take over the files & seek the assistance of Mr Seenivas Row dispose of urgent papers &c.
24/10/94 S. D.

SECTION SR.
FILE No. 37092
SERIAL No. 24

M. G. C. Press, Bangalore.—3-2-94.—3000.

No. 3478 / 310-93 Encs.

5/6/94

(25)

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

TO

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 4th October 1894

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No. 3246/310-93 dated the 18th September 1894 I am directed to forward a copy of a letter No. 342 dated the 25th ult. from the District Magistrate of Anantapur, and to request the favour of an early reply to my letter noted on the margin.

To No. 2891/310-93 dated 21st August 1894

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

[illegible]
Major,
First Assistant Resident.

Letter No. 342 dated the 25th September 1894 from the District Magistrate of Anantapur to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

25/a

In continuation of this office Ref: Current No. 342 of 1894 dated 23rd August 1894, I have the honor to inform you that a sum of Rs: 5-8-0 has been spent in purchasing copy stamp papers for making copies sent to you with the letter referred to above and that the balance Rs: 4-6-0 will be remitted to you by Money Order deducting the Commission thereon if a reply is not received in this office before the close of this month.

(Copy)

Illegible

Registrar, Resident's Office

SECTION SR

FILE No. 32869

No. 25

(26)

Here-under Copy of Asst. Resident's letter no 3478/310-93 dt 4th Oct 94 and its enclosure in regard to Copies of certain judgments applied for from the Anantapur District.

No 6757/R.7.100-92 dt 30-10-94/1-11

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Comr, Tumkur Distt, for very early attention.

B.O.

30/10/94

For Chief Secy

30/10.

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1894 7435 / 2066 (27)

+ File is with hills.

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 6th December 1894

Despatched 7th —do—

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 497

SECTION S.R.

FILE NO. 370692

SERIAL NO. 26

His Memoranda No 3665 R.F. 100-92 dated the 30th August 1894 and No 6957 R.F. 100-92 dated the 30th October 1894.

Stating that the information required regarding the No. and the date of the Cases in which the Mysore people were punished by the British Magistrates for the transport of Mysore toddy across their frontier is not available &c.

No 497
Encls: 2

Deputy Commissioner's office
Tumkur District
Tumkur 6th December 1894

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your Memoranda No 3665 R.F. 100-92 dated the 30th August 1894 and No. 6757 R.F. 100-92 dated the 30th October 1894, I have the honor to state that the information required regarding the number and the date of the cases in which the Mysore people were punished by the British Magistrates for the transport of Mysore toddy across their frontier is not available. It has to be ascertained from the Tasildar Magistrate of Madakasira. The marginally noted communications were sent to him by the Amildar of Pavagada

Partics No 27 dated the 26 September 1894
Do- 35 Do 30th October 1894
Do 39 Do 10th November 1894
Do 40. Do 21st November 1894

2

Requesting him to furnish the requisite particulars, but he has not as yet complied with the request.

(27)

2. The Statements* of the cases received back with your No: 13453/RF.100-92 of the 30th January 1893 are herewith resubmitted.

*Pavagada Taluk Statement will follow.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

N. Venkatarowu

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1894 7969.
R 2187

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Gl no 7435.
R 2066

To The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated 14th December 1894

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No.

No. 528

This office No: 497 of
6th December 1894

Resubmits the
Statement of the cases
in which the toddy sub
Contractors of the Pavagada
Taluk were punished by
the British Magistrates.

R 17/12

22/12

No 528/1

Deputy Commissioner's office
Tumkur District
Tumkur 14th December 1894

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir

In continuation of this office letter No 497 dated the 6th December 1894, I have the honor to resubmit herewith the Statement of the cases in which the toddy Sub Contractors of the Pavagada Taluk were punished by the British Magistrates for the transport of Mysore toddy across their frontier

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

3

(28)

SECTION SR
FILE NO. 32692
SERIAL NO. 28

12695 D 18/2/95
RF-100-92

(Here enter copy of Asst Resident's letter no 3246/310-93 D 18th Sept 1994 forwarding Copies of some judgments in certain cases on the file of the Pakik Magistrate of Madakadura.)

Copy forwarded to the Dy Comr, Tumkur Distt, ~~for report, in continuation of this office no 3665 D 30th Aug 94~~ RF.100-92, with an intimation that copies of judgments received with the above have been forwarded to the Asst Govt Advocate for opinion.

18/2/95

For Chief Secy

S. H.T. 2/10/94

No 12693 Dt 16/2/95
R7 10092

SECTION SR
FILE No. 370891
SERIAL No. 29.

The 1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letters No 2891/310-93 Dt 21st Aug/94 and subsequent reminder No 3478/310-93 Dt 4th Oct 94 requesting further information in regard to copies of certain judgments applied for from the Anantapur Distt, I am directed to forward herewith a certified copy of Tamilnadu Govt's letter No 497 Dt 6th Dec/94 on the subject and to request the favour of the Resident moving the Collector of the Anantapur Distt to cause the information

required by the Amildar
of Pavagada bring for
nished to him, urgent as
urgently date

Have dc

16/2/95

for Chief Secy

S. D.
11/1/95.
Bills furnished
14/2

(31)

The Asst Govt Advocate
in Rangoon

SECTION SR.
FILE No. 3206 92
SERIAL No. 30

Dy 16/19 ~~Oct 94~~ February 95

No 12694/R7.10.92 Dn: 19/2/95

His letter No (10) 10 Dy 5th Jan 95

As requested in the above, has the honor to forward herewith copies of judgments as per margin and connected papers received with the Appt Resident's letter No 3246/310-93 dt 9/8/92 as per list attached and to request an early expression of his opinion as to whether a revision of these judgments may be applied for.

P.T.O.

Tradakshin Taluk Master's file:— 36, 237 of 1892
197, 198, & 199 of 1891
Shivisladar Master's file
84, 85 & 87 of 1891
2, 5, 6, 7, 10, 65, 66, 69-70, 72
& 86 of 1892.

P.T.O. For Chief Sec

31/a

List of papers sent to the Ant. Govt. Covs.

No	Date	From	To
1 192	25-7-92	Dy Comr Penukonda with two enclosures	Chief Secy
2 2438 / 393-92	15-8-92	Chief Secy —	D. C. Penukonda
3. 2439 / R 394-92	Do —	Do —	Excise Comr
4* 983 / 53	19-8-92	Excise Comr	Chief Secy
5 3326 / RF. 100-92	30-8-92	Chief Secy	D. C. Penukonda
6 307	9-9-92	D. C. Penukonda with 3 enclosures	Chief Secy
7 4627 / RF. 100-92	16-9-92	Chief Secy	Excise Comr
8 1893 / 94	24-9-92	Excise Comr	Chief Secy

And file No 100 Sec A as per list ~~thereto~~ attached thereto

(32)

(General Serial No. 30.)

13334

1894/95 ~~R 360~~ R 2539

From The Asst Govt Pleader in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Submitted for adm

Dated 6 April 95

Despatched

Received

RS 15/4

SECTION S.R.

FILE No. 370692

SERIAL No. 31.

Enclosures No. 1912 pls

General No. 167

No.

Stating that the cases referred to are similar to the revision case A 114 of 1892 disposed of by the Chief Court on 25 June 1892

Explained 15/4

R

References to be marked.

M. G. C. Press, Bangalore.—3-2-94.—3000.

No. 187

Ex. No. 1992 files

(32)

Assistant Govt. Advocate's
Office 6th April 1895

From

The Assistant Govt. Advocate
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of this Office Letter No. 10, dated 5th January 1893, and with reference to your docket No. 12694, dated 16th February 1895 I have the honor to state that the cases are similar to the Revision Case No. 114 of 1892 disposed of by the Chief Court on the 23rd June 1892 (a copy of the order of the Chief Court is

Rev
8.4.95

Pl. let cos to be entered all defaulted in each case by whom
15-4-95

List submd
16/4
The Lists put up
(A) Pavagada Rs 605
(B) Madiyere Rs 755
(C) This is the Collectors stat. his only partner The amt is put down at Rs 314.
4/5/95

as to be found in the file under reference).
and as such, applications may be
filed to the Madras High Court
to revise the sentences passed.
But I am of opinion that the
High Court of Madras may
decline to interfere on the grounds
inter alia that no appeals were preferred
and Secondly on the ground of
delay as more than 2 years have
elapsed from the dates of several
Convictions. The cases are no doubt
fit ones for revision on the merits,
the grounds of the applications
being those mentioned in the
Order of the Chief Court in the
Revision Case above mentioned.

The

a person filing bail on account of
each case may be and will with a
letter asking for to the above in to
part of the authorities over boundaries
in the above Provinces

at least
a remedy for
can not be obtained
setting forth
occurred
If this is
a communication
to forward
for medical
6.5.95
Chief Secy.
Please
Observe
6/5/95

Note

The Course adopted with the Madras Presidency, of accepting our permit books amended as proposed by the Board of Rev: for Arrack should also be extended to Toddy as difficulties have arisen relating to the latter which did not apparently arise when the Boards Proc. 3591/mis D/19th Sept/92 were issued. (Please see paper Enc 17/a of file Sect A putup).

7/5/95

S. H. J.

The enclosures of the letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

S Ramaswami Aiyar
Assistant Govt Advocate

[illegible] legislation is needed, and this
[illegible] which unless we apply for sanction
[illegible] clearly how to delay [illegible]

[illegible] considered advisable,
[illegible] action may be addressed to
[illegible] Madras, through the Residency
[illegible] Correspondence

[illegible]

[illegible] Submitted to Secy of State
[illegible] 7/5/95
Secretary
Legislative Department
2/7/95

Opinions
unnecessary.
Govt. have seen the
proposed draft
by the Regr. before
deciding on the
[illegible] point by
2/7/95

[illegible] in this case it is not advisable to wait for the Government's decision on the point referred to in the letter under reply.
5/7/95
[illegible]

Loss suffered in the shape of fines &c: ——

Pavagada Tk.
for 1891-92

Hanumanthiah ——	80 —— o
Vobale Venkata	45 —— o
Vobale Narasimah	100 —— o
Naga Yarathmiah	255 —— o
Ramaniah ——	45 —— o
Vobaliah, Thimbanatha ——	60 —— o
Ramadaiga Gowda ——	20 —— o

* Of which 225 Rs of 91 + 380/of 92. Total: *605

Madadagiri Tk.

Vide separate list for 1891-92 / 1892 — 755 of which 160 of 91.

Is a pro-rata of minimum cases

Note the above is as per lists submitted by the respective amildars.

As per list furnished from the Anantapur Collectorate for the year 1892 which 314

Submitted

Marginal note: Pro-rata not therefore may be both

RS

Recorded & submitted.

RS 3/5

Write accounts for the Pavagada Taluq for the same year

Write accounts for the Madadagiri Taluq for the same year

Write accounts for the Pavagada Taluq for the same year

Write accounts for the Madadagiri Taluq for the same year

3/5/93

2. Hon. Mr. Sir 7 Sir?

2 Amildars.

* One for Pavagada one for Madadagiri for 2 years. The 380 is for both but for one year

B
Madras Gk
Rs 55

Case Number	Case year	By what Court	Name of the accused	under what section punished			Nature and sentence of the Case
7		January 1892	Sheristadar Magistrate Madakshra	Narasimiah of Venkatapura Paragada Talak	55	80	For not accompanying the original pass Casks consisting Toddy
8	-	do	do	Shikmeedar of Arisikere Paragada Zy	55	80	do
9	-	1892	do	Chikka Voliah of Shamarayana Palaya	55	90	do
10	-	1892	do	Yer Thimmaya	55	40	do
	-	1892	do	Yagayya of Connamady Paragada Zy	55	40	do
12	-	1892	do	Rama Linga Gowda of	55	40	do

			Virapasumora Paragada Tg				
13.	-	28 & 29 Feb/92	do	do	20	-	He was convicted for having made wrong statements in regard to ~~in telling the~~ names of shops in the Mysore Province, though they had produced the copies of the original passes.
14.	-	Feb 1892	do	Narasimha S/o Venkatapparao Paragada Tg	40	-	do — Quantum not known
				Total	655	100 = 755	

Note. The above are only a few cases out of numerous cases. ~~But~~ for want of accurate information - I could not give them ~~for~~ all

It will be seen from the above that the punishment thereon inflicted for the Cert not being accompanied the passes which Mysore People obtained from the British Collectorate. The Madra people had not ied at all any passes from the Mysore Authorities to carry thro Mysore

4/8/92

7-92	do	Bogan Saib	5	5	15	25
5	1891	Thani Gerta Thimappa				
		Thani Magadak of Sreejanadri a in the Parapada Taluk	55			
6	do	do	Nagiah of Hanna-madi-Parapada	55	60	

days simple imp-
further directed to pay Rs 25 in lieu of
confiscated property. - Fine paid —

The inspector of Salt and Abkari Revenue
charges the Defendant with having trans-
ported 70 Gallons of Toddy without a valid
permit an offence punishable under section
5 of act 1 of 1886. The Defendant admits
the charge and cites no witness for his defen-
— permit produced is not signed by the —
invalid. I find the Defendant guilty an
sentence him to pay a fine of Rs 15 in
default to suffer 15 days simple imprison-
ment and he is further directed to pay Rs
25 in lieu of confiscated property. Fine pa

For not accompanying the original pas
with the Casko consisting Toddy

Ditto

[illegible] తాలూకా మచ్చిగిరి [illegible] తాలూకా మూళా దాంశేంది గా తెగ [illegible]

స్వగిరిలుండాంబులాద్దే ఆచ్చు సెట్ 1891-92 ఫ్యుమిగళల్లి [illegible]

దుతాలూకా మత్తు మోం [illegible]	పిత పితం తిరుగు [illegible]	వగగళ తపశి [illegible]	రాముగా [illegible]
శివగంగెయోళి దువాసుగ [illegible] 17	[illegible]	మచ్చిగిరితాలూకు రం [illegible] వల్లి వగ దిందా	మత్తుశిరా [illegible]
రాయువంత్రియోళి దువా [illegible] 5	[illegible]	మచ్చిగిరితాలూకు రం [illegible] వల్లి [illegible]	[illegible]
3 దిమ్మతిమరి-యోళి దు తాలూగళు 3	[illegible]	మచ్చిగిరితాలూకు రం [illegible] వల్లి [illegible]	
11 కిలారిల్ దుళ్ళి యోళి దుతాలూగళు 9	[illegible]	శిరాతాలూకు మద్దూరు [illegible]	మత్తుశిరా [illegible]
5 అరశికెరె వస్తిగె యోళి దుతాలూగళు - 3	రంగాపుర	శిరాతాలూకు మద్దూరు [illegible]	మత్తుశిరా [illegible]
6 కెతగల్లు-కాళిమరా [illegible] వస్తిగె యోళి దు తాలూగళు 5	[illegible]	[illegible]	

ಕ್ರ. ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಹಿತ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಮತ್ತು ಮೊತ್ತಗಳನ್ನು ಹೇಳಲಾಗಿದೆ

ವಿವರ —

ಸರದು ಗಳ —	ಗಾತಿ ಕಿತಿ ಸು ಸೆಯ ಸ್ಥಮಾಣ ತಿಪತ್ರ ತಾರೀಖು	ಸೆಯ ಸ್ಥಮಾಣ ವಿಸಾರಣೆ ಯುಂದ ತೆ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ	ವಿವರ —	
ಲ್ಲಾ ಸ್ಥಳ ಸೆಯುವಿ	ತಿ ಶಂಬಳ ಚ್ಚಾರಿ 2 ಕಿಂ ಗಳ ಲ್ಲಿಯ ಹಿತಿ ಪದನ್ನ ಗಮಾತಿ ಶಿ ಕಿತರಲಾ ಗಾಲು 1-2 ತ್ರಿಂಗಳುಳಿಸಿ ಸುಖರುವವರು ಉಚ್ಚಾಯಿಸುವ ದಿಯತ್ತಾರೆ	1-12-91	80-00	ಮೊದಲು ಶೆಂದಿ ಶಿಷ್ಟುವ ಚೆಲ್ಲಿಶಿ ಗಾತಿ ಲಿತಿಸ್ತ ಗಳುಚಾ ಮೆತಿಖಚ್ಚಿಲುಬೆದ್ದ ಪ್ರಕಾ ಚ್ಚಾರಿ ತ್ರಿಂಗಳ್ಲಿ ಮಾ ದಾಂಚಲ್ಲಿ ಶಂಸ್ಥಾ ಕಾಂಕ್ಷ ಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಂತಿ ಸಿ ದಾಂಚುತ್ತಾರೆ — —
		1-12-91	45-00	ಮೊದಲು ಶೆಂದಿ ಶಿಷ್ಟುವ ಚೆಲ್ಲಿಶಿ ಗಾತಿ ಲಿಸ್ತ ಗಳುಚಾ ಮೆತಿಖಚ್ಚಿಲು ದ್ದ ಪ್ರಕಾ ಚ್ಚಾರಿ ತ್ರಿಂಗಳ್ಲಿ ಶಿ ಚಾ ಲುಂ ಚುಲ್ಲಿ ಶಂಸ್ಥಾ ಕಾಂಕ್ಷ ಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಂತಿ ಸಿ ದಾಂಚುತ್ತಾರೆ — —
	ತಿ	1-12-91	100-00	ಲಾ ಚ್ಚಾರಿ ತ್ರಿಂಗಳ್ಲಿ 1 ದಶಕ್ಕೆ 80 ರೂಪಾಯಿಂತೆ 2 ದಶಕ್ಕೆ 2 ಕ್ಕೆ ಲುಮ್ಮಾ 100 ರೂಪಾಯಿಂತೆ 1 ದಶಕ್ಕೆ 2 ಗಾತಿ 2 ದಶಕ್ಕೆ ಚೆಲ್ಲಿಶಿ ಗಾತಿಗಾತಿ ಮೆತಿಖಚ್ಚಿಲು ದ್ದ ಪ್ರಕಾ ಚ್ಚಾರಿ ಗಾತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಶಂಸ್ಥಾ ಕಾಂಕ್ಷ ಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಂತಿ ಸಿ ದಾಂಚುತ್ತಾರೆ —
ಕ್ಕಿ ಮಾ ಸ ಶ್ರೀ ತಿ ಮಾ ಳ ಗಾಗಿ	ತಿ	11-7-92 ಮತ್ತು 13-7-92	255-00	ಮೊದಲು ದಶಕ್ಕೆ ತಿಂಬಳ ತಿಂಗಳ್ಲಿ ಶಿ ಗಾತಿ ಲ್ಲಿ ಗಾಂ ದ ಚುಚ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ ತ ದುಶೆಂದಿ ಚೆಲ್ಲಿಶಿ ಶಿಷ್ಟಿ ಯುಲ್ಲಿಂದು ಳಸಮ ತಿಖಚ್ಚಿಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಂತಿ ದಲ್ಲದೆ ದಾಂ 2 ದಶಕ್ಕೆ ಂತೆ ಚ್ಚಾರಿ ಗಳ್ಲಿ ಅ ತಿಮಾ ಚುಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಂತಿ ಸುತ್ತಾರೆ —
ರಲ್ಲಿ ಗಾತಿ ಳಮಾಗಿ ಗಾಗಿ	ತಿ	13-1-92	45-00	ಶಂತಿ ಲಿಸ್ತ ಗಳ ಗಾತಿಂಬಳ ತಿಂಗಳ್ಲಿ ಕಿತಿ ಪುಸರು ಚೆಲ್ಲಿಶಿ ಮೆತಿ ಪ್ರಮಾ ಣಿಂಗ ಚ್ಚಿ ಚ್ಚಾರಿ ತಿಂಗಳ್ಲಿ ಲಾಚೆ ದಾಂಚುತ್ತಾರೆ ಮತ್ತು ಶಂ ಅ ಶಿ ದಾಂ ಶೆ ಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥ — — —
	ತಿ	13-1-92	60-00	ಶಂತಿ ಲಿಸ್ತ ಅ ಗಳ ಗಾಂ ಮೆತಿ ದಲು ದ ಶ್ಚಿ 40 ರೂಪಾಯಿಂತೆ 2 ದಶಕ್ಕೆ 20 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಚ್ಚಾರಿ ತಿಂಗಳ್ಲಿ ಚುಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಂತಿ ಉತ್ತಗ ಶಿ ಲುಮ ಸುತ್ತಾರೆ — — —

605

ದಿನಾಂಕ 5-9-92

Statement Showing the particulars of cases in which the Mussulmans were convicted under the abkari act in the Anantapur Dt during 1892

Number of the case on the file	Name of the accused	Residence	Section under which punished	Punishment: Fines	Confiscations	Total	By what magistrate convicted
				Rs	Rs	Rs	
5	Obadu ✓	Rajananty	Sec 55 Abkari act	15	30	45	Sheristadar 2nd Class Magistrate Madakasira
2	Basavadu	Mallinayakanahally	do	5	5	10	
10	Dasappa ✓	Reddipally	do	15	20	35	
84	Dasadu	Guyjnodu	do	15	15	30	
85	Tippugadu	Kannamedi	do	15	20	35	
86	Timmadu	Guyjnodu	do	15	15	30	
87	Ellappa	Magalavada	do	15	30	45	
70	Narisigadu	Midigesi	do	5	15	20	
69	Obalesu	Veerapasamudrum	do	5	15	20	
66	Venkatappa	Mallinayakanahalli	do	5	10	15	

72	Khasim Sahib	Midigesi	Do	5	10	15	
65	Narasimhadu	Virupasamudrum	Do	2	2	4	
66	Vammugadu	Mallinajakanahally	Do	2	"	2	
36	Settyagadu	Chinna Dhalivattam	Do	5		5	Taluk magistrate Madakasira
37	Karigiriyappa	Madagadu	Do	3		5	
						31/3	

Anantapur District Magistrate's office
Dated [illegible] September 1892

For District magistrate

FOR COPIES ONLY



Calendar Statement of a case tried by V. Hanumantha Rao. Sheristadar Second Class Magistrate Anantapur District.

1. Serial No: Calendar No: 72 of 1892.
2. Name of Complainant - Regina.
3. Description of Accused -

Name -	Khasim Sahib.
Father's Name	Mahomed Sahib
Caste.	Mahomedan.
Calling.	Coolly.
Age -	20.
Residence	Maddigary

4. Offence Complained of: Transporting toddy from Mysore province into British territory without a valid permit, Under Section 55 of Abkari Act.
5. Result of trial. Found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rupees five in default to suffer ~~Rigorous~~ (1) to days' simple imprisonment and further ordered to pay a fine of Rupees 10 - Fine paid.
6. Date of offence. 13-1-1892.
7. Duration of Proceedings.

Date of report or Complaint	12-3-1892
Date of commencing trial	24-3-1892.
Date of sentence or order.	24-3-1892

8. Explanation of delay

290

[illegible]

13 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY 2



9. Remarks. nil.

1. The Inspector (2) of Salt and Abkari Revenue of Anantapur District charged the defendant with having imported 35 gallons of toddy on a cart, without a valid permit, from Mysore Province into British territory an offence punishable under Section 55 Act I of 1886.

2. The defendant admits the charge and states that he was carting 35 gallons toddy on a cart from Mysore village into British territory on the strength of the Permit in question and he thought it was a proper one. He cites no witnesses for his defence.

3. The permit, which is a copy of a original permit granted by the Collector of Anantapur to the Contractor of Mysore Province and it was signed by an Inspector of Mysore Province, is not a valid one to convey toddy through British territory. Such permits ought to have been signed by the Collector of the District. I therefore find the defendant guilty and sentence him to pay a fine of Rs. 5/- in default to suffer 10 days simple imprisonment. He is further directed to pay Rs 10 in lieu of confiscated property. fine paid

(Signed) V. Hanumanthaiah
Second Class Magistrate

(True Copy)

Treasury Deputy Collector

2871

[illegible]

13 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

STAMP DEPARTMENT ANANTAPUR
1894
27 JUL

Copy applied for — 20/8/94
Stamps produced 27/8/94
Copy finished 29/8/94
Copy ready 30/8/94
Copy sent by post 28/8/
Copied by A. Rammiah
Compared by [illegible]
No. of words 361.
No. of Corrections two

FOR COPIES ONLY



from Mysore province into British territory without a permit and thereby committed an offence punishable under sec: 53, act I of 1886.

2nd. The 1st Witness Narayana Iyer, Salt sub Inspector, speaks that, on the 14th January 1892, the defendant was carrying some toddy from Mysore Province through British territory, he asked the defendant to show him permit but the ~~toddy from~~ defendant said that he has none, on which he arrested the defendant with toddy etc. and produced before the station house officer of Madakasira.

3 The defendant denies the charge and states that, when he was asked by the 1st Witness, he had permits and showed them but they were returned him by the salt Police after perusal and that the permit, which is now produced before the Court is the one then shown to them. He cites no witnesses for his defence.

4. From the evidence of the prosecution witness, it is proved that the defendant had no permit in his possession when asked by the 1st Witness. The defendant's plea that he had a permit when he was arrested is not proved. I therefore convict the defendent and sentence him to pay a fine of Rs 5, in default to suffer 10 days' simple imprisonment. He is also directed to pay Rs 15 in lieu of confiscated property.

Fine paid

(sd) V. Hanumantha Rau
2nd Class Sheristadar Magistrate

(True Copy) [signature]
Treasury Dy Collector

585

344

18 — 8 — 1894

Copy applied for 20/4/94
Copy granted 27/8/94
Stamps produced 8/9
Copied by Bhyavannatayudu.
Compared by [illegible]
Copy ready 20/8/94
Copy sent by post 25/8/94
No of words 397 words
No of Corrections One only

FOR COPIES ONLY

TWO ANNAS

INDIA TWO ANNAS

THOS. DE LA RUE & CO. LONDON.

Calendar statement of a case tried by V. Hanumantha Rau, Sheristadar 2nd Class Magistrate, Madakasira Talug, Anantapur District

1. Serial Number - 70

2. Name of Complainant - Regina

3. Description of accused

Name - Narasigadu

Father's name - Kariadu

Caste - Madiga

Calling - Cooly

Age - 35

Residence - Madigasi

4. Offence Complained of - Importing toddy from Mysore Province with an invalid permit, punishable under section 55 of abkari act I of 1886.

5. Result of trial - Found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 5, in default to suffer 10 days' simple imprisonment and further ordered to pay a fine of Rs 15 in lieu of confiscated property. Fine paid.

6. Date of offence - 14-1-92

7. Duration of Proceedings.

Date of report or complaint 12-3-92

Date of commencing trial 12-3-92

Date of sentence or order 14-3-92

8. Explanation of delay — Nil.

9- Remarks — Nil

1st. The defendant is accused of having imported 99 gallons of toddy from

Ur

343

[illegible]

16 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY



Calendar Statement of a case tried by V. Hanumanthaiah Shirastadar 2nd Class Magistrate Madakasira, Anantapur District.

1. Serial Number. Calendar No. 69
2. Name of Complainant - Regina
3. Description of Accused
   Name - Obalesu
   Father's Name - Yeera Obadu
   Caste - Idiga
   Calling - Selling Toddy
   Age 22
   Residence - Virupasamudrum
4. Offence Complained of - Transporting Toddy from Mysore Territory with an invalid permit under section 55 of Act I of 1886
5. Result of trial - Found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 5, in default to suffer 10 days' simple imprisonment and further ordered to pay a fine of Rs 15 in lieu of confiscated property. Fine paid
6. Date of offence 25.2.92
7. Duration of Proceedings
   Date of report or Complaint - 12.3.92
   Date of Commencing trial 12.3.92
   Date of Sentence or order 14.3.92

141 14/words

8.

276

ANANTAPUR DEPARTMENT
1894
9 AU

Bores in survey [illegible]
[illegible]
13-8-94
[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY 2



8. Explanation of delay — "

9. Remarks — "

1. The defendant is accused of having transported 27 gallons of toddy with an invalid permit and thereby committed an offence punishable under sec 53 - act I of 1886

2. The first witness Narayanayyah, Salt Sub Inspector speaks that defendant was transporting some toddy to Gowdahi in Mysore Province via Jadakalapalli in Madakasira Taluq and on his asking him to produce a permit, the defendant gave him the permit which is not a valid one, as it was issued for Virupasamudrum Village in Mysore Province. The second Goodsboy, 3rd Witness Viswanatha Iyer, Forester, support the 1st Witness.

3. The defendant denies the charge and states that he did not go to Gowdahi but he went to Jadakalapalli to change the bullocks of his cart, as they were quite tired. He cites no witnesses for his defence.

4. The permit produced in the case was issued to convey toddy to Virupasamudrum but not to Gowdahi which is therefore invalid. It is satisfactorily proved that the defendant was conveying toddy to Gowdahi on the strength of the permit now in question. The defendant is found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 5, in default to suffer 10 days' simple imprisonment. He is also directed

211 211 words

274

Bose Country News
from Cuttack
13-8-94

FOR COPIES ONLY 3



directed to pay Rs 15 in lieu of confiscated property
(Fine paid.

(Sd.) V. Hanumantha Rau
2nd class Shirastadar Bagpe

(True Copy)

Treasury Deputy Collector

Copy applied for 20/8/94
" Stamps produced 8/7/94
" Prepared 9/8/94
" Sent by Post 25/8
" Ready 20/8
Copied by Jayaram Nayar
Compared by Rammiah
No. of Corrections nil
" Words 375 words only

2 words

275

DEPARTMENT NAGPUR
1894
9 AU

[illegible]
[illegible]
13-8-94

[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY



Calendar Statement of a Case tried by V. Sammauthra Raul, Anantapur District

1. Serial No. No. 66.
2. Name of Complainant. Regina.
3. Description of Accused.
   Name. Venkatappah.
   Father's name. Lingappah.
   Caste. Ediga.
   Calling. Selling Toddy.
   Age. 30 years.
   Residence. Mullymakanapally.
4. Offence Complained of. ~~Transporting~~ (1) Imported for sale toddy from Mysore territory without a valid permit. Under Section 55 of Abkari Act.
5. Result of trial. Found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 5/- in default to suffer 10 days simple imprisonment and further ordered to pay fine of Rs 10/- in lieu of confiscate property. Fine Paid.
6. Date of offence. 13-1-1892.
7. Duration of Proceedings.
   Date of report or complaint 11-3-1892
   Date of commencing trial 12-3-1892
   Date of sentence or order 12-3-1892

273.

[illegible]

1894
9 AU
SAMANTAPUR DEPARTMENT

13 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY 2



8. Explanation of delay.

9. Remarks

1st The defendant is accused of having imported 20 gallons of toddy with an invalid permit from Moolamapata dated 6th of Geranaeyakanahally Madakeira Dalukh and thereby committed an offence punishable under Section 55 of Act I of 1886.

2nd The 1st witness Narayanaiah Salt Sub Inspector speaks in favour of the charge.

3rd The defendant admits the charge and cites two witnesses for his defence.

4. On the strength of the evidence for prosecution and the admission of the defendant I convict the defendant for the above offence and sentence him to pay a fine of Rs 5/- in default to suffer 10 days simple imprisonment. He is also directed to pay Rs 10 in lieu of confiscated property.

Fine paid.

(Signed) V. Hanumantha Rao
Second Class Magistrate

(True Copy)

Treasury Deputy Collector

280

Copy applied for. 10/4/94
Stamps produced. 8/7/94
Copy granted 9/8/94
Copied by. Chandramath
Copy ready 20/8/94
Copy sent by post 25/8
Compared by. Jayarama Naidu
No. of words 271.
No. of corrections. one

FOR COPIES ONLY



Calendar Statement of a Case tried by V. Hanumantha Rau Sheristadar 2nd Class Magistrate Madakasira, Anantapur District

1 Serial Number. 65/92

2 Name of Complainant - Regina

3. Description of accused

Name. Narasimappa

Father's name. Obalesu

Caste - Ediga

Calling. Coolly

Age — 40 years

Residence - Erapasamudrum

4. Offence complained of - Tapping toddy tree without a permit under section 55 Act I of 1886.

5. Result of trial. The defendant is found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 2 in default to suffer 4 days' simple imprisonment. He is also directed to pay (not) fine of Rs 2 in lieu of confiscated property - fine paid

6. Date of offence 28-1-92

7 Duration of proceedings

Date of report or complaint 11.3.92

Date of commencing trial 11.3.92

Date of sentence or order 11.3.92

8. Explanation of delay — nil

144 words

9.

278

Bose's Survey report
on Proceeding from
13. 8. 94
[illegible]

STAMP DEPARTMENT MAHANTAPUR
1894
9 AU

FOR COPIES ONLY

9. Remarks – nil

1. The defendant is accused of having tapped toddy trees without a permit under sec 55 Act I of 1886.

2. The prosecution 1st Witness Mahammed Ismail speaks that he saw the Defendant tapping toddy trees at Bidagiri in Madakasira Taluq without a permit

3. The defendant admits the charge and cites no witnesses for his defence.

4. It is proved that the defendant was tapping toddy without a permit. I therefore find the defendant guilty and sentence him to pay a fine of Rs 2 in default to suffer 4 days' simple imprisonment. He is further directed to pay a fine of Rs 2 in lieu of Confiscated property

(Sd) V. Hanumanthaiah
2nd Class Sheristadar Magistrate

(True Copy)
Treasury Officer

129

Recorded

277

ANANTAPUR STAMP DEPARTMENT
1894
9 AU

[illegible]
13-8-94

[illegible]

Copy applied for 20/4/94
" Stamps produced 27/4
" Stamped 9/8/94
" Ready 27/8/94
" Sent by Post 25/8
Copied by Jayamma Nayak
Compared by A. Ammiah
No: of words 273 only
" of corrections. one

FOR COPIES ONLY



Calendar Statement of a Case tried by V. Hanumantha Rau, Sheristadar 2nd Class Magistrate, Madakasira, Anantapur District.

1. Serial Number. Calendar No. 10 of 1892
2. Name of Complainant - Regina
3. Description of accused
   Name - Dasappa
   Father's name - Gappappa
   Caste - Ediga
   Calling - Toddy selling
   Age - 30 years
   Residence - Erupeddipalli
4. Offence Complained of - Transporting toddy with a time expired and hence an invalid permit, under section 55 Act I of 1886
5. Result of trial. The accused is found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 15, in default to suffer 15 days' simple imprisonment. And also directed to pay Rs 20 in lieu of confiscated property. Fine paid
6. Date of offence - 4-10-91
7. Duration of Proceedings
   Date of report or Complaint - 1-1-92
   Date of Commencing trial 11-1-92
   Date of Sentence or order 11-1-92
8. Explanation of delay ——— nil

151 15 words

AMRITAPUR STATE STAMP DEPARTMENT
1894
27 JU

[illegible]
[illegible]
11:8-94
[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY



9. Remarks — nil

1st The Inspector of Salt and abkari Revenue charges the defendant of having transported 45 gallons of toddy without a valid permit, an offence punishable under Section 55 of act I of 1886.

2d The 1st Witness Mahammed Ismail, Salt and abkari Dafadar says that while he was at Kaki in Madakasira Taluq, the defendant brought 45 gallons of toddy on a cart from Rentwal in Mysore Province to the Village of Kaki on his way to Reddipally in Mysore province and that on his asking him to produce permit, the defendant gave two permits of which one is time expired and in the other, month of September is altered as October. He then arrested and produced the defendant with toddy etc before the station house officer of Rolla.

3. The defendant admits the charge and cites no witnesses for his defence

4. The permits produced in this case are copies of original permits and signed by Mysore officials. They are therefore invalid. The permit authorizing to convey toddy through British territory ought to have been signed by the Collector of the District. From the above facts, I find the defendant guilty and sentence him to pay a fine of Rs 15 in default to suffer 15 days' simple imprisonment. He is further directed

248 words

STAMP DEPARTMENT ANANTAPUR
1894
27 JUL

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
n. 8-94
[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY



directed to pay Rs 20, in lieu of confiscated property.

(sd) V. Hanumanthaiah
Second Class Shirastadar Magistrate

(True copy)
Treasury Dy Collector

Copy applied for 29/4/92
" Stamps produced 7/May
" Granted 9/8/92
" Ready 20/8
Copy sent by Post. 25/8
Copied by Gayamma Nayudu
Compared by A. Rammiah 21/8
No. of Corrections nil
" words 395 words

26

26 words

252

[illegible]
[illegible]
11-8-94

[illegible]

STAMP DEPARTMENT
1894
27 JUL

FOR COPIES ONLY



Calendar Statement of a case tried by V. Hanumantha Rau Sheristadar Second Class Magistrate, Nadakira, Anantapur District.

1. Serial No. Calendar No. 7 of 1892.
2. Name of Complainant. Regina.
3. Description of Accused.

Name. Buddan Sahib.
Father's name. Imam Sahib.
Caste. Mahammedan.
Calling. Bandy hiring.
Age. Forty years.
Residence. Harasamudram.

4. Offence Complained of. Transporting toddy with a time expired permit and hence an invalid permit under Section 55 of Act I of 1886.
5. Result of trial. The accused is found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 15 in default to suffer 15 days simple imprisonment. Had also directed to pay Rs 25 in lieu of confiscated property. — Fine paid.
6. Date of offence. 5.12.1891.
7. Duration of proceedings.

Date of report or Complaint — 1.1.1892.
Date of commencement of trial — 12.1.1892.
Date of sentence or order — 12.1.1892.

1894
27 JUL
POSTAGE STAMP DEPARTMENT

[illegible]
[illegible]
11-8-94
[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY 2.



8. Explanation of delay.

9. Remarks.

(Signed) V. Hanumantha Rao.

Second Class Magistrate.

1st ~~Prosecution~~ For N1 The Inspector of Salt and Abkari Revenue charged the defendant with having transported 70 gallons of toddy without a valid permit an offence punishable under S. 55 of Act I of 1886.

2. The first witness Narayana Ayer, Salt and Abkari Sub Inspector, speaks in favour of the charge.

3. The defendant admits the charge and cites no witnesses for his defence.

4. The permit produced is not signed by the Collector of the District, and it is therefore invalid. I find the defendant guilty and sentence him to pay a fine of Rupees 15/- in default For 2 to suffer 15 days simple imprisonment. Rs 6 is further directed to pay Rs 25 in lieu of confiscated property.

(Signed) V. Hanumantha Rao.

Second Class Magistrate.

(True Copy)

Treasury Deputy Collector.

259

STAMP DEPARTMENT 1894 27 JUL

11-8-94

Copy applied for 27/4/94
Stamps produced 8/7/94
Copy ordered 11/8/94
Copy ready 20/8/94
Copy given by [illegible]
Copy compared by [illegible]
No. of words 282
No. of corrections two only
Copy given out 25/8/94

FOR COPIES ONLY

TWO ANNAS

Calendar Statement of a case tried by V. Hanumantha Rau, Sheristadar 2nd Class Magistrate, Madakasira Anantapur District.

1. Serial Number - Calendar No. 6 of 1892

2. Name of Complainant - Regina

3. Description of accused
Name - Gurwadu
Father's Name - Dasannah
Caste - Vadda
Calling - Hiring
Age - 30
Residence - Chontipalle

4. Offence Complained of - Transporting toddy without permit under Section 55 of Act I of 1886.

5. Result of trial - The accused is found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 15, in default (or to suffer) 15 days' simple imprisonment, And also directed to pay Rs 25 in lieu of the Confiscated property. No. I

No. 2 {* (For 6, 7, 8 & 9 vide next sheet)} Fine paid

(Sd.) V. Hanumantha Rau
Sheristadar 2nd Class Magistrate

The Inspector of Salt and Abkari Revenue charged the defendant with having transported 80 gallons of toddy without a valid permit, an offence punishable under section 55, act I of 1886.

(2) The 1st Witness Narayana Iyer speaks in favour of the charge.

25 b

STAMP DEPARTMENT SARANTAPUR
1894
27 JUL

[illegible]
[illegible]
11-8 94

[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY



(3) The defendant admits the charge and cites no witnesses for his defence.

(4) The permit produced in this case is an invalid one as it being signed by an unauthorized officer. I therefore convict the defendant and sentence him to pay a fine of Rs 15 in default to suffer 15 days simple imprisonment. And he is further directed to pay Rs 25 in lieu of confiscated property.

(Sd.) V. Hanumantha Rau

Sheristadar 2nd Class Magistrate

No. 3 of

*6. Date of offence - 5-12-91

7. Duration of proceeding { Date of report or Complaint 1-1-92
Date of Commencing trial 12-1-92
Date of sentence/order 12-1-92

8. Explanation of delay — nil

9. Remarks — nil.

(True Copy)

Thurgaby

Treasury Deputy Collector

Copy applied for [illegible]

" Copy produced 8/7/94

" Granted 9/8/94

" Ready [illegible]

" Fee Rs [illegible]

Copied by Rayarama Reddy

Compared by

No of words 250 only

" Corrections 3 only

(21 words)

STAMP DEPARTMENT
1894
27 JU

[illegible]
[illegible]
11.8.94

[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY



Calendar Statement of a case tried by V. Hanumanthu Row, Sheristadar 2nd Class Magistrate of Madakasira, Anantapur District

1. Serial number — 2 of 1892.

2. Name of Complainant — Regina.

3. Description of Accused
- Name — Basavadu
- Father's name — Narasimhadu
- Caste — Ediga
- Calling — Tapping toddy
- Age — 25 years.
- Residence — Mullinayakanahalli

4. Offence Complained of — Transporting toddy with a time-expired license and invalid permit under Section 55, Act I of 1886.

5. Result of trial — The defendant is found guilty and sentenced him to pay a fine of Rs 5 in default to suffer 10 days' simple imprisonment. And he is also directed to pay Rs 5 in lieu of confiscated property. Fine paid.

6. Date of offence — 4-10-91.

7. Date of report or complaint — 1-1-92.
   Date of commencing trial — 20-1-92
   Date of sentence or order — 20-1-92

8. Explanation of delay — —

265.

[illegible]

11 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

REGISTRAR DEPARTMENT
1894
27 JU

FOR COPIES ONLY

2



9. Remarks.

1st The Inspector of Salt and Abkari Revenue charged with having transported 33 gallons of toddy with a time-expired and invalid permit, an offence punishable under Section 55 of Act I of 1886.

2. The 1st witness, Mahammed Ismal speaks in favour of the charge.

3. The defendant admits the charge and cites no witnesses for his defence.

4. It is satisfactorily proved that the defendant was transporting 33 gallons of toddy without a valid permit.

5. I, therefore, convict the defendant and sentence him to pay a fine of Rupees 5/- in default to suffer 10 days' simple imprisonment. And he is further directed to pay Rs 5/- in lieu of confiscated property.

(Signed) V. Hanumantha Rao
Second Class Magistrate

(True Copy)

Treasury Deputy Collector.

264.

[illegible]

Copy applied for. 20/4/94
Stamps produced. 8/7/94
Copy granted. 9/8/94
Copied by. [illegible]
Compared by Jayarama Naynar
Copy ready. 20/8/94
Copy sent by Post 25/8
~~Stamp~~ No. of sheets nil
" words 283.

FOR COPIES ONLY



Calendar Statement of a case tried by V. Hanumantha Rao, 2nd Class Magistrate, Madakasira, Anantapur District.

1. Serial Number — Calendar No. 5 of 1892.

2. Name of Complainant — Depina

3. Description of Accused:
   - Name — Chadu.
   - Father's name — Chalappah.
   - Caste — Madiga.
   - Calling — Coolie.
   - Age — 20 Years.
   - Residence — Rajavanty.

4. Offence complained of: Transporting toddy with an invalid permit under Section 55 of Act I of 1886.

5. Result of trial: The accused is found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rupees 15/- in default to suffer 15 days' simple imprisonment, and also directed to pay Rs 30/- in lieu of confiscated property. Fine paid.

6. Date of offence — 5. 12. 1891.

7. Duration of Proceedings:
   - Date of complaint or report — 1. 1. 1892.
   - Date of commencing trial — 12. 1. 1892.
   - Date of sentence or order — 12. 1. 1892.

8. Explanation of delay — "

9. Remarks — "

1st: The Inspector of Salt & Abkari Revenue charged the defendant with having transported 90 gallons of toddy without a valid

263



FOR COPIES ONLY 2



permit, an offence punishable under Section 55 of Act I of 1886.

2. The first witness Narayana Iyer says that the defendant came to Madakeira with 90 gallons of toddy on a cart from Mysore Province and on his asking him to produce (1) his permit he (defendant) gave him a copy of a permit signed by an unauthorized officer. He then arrested and produced the defendant with toddy etc before the Station House office of Madakeira.

3. The defendant admits the charge and cites no witnesses for his defence.

4. The permit produced by the defendant is a copy of original permit granted by the Collector of Anantapur District and has been signed by the Inspector of Abkari of Mysore Province. It is therefore invalid. The permit for export ~~and~~ or import of toddy through British Territory ought to have been signed by the Collector of the District under Section 11 of Act I of 1886. From the above facts I find the defendant guilty of the offence and sentence him to pay a fine of Rs 15 in default to suffer 15 days simple imprisonment. And he is further directed to pay Rs 30/- in lieu of (2) confiscated ~~confiscated~~ property.

(Signed) V. Hanumantha Rao
Second Class Magistrate

(True Copy)

Treasury Deputy Collector

262

STAMP DEPARTMENT RAMNAPURAM
1894
27 JUL

Copy applied for 27/7/94
stamps produced 31/7/94
Copy granted 4/8/94
Copy ready 27/8/94
Copy sent by post 28/8
Copied by [illegible]
Compared by [illegible]
No. of words 367.
No. of corrections two

FOR COPIES ONLY 1 100



Calendar Statement of a case tried by the Taluk Magistrate, Madakira, Anantapur District.

1. Serial Number. Calendar Case No: 36 of 1892.
2. Name of Complainant. Regina.
3. Description of Accused.
   Name - Sathyagowdu
   Father's Name Kadiri gowdu
   Caste. Madiga.
   Calling. Coolly
   Age 35 years.
   Residence Chinna Dhalivattam.
4. Offence Complained of. Smuggling toddy from Mysore province into British Territory, Section 55 of Act I of 1886.
5. Result of trial. The defendant is found guilty and sentenced to a fine of Rs 5/- in default of to undergo 5 days rigorous imprisonment. Fine paid.
6. Date of offence. 17.3.92.
7. Duration of Proceedings.
   Date of report or Complaint. 17.3.92.
   Date of commencing trial 28/5/1892
   Date of sentence or order. 28/5/1892.
8. Explanation of delay. The charge sheet was sent

1



[illegible]
[illegible]
17. 8-94
[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY 2



by the Inspector of Salt & Abkari Department on 28/5/1892.

Judgment.

The accused was found bringing Toddy from Toddy village in the Mysore Province to Hanur in this Taluk and he had broken the pot when he saw the witnesses. The accused denies his guilt and says that he was going to Oppadahally to see his relations and he was arrested by the witnesses for prosecution. The defence witnesses called by the accused speak against the plea of the accused. The defence witnesses are also accused of bringing Toddy from Mysore Province. Their evidence is not reliable. The evidence for the Prosecution is reliable. The accused is therefore found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 5 in default to suffer five days rigorous imprisonment.

(Signed) A. Ammarkhan
Taluk 2nd Class Magistrate

True Copy

Treasury Deputy Collector

282

13.8.94

Copy applied for. 17/4/94
Stamp received. 8/7/94
Copy granted. 9/8/94
Copy sent by post. 25/8
Copy ready. 27/8/94
Copies by Grammial.
Compared by Jayamma Nayudu
No of words 28.
No. of corrections.

FOR COPIES ONLY

TWO ANNAS

THOS. DE LA RUE & CO. LONDON

Calendar Statement of a case tried by A. Omerkhan sahet Talug 2nd class Magistrate Madakasira, Anantapur District

1. Serial Number Calendar case No 37 of 1892
2. Name of Complainant - Regina
3. Description of Accused
Name - Hanigirappa
Father's name - Narasayya
Caste - Baliga
Calling - Coly
Age - 30 years
Residence - Maddigiri of Mysore Province
4. Offence Complained of - Transporting toddy without permit, sec. 55 of act I 1886
5. Result of trial - The defendant is found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 3: in default to undergo 3 days' rigorous imprisonment Fine paid.
6. Date of offence 9-3-92
7. Duration of Proceedings
Date of report or complaint 9-3-92
Date of Commencing trial - 28-5-92
Date of Sentence or order. - 28-5-92
8. Explanation of delay - The Charge Sheet was sent by the Inspector of Salt & Abkari Department on 28-5-92.
9. Remarks - Nil

Judgment

281

REGISTRAR DEPARTMENT ANANTAPUR
1894
9 AU

[illegible]
[illegible]
13-[illegible]-94

[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY 2



Judgment

The accused in this case was found to carry Toddy from Toddy into the village of Rolla without permit. The quantity he was carrying was 25 drams. The accused says that he was in Toddy shop, he was arrested and brought and calls no witnesses to prove his plea. He is therefore found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 3; in default to suffer 3 days' rigorous imprisonment.

(Sd.) A. Omerkhan Saheb
Taluq Magistrate

(True copy)
Treasury Officer

Copy applied for 27/8/46
Stamps produced 27/8/46
Copy granted 27/8/46
Copy ready 27/8/46
Copy sent by post 28/8
Copied by Thayamma Nayar
Compared by [illegible]
No. of words 228.
No. of Corrections Nil

279

ANANTAPUR STAMP DEPARTMENT
1894
9 AU

[illegible]
13 . 8 . 94

FOR COPIES ONLY



Calendar Statement of a case tried by A. Omerkhan sahel Taluq 2nd Class Magistrate, Madakasira, Anantapur District

1. Serial Number - Calendar Case No. 197

2. ~~Regina~~ (1) Name of Complainant - Regina

3. Description of accused

Name - Baladu

Father's Name - Halligadu

Caste - Madiga

Calling - Cooli

Age - 28

Residence - Giffanadukka

4. Offence complained of - Importing toddy from Mysore to British territory, punishable under section 55 of Act I of 1886

5. Result of trial - The defendant is fined Rs25 in default to suffer 25 days' simple imprisonment

6. Date of offence - 4-12-91

7. Duration of Proceedings

Date of report or Complaint 4-12-91

Date of Commencing trial 7-12-91

Date of sentence or order 7-12-91

8. Explanation of delay —

9. Remarks —

Judgment

The Accused has been found to carry toddy of 32 1/4 gallons from Mysore

348

[illegible]

WESTERN AUSTRALIA STAMP DEPARTMENT
1894
9 AU

18 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY

Mysore

Territory into Madakasira taluq without a permit.

The accused ignores Law and says that he bought the toddy under the order of one Hanumanthappah. He calls no witnesses. The accused is therefore found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 25, in default to suffer 25 days' simple imprisonment. Two ponies, 4 leather bags, two pads and two belts are ordered to be confiscated under section 65 and the article may be returned, after paying a fine of Rs 25.

(Sd.) A. Omer Khan Sahib
Taluq Second Class Magistrate
7.12.91

(True Copy)

Treasury Officer

Copy applied for 27/11/91
Copy stamps Pasted 8/12/91
Copy granted 10/12/91
Copied by Thimmanna Naik
Compared by A. Rammiah
Copy ready 27/12/91
Copy sent by Post 25/1/8
No. of corrections nil
No. of words 242

347

[illegible]

1894
9 AU

18 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY



Calendar statement of a case tried by A Omer Khan Sahib, Talug 2nd Class Magistrate, Madakasira, Anantapur District.

1. Serial No. — 199

2. Name of Complainant - Regina

3. Description of Accused -

Name - Narasadu

Father's name Kollarappu

Caste - Madiga

Calling - Coolly

Age - 20

Residence - Guttanaruku

4. Offence complained of - Importing toddy from Mysore territory into British Territory without valid permit, punishable under section 55 of act I of 1886.

5. Result of trial - The defendant is found guilty and fined Rs 25, in default to suffer 25 days' simple imprisonment.

6. Date of Offence 4.12.91

7. Duration of Proceedings

Date of report or Complaint 4.12.91

Date of Commencing trial 7-12.91

Date of sentence or order 7.12.91

8. Explanation of delay — nil

9. Remarks — nil

Judgment. In this case, the accused is found by the Prosecution

141

345

[illegible]

18 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

ANANTAPUR DEPARTMENTAL STAMP
1894
SAU

FOR COPIES ONLY

TWO ANNAS

THOS. DE LA RUE & CO. LONDON

Prosecution Witness to have been carrying away 33 1/4 gallons of toddy from Mysore Province through the limits of Maddanahunta in this Taluq without a valid permit. The permit produced by the accused does not bear the signature of the authority legally authorized to give. It seems to be a copy of the permit given by Dt. Magistrate without signature of any authority. The accused admits that he has been carrying with the Permit produced and calls (~~for~~ No 1 ad) no witnesses. The accused is therefore found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 25, in default to suffer 25 days, simple imprisonment and the things arrested are ordered to be confiscated and the owner may take them on the option of paying a fine of Rs 25.

(Sd) A. Omer Khan Saheb

Taluq Second Class Magistrate

No 2 (~~Signed~~) (True copy)

[illegible]

Treasury Dy Collector

Copy applied for 20/4/96

Copy granted 19/8/96

Stamps produced [illegible]

Copied by Gopyarama Naynar

Compared by [illegible] 21/8

Copy ready 20/8

Copy sent by post 21/8

No. of words 299 words

No. of Corrections Nil

130

346

[illegible]

18 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

AHMADABAD STAMP DEPARTMENT
1894
9 AU

FOR COPIES ONLY

TWO ANNAS

Calendar Statement of a case tried by the 2nd Class Taluq Magistrate, Madakasira Taluq, Anantapur District

1. Serial Number - 198

2. Name of Complainant. Regina

3. Description of Accused

Name - Lingei

Father's Name - Kollarigadu

Caste - Madiga

Calling - Coolie

Age - 18

Residence - Hannanadi

4. Offence Complained of. Importing toddy from Mysore to British Territory, punishable under section 55 of Act I of 1886.

5. Result of trial - Judgment. On the 4th December the accused was found to be carrying 3 horses, 44 gallons of Toddy without a valid permit. The permit said to have been given was produced by the witness before the Court. The permit seems to be a copy but does not bear the signature of the authority authorized to give the same. The accused says that the permit was given him by one Naganna and on the strength of the same, he brought the toddy. He calls no witnesses in his defence. The accused is therefore sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 25, in default to suffer 25 days' simple imprisonment and the 3 ponies with packages etc have been ordered to be confiscated. On the option of

349

[illegible]

18 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY

TWO ANNAS

of paying Rs 35, the things confiscated has been ordered to be returned to the owner.

6. Date of offence 4-12-91

7. Duration of Proceedings

Date of report or Complaint 4-12-91

Date of commencing trial 7-12-91

Date of sentence or order 7-12-91

8. Explanation of delay — nil

9. Remarks — nil

(sd) A. Omer Khan Saheb
Taluq second Class magistrate

(True copy)

Thangaraj
Treasury Deputy Collector

Copy applied for 20/5/92
Copy stamps produced 9/7/92
Copy granted 9/8/92
Copied by Thayanmathayar
Compared by A. Nammiah
Copy Ready 20/8
Copy sent by post 20/8
No. of words 262
No. of corrections nil

70 words

358

[illegible]

1894
9 AU

18 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY.



Calendar Statement of a case tried by V. Hanumantha Rau Sheristadar 2d Class Magistrate Madakasira, Anantapur District

1. Serial Number - Calendar No 86 of 1892

2. Name of Complainant - Regina

3. Description of accused

Name - alias ~~Timmaiyadu~~ Timmaiyadu NO I

Father's Name - Kenchappa

Caste - Koreba

Calling - Cooly

Age - 35 years

Residence - Guttanahada

4. Office Complained of - alias ~~Transporting~~ Importing NO 2 Toddy from Mysore territory with an invalid permit, punishable under section 55 of act I of 1886.

5. Result of trial - The accused is found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 15, in default to suffer 15 days' simple imprisonment and further ordered to pay a fine of Rs 15 in lieu of confiscated property. Fine paid.

6. Date of Offence - 5-12-91

7. Duration of Proceeding

Date of report or complaint - 16-12-91

Date of Commencing trial - 16-12-91

Date of Sentence or order - 13-1-92

8. Explanation of delay - nil

9

250

[illegible]

[illegible]

11 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT SHAHJAHANPUR
1894
27 JUL

FOR COPIES ONLY

TWO ANNAS

INDIA TWO ANNAS

THOS. DE LA RUE & CO. LONDON.

9. Remarks — Nil.

1. The Inspector of salt and abkari Revenue charged the defendant with having transported 30 gallons on two ponies without a valid permit, an offence punishable under section 55 of act I of 1886.

2. The 1st witness Fakroodin, salt peon speaks in favour of the charge.

3. The defendant denies the charge and pleads that he was conveying toddy from Mysore Province on the strength of the permit in question which was issued to him in accordance with the letter addressed to the Deputy Commissioner of Toomkur and to the abkari Contractor of Mysore Province by the Collector of Anantapur. He prayed the court to adjourn the case in order to enable him to get the copy of the said letter and to produce it in the case in support of his plea but he failed to produce it.

4. The permit produced in the case is a copy of the original permit granted to the abkari Contractor of Mysore Province by the Collector of Anantapur District and it has been signed by the Inspector of Mysore Province. It is therefore invalid. The permit to export or import toddy through British territory ought to have been signed by the Collector of the District under section 11 of abkari act. I therefore find the defendant guilty of the offence and sentence him to pay a fine of Rs 15, in default to suffer 15 days' simple imprisonment. He is further directed to pay Rs 15 in lieu of confiscated property.

(True Copy) Dungaba

Treasury Dy Collector

(Sd) V. Hanumanthaiah
2d Class Sheristadar Magte

STAMP DEPARTMENT
1894
27 JU

Copy applied for 20/4/94
" Stamp produced 7/7/94
" printed 9/8/94
" ready 20/8
" sent by post 21/8

Copied by. Jayamma Nayar
Compared by. [illegible]
No: of words 189
No: of Corrections Nil.

FOR COPIES ONLY



Calendar Statement of a case tried by V. Hanumanthalan Sheristadar 2nd class Magistrate, Bellary District.

1. Serial Number – Calendar No. 87
2. Name of Complainant – Regnid
3. Description of Accused.
   Name – Yellappa
   Father's name – Sanjeevappa
   Caste – Ediga
   Calling – selling Toddy
   Age – 32 years
   Residence – Mangalavada
4. Offence Complained of – Importing Toddy from Mysore territory with an invalid permit punishable under section 55 of Act I of 1886.
5. Result of trial. Found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 15; in default to suffer 15 days' simple imprisonment and further ordered to pay a fine of Rs 30 in lieu of confiscated property. Fine paid.
6. Date of Offence 5-12-91
7. Duration of Proceedings.
   Date of report or Complaint 16-12-91
   Date of Commencing trial 16-12-91
   Date of Sentence or order 13-1-92
8. Explanation of delay ——
9. Remarks
   1. The Inspector of Salt and Abkari Revenue charged the defendant

288

[illegible]

13 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

STAMP DEPARTMENT
1894
27 JU

FOR COPIES ONLY 2



defendant with having transported 60 gallons of toddy on a cart without a valid permit, an offence punishable under under section 55 act I of 1886.

2. The 1st Witness Fakrudin saheb, salt and abkari peon, states that while he was going with the Inspector, the defendant came across with the toddy on a cart. The Inspector asked the defendant to produce permit; the defendant gave a permit to the Inspector who, on perusing it, said it is an invalid one and ordered to arrest the defendant with toddy etc.

3. The defendant denies the charge and pleads that he was conveying toddy from Mysore Province on the strength of the permit in question which was issued to him in accordance with the letter addressed to the Deputy Commissioner of Bellary and to the abkari Contractor of the Mysore province by the Collector of Chanrapur. He prayed the Court to adjourn the case in order to enable him to get the copy of the said letter and to produce it in the case in support of his plea but he failed to produce it.

4. The permit produced in the case is a copy of the original permit granted to the abkari Contractor of Mysore province by the Collector of the Chanrapur District and it has been signed by the abkari Inspector of Mysore. It is therefore invalid. The permit to export or import toddy through British territory ought to have been signed by the Collector of the District under section 11 of Madras

abkari

289

[illegible]

13 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

STAMP DEPARTMENT AMANTAPUR
1894
27 JU

FOR COPIES ONLY 3



abkari act. I therefore find the defendant guilty of the offence and sentence him to pay a fine of Rs 15, in default to suffer 15 days' simple imprisonment. And he is also directed to pay a fine of Rs 30 in lieu of confiscated property.

(sd) V. Hanumanthaiah
2nd Class Shirstadar Magistrate

True (By)

Treasury Officer

Copy applied for 20/8/94
Copy stamps produced 27/2/94
Copy granted 9.8.94
Copied by Jayarama Nayak
Compared by Ananmial
Copy Ready 20/8/94
Copy sent by Post 25/8
No. of words 473.
No. of Corrections Nil

286

[illegible]

13 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

STAMP DEPARTMENT ANANTAPUR
1894
27 JUL

FOR COPIES ONLY



Calendar Statement of a case tried by V. Hanumantha Rau 2nd Class Magistrate Madakasira, Anantapur District.

1. Serial Number – 85 of 91
2. Name of Complainant – Regina
3. Description of accused
   Name – Tippagadu
   Father's Name – Hanumaya
   Caste – Madiga
   Calling – Cooly
   Age – 16
   Residence – Kanamadi
4. Offence Complained of – Importing toddy from Mysore Territory with an invalid permit punishable under Sec. 55 abkari act 1 of 1886.
5. Result of trial – The accused is found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rupees 15; in default to suffer 15 days' simple imprisonment and further ordered to pay a fine of Rs 20 in lieu of confiscated property. Fine Paid.
6. Date of offence. 5.12.91
7. Duration of Proceedings
   Date of report or Complaint 16.12.91
   Date of commencing trial 16.12.91
   Date of Sentence or order 13.1.92
8. Explanation of delay – nil

14c

291

[illegible]

13 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

STAMP DEPARTMENT AMRITSAR
1894
27 JU

FOR COPIES ONLY 2



9. Remarks — nil

1. The Inspector of Salt and abkari Revenue charged the defendant with having transported 2½ gallon of toddy on three horses without a valid permit, an offence punishable under section 55 of Act I of 1886.

2. The 1st Witness Fakrudin Sahet speaks in favor of the charge.

3. The defendant denies the charge and pleads that he was conveying toddy from Mysore Province on the strength of the permit in question which was issued to him in accordance with the letter addressed to the Deputy Commissioner of Sunkur and to the abkari Contractor of Mysore Province by the Collector of Anantapur. He prayed the Court to adjourn the case to get and produce the copy of the said letter in the case in support of his plea. But he failed to do so.

4. The permit produced in the case is a copy of the original permit granted to the abkari Contractor of Mysore Province by the Collector of Anantapur and it has been signed by the Inspector of Mysore province. It is therefore invalid. The permit to export or import toddy through British Territory ought to have been signed by the Collector of the District under section 11 of abkari act. The defendant failed to produce the copy of the letter authorizing him to carry toddy in British territory on the said copy as alleged by him. I therefore find the defendant guilty of the offence and sentence him to pay a fine

243

292

[illegible]

13 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

STAMP DEPARTMENT ★ RANGANTAPUR
1894
27 JU

FOR COPIES ONLY 3



fine of Rs 15; in default to suffer 15 days' simple imprisonment. And he is further directed to pay a fine of Rs 20 in lieu of confiscated property.

(sd) V. Hanumantha Rau
2nd Class Sheristadar Magistrate

(True copy)

Treasury Deputy Collector

Copy applied for 20/4/24
Copy stamps produced. 2/7/24
" Granted. 9/8/24
Copy ready 20/8
Copy sent by post. 28/8
Copied by Narayana Vaynor
Compared by Ramma
No. of words 435 words
No. of corrections. Nil

44

285

[illegible]

13 — 8 — 1894

[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY 1.



Calendar Statement of a case ~~tried~~ tried by V. Hanumantha Row, Sheristadar 2nd Class Magistrate, Madakeira Taluk, Anantapur District

1. Serial No: Calendar No: 84 of 1891.
2. Name of Complainant. Regina
3. Description of Accused.

Name. Dasadu.

Father's name: Chadu.

Caste — Madiga

Calling Coolly.

Age 35 Years

Residence Gujjanattadai

4. Offence Complained of. Importing Toddy from Mysore territory with an invalid permit, punishable under Section 55 of Act I of 1886.

5. Result of trial — Found guilty and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 15/- in default to suffer 15 days simple imprisonment and further ordered to pay a fine of Rs 15/- in lieu of confiscated property. Fine paid.

6. ~~Duration of Proceedings~~ Date of offence No. 1 5/12/1891.

7. Duration of Proceeding.

Date of report or complaint 16-12-1891.

Date of commencing trial 16-12-1891

Date of sentence or order 13-1-1892.

258

STAMP DEPARTMENT
1894
27 JU

[illegible]
[illegible]
11-8-94
[illegible]

FOR COPIES ONLY 2.



8. Explanation of delay —— The Salt Sub Inspector produced the charge sheet on the 16 December, prosecution was closed on the same day. The defendant requested the Court to give 20 days time for in No 2 order to produce the copy of Collector's original letter to Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur authorizing the conveyance of Toddy on Duplicate permits. Accordingly the case was adjourned to 31st December. On which day the defendant again requested an adjournment for 20 days stating that all the offices have been closed on account of Christmas holidays and that he could not get the copy applied for. Hence the case was adjourned to 13th January 1892 and disposed of on the same day.

9. Remarks :

1st. The Inspector of Salt and Abkari Revenue charged the defendant with having transported 30 gallons of toddy on two poles without a valid permit an offence punishable under Section 55 Act I of 1886.

2. The first witness Fakroodeen Sahib, Salt and Abkari peon, states that while he was going with the Inspector the defendant came across with toddy on two poles. The Inspector asked the defendant whose permit, the defendant gave a permit to the Inspector who, on perusing it, said that it is an invalid one and ordered to —

257

STAMP DEPARTMENT MADRAS
1894
27 JU

[illegible]
[illegible]
11.8.94
[illegible]



to arrest the defendant a[illegible] toddy etc.

3. The defendant denies the [illegible] charge and pleads that he was conveying from my [illegible] (said toddy) [illegible] one province on the strength of the permit in question. [illegible] which was issued to him in accordance with the let[illegible] addressed to the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur and to the Abkari Contractor of Mysore Province by the collector of Anantapur. He prays the Court to dis[illegible] on the Case to get and produce the copy of the said letter [illegible] the case in support of his plea. But he failed to do so.

4. The permit in questi[illegible] is a Copy of the original permit granted to the Abkari co[illegible]tractor of Mysore Province by the Collector of An[illegible]tapur District and it has been signed by an [illegible]bkari Inspector of Mysore. It is therefore invalid. Th[illegible] permit for export and import toddy through British te[illegible]ritory ought to have been issued by the Collector of the District under his signature under Section [illegible] Act I of 1886.

From the above fa[illegible]s, I find the defendant guilty of the offence an[illegible] sentence him to pay a fine of Rupees 15/- in de[illegible]ult to suffer 15 days' simple imprisonment. And he is also directed to pay Rupees 15/- in lieu of c[illegible]nfiscated property.

(Sign[illegible]) V. Hanumanthaiah

Second Class Magistrate

25/

[illegible]

11-8-94

[illegible]

ANANTAPUR DEPARTMENT
1894
2 JUL

FOR COPIES ONLY 4



(True copy)

Treasury Deputy Collector

Copy applied for 20/8/94
" Stamps produced 8/8/94
" Granted 9/8/94
" Ready 20/8/94
" Sent to post 20/8
Copied by Chandrasekhar
Compared by Jayamma Vaiyer
No: of words 574
" Corrections. (3) three only

DEPARTMENT OF STAMPS
1894
AUG

[illegible]
[illegible]
13.8.94

[illegible]

List of papers sent to the Assistant Government advocate

No	Date	From	To
1 1192	25 - 7 - 92	Deputy Commissioner Tumkur with two enclosures	Chief Secretary
2 2438 / 393-92	15 - 8 - 92	Chief Secretary	Deputy Commissioner Tumkur
3. 2439 / R 394-92	do	do	Excise Commissioner
4. 983 / 53	19 - 8 - 92	Excise Commissione	Chief Secretary
5. 3326 / R 100-92	30 - 8 - 92	Chief Secretary	Deputy Commissioner Tumkur
6. 307	9 - 9 - 92	Deputy Commissioner Tumkur with 3 enclosures	Chief Secretary
7 4627 / R 100-92	16 - 9 - 92	Chief Secretary	Excise Commissioner

8 1893. 94 and file to 100 thereto	24—9—92	Excise Commissioner Section A as per	Chief Secretary list attached

cpd.

Compd:

Under Secretary.

(33)

Gen. 30.]

OFFICE ... 14989. 7 MAY 95 R 4128 ...

189.5

Subs with draft for appl

RS 9/5

SECTION SR

FILE No. 32 0692

SERIAL No. 32

From The Mr Asst Resident

To

The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.

Dated 6th May. 95.

Despatched

Received 7th do.

Enclosure No. one.

General No. 1526

No. 310/93.

Forwarding copy of a letter from the Dist Magist of Anantapur

References to be marked.

The money has not been received

Lungsheet Rs 4-4

Quarter Asst 2

To R Pelling Esq

Received Rs

9/5

M.G.C.P.B.—4-12-95—3000

(33)

No. 1526 / 310/93 Encl.

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore

Dated Bangalore, 6th May 1895.

SIR,

Reverting to your letter No. 12693 / RF 100-92 dated the 16th February 1895, I am directed to forward a copy of a letter from the District Magistrate of Anantha-pur from which it will be seen that the Taluk Magistrate of Madaksira has already replied to the communications from the Amildar of Pavaga-da.

No. 164 dated the 21st April 1895.

2. Out of the advance of Rs 10/- advanced for meeting the charges for stamp papers required for copies of certain Judgments asked for by the Durbar, a sum of Rs 5-8-0 has been spent on account of the copies of Judgments sent with this Office letter No. 3246 / 310-93 dated the 18th September 1894,

and

he balance, Rs 4-4-0 exclusive of money order
mmissions to and fro is herewith returned. I am to four annas
ask for the favour of an acknowledgment.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant

H. V. Cobb.
S. J.

First Assistant Resident.

Letter No. 164 dated the 21st April 189

from the District Magistrate, Anantapur, to th

First Assistant Resident in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No. 728/3109

dated 2-3-95, I have the honor to state that the Thasi

-dar Magistrate of Madaksira, on being called on

to explain the delay, states that he was unable

to promptly reply as he was making a thorough

search for the references, and that as the informati

was not available in his office, he had replied in

his yadast No. 14/14-3-95 to the communications from

the Amildar of Pavagada.

2. The Thasildar Magistrate of Madak

-Sira has been warned to make no delays in

future in replying to references.

"Copy"

Henry Clarke

Registrar.

(34)

SECTION SR
FILE No. 370692
SERIAL No. 33

The 1st Assistant
to the Resident
in Mysore

Dy 26th June 95
Nd 24906 In:
R7.100-92

I am directed to
acknowledge with thanks the receipt
of your letter No 1526/310-93
Dy 6th July with Rs 4-4-0
being the balance ~~that~~ ~~remaining~~ ~~remained~~ ~~unspent~~ ~~unspent~~ one
of Rs 10/- advanced by
the Durbar ~~for meeting~~ for
~~the charges for stamp~~
~~papers required~~ ~~incurred~~ for
~~certain~~ copies of certain
judgments required
from the Anantapur
Distt.

Hmwesc
26/6/95
~~Off Chief~~ Secy

~~Dy Secy~~

25. VI. 95.

Mr

Lieber Herr

Herr Lee

Diary no. 14939
R 4128

von letzten N 1526 d.

6. Aug. 95 Name

Ihr Sie? ~~Ich~~ 4/4/.

~~Herzlich~~ Herzlich – Ihr Lo

bitte Ihr Dr

35

The Excise Comr.
in Mysore

SECTION SRI
FILE No. 370 92
SUB No. 34

Dy 9th July 95.

No. 455
RA.100-92

Ex:
4

Govt. Procs No 9780-2
RT100-92

Lord Ch. ack.d
Sing
Sy Clerke

...pe-conviction
...istrates for
...through
...y without
...British
...
...n of the above
...to state
...the Govt.
...are of
...t is not de-
...e the High
...ras for the
...e sentences

2. With reference to para 2 of the Procs above ~~referred~~ adverted to, the Ex: Comr.

Excise Comr. is requested to report whether there still exists any difficulty in the transit of Mysore Toddy from one Village to another through British Territory in following the course prescribed in the Progs of the Madras Board of Revenue No. 359/R 19/9/72 para 10, (Copy sent with (A.F.) Govt. Progs No 7544 R 1/12/92); and, if there be any, to submit a memo, with forms, showing in what respects mutual Cooperation may be necessary ~~needed~~ is with a vie to ~~enable~~ the Madras Govt. being again addressed on the subject.

B. O.
9-7-95

Rev. Secy

[illegible] 8/7

Excise.]

Urgent

1896

49

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Cl. No. 25
EX. 4.
4 JUL 1896
DEWAN'S OFFICE

Dispatch a letter to the Residency & submit it to 3d Cr. Q
4-7-96.

with subsequent letter in which it is reported that passes have been issued by the Collector K.R.

SECTION SR

FILE No. 378/92 General & Revenue Depts

SERIAL No. 35

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,

Dated 3rd
Despatched 4th July 1896.
Received
General No. 68
No. 4
Enclosure No. 4

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT

Submits copy of communication together with original enclosures from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District in re transport of Toddy from one village to another village in the Mysore State across British Territory and requests that the Madras Government may be moved to pass orders for recognising Mysore Permits.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rw
4.7.96

Submitted to Secy
4/7/96

4/7/96

Urgent

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 68/4 Ex:4.

Bangalore, 3rd/4th July 1896.

From.

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore General & Revenue departments

Sir,

In submitting, herewith, copy of letter No. 1700, dated the 30th June 1896, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with its original enclosures, I have the honor to state that in accordance with para 10 of the Madras Revenue Board's Proceedings No. 3591, dated the 19th September 1892, the Collectors of the frontier Districts of the Madras Presidency have hitherto been issuing Passes for the transport of Toddy from one village to another village in the Mysore State across

across British territory. But it will be seen from the accompanying correspondence that the Collector of Anantapur states that, as it is under contemplation to introduce tree-tax System into his District from October next, a reference has been made to the Board of Revenue, Madras, for instructions as to whether permits for transporting toddy through British territory into Mysore, could be granted or not. It is not clear why the permits could not be granted as usual even after the introduction of the Tree-tax System. In regard to the consignments of arrack passing from one village to another in the Mysore State across British territory, the prescribed printed permits granted by the Mysore officials are recognised by the British authorities. For the consignments of Toddy also, similar Permits are granted by the Mysore officials. A copy of the prescribed printed form thereof is herewith sent

for

for reference. I beg that in view to facilitate matters, the Madras Government may be moved to pass orders for recognising the Mysore Permits accompanying the consignments of Toddy from one village to another in the Mysore Province through British territory, as in the case of arrack consignments, and to issue early instructions in the matter to the Collector of Anantapur, so that the Toddy Vend Farmers concerned in the Tumkur and Chittaldroog Districts may not be put to inconvenience and loss.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most obedient servant

[illegible]

Excise Commissioner in Mysore

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office

Mis: No. 1700
Enclosures 2.

Tumkur 30th June / 1st July 1896

~~SA to~~ Ex 5 & 35

To
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Sir,

In forwarding herewith copies of correspondence between myself and the Collector of Anantapur in re the issue of passports for the transport of toddy from one Mysore village to another across British Territory; I have the honor to request the favor of your taking early steps to obtain the necessary passports at a very early date, as otherwise the farmers concerned will be put to much loss.

I have &c.
(Signed) T. M. Narain Row
Offg. Deputy Commissioner

"Copy" M. Duncan
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

will be Communicated to you.

I have &c:

"Signed" Manaveden Raja
Ag: Collector.

Ref: on C nos: 1582 and 1686.
17-6-96.

Copy to the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur with reference to his letters nos: 1540 and 1583 Mis: dated 1st and 9th June 1896.

"Signed" Manaveden Raja
Ag: Collector.

No: 1647.

Deputy Commissioner's office
Tumkur District
Dated 24th June 1896.

To
The Collector of
Anantapur

Sir,

With reference to your Memo, Ref: on C nos: 1582 and 1686 of the 17th inst. forwarding copy of a letter addressed to the Deputy Commissioner of the Chittledroog District in re the issue of Passports for the transport of Toddy from one Mysore village to another, thro' British Territory, I have the honor to observe that as the tree tax system is to be introduced from 1st October next, there can be

no objection to the usual Passports for Mysore Toddy being issued now. The passports that have been in force during the current official year 1895-96 will become void after the 30 instant, and any Toddy that may pass thro' British Territory afterwards will be liable to be seized by the Abakari officials of your District. If there is any objection to your granting the Passports, I beg you will be so good as to direct your Abakari officials not to interfere with the Carts or Conveying Mysore Toddy, on their way to Mysore villages for sale.

The favor of an early reply is requested.

I have &c.

"Signed" M. Narain Rao

Offg Deputy Commr.

"Copy"

M Narain Rao

Offg: Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

						Gowdenkunte.		
8	Syamarayan polya	Sunjeviah	—do—	Doddaloliloor	—do—	Tamadihally-Valase and Gowdenkunte —	90	6
9	Hyatagonahulli	Sunjeviah	—do—	Kadahode and Doddaloliloor	do	Virupasandra-Tamadihally Valase-Naduvaladu-	90	6
10	Hotagudda	—do—	—do—	Hyadigunte	—do—	Virupasandra-Tamadihally Valase-Naduvaladu-Gowdenkunte —	130	8
11	Pavagada	Hanumiah	Maddugiri	Narlakere and Runtavalalu	do	Pillegundlu-Ranganahally Madakasira-Amidalgondy	800	12
12	Venkatapura	Obalappa	Penukonda	Yalumalattimmanahally	Penukonda	Yammalttimanhally Doddagutta —	80	4
13	Tirimany	Hanumiah	—do—	— do —	—do—	Garumakalahally Naranaganahally Yammalttimahally	60	4
							2160 gallons	38 N.A. 1 and 1/6

Camp Pavgada
30 May 1896.

"Copy"

Mr Narain Rao
Offg: Deputy Commissioner

(Sd) M. Narain Rao.
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Statement showing the particulars of passports required for the transport of toddy for the Suppl, of Shops in the Pavagada Taluk through the Villages of Her Majesty's Territory, to be in force from 1st July 1896 to the end of June 1897.

No.	Taluk	Name of farm	Name of farmer	From what grove toddy has to be transported.		Through what villages of Her Majesty's Territory –		No. of gallons to be transported daily.	No. of passports required	Remarks.
				Taluk	Village in which the Vanam is situated	Taluk	Villages			
1		Rajavanti –	Fakrudeen Sabi	Madda-giri	Narlakere and Manda Thimanahalli	Madakasira	Pilligundlu – Ranganhally, Madakasira, Amidagondi	100	6	
2		Dammatimary	Narasimha	– do –	Badobanehally Kristnapura and Jeugonhally	– do –	Pilligundlu, Ranganhally Madakasira, Gowdanhally Rakalkunte Anantapur	100	6	
3		Arasikere	Ramappah	Sira	Madalvor	– do –	Hemavati – Morabagalu Sivara	130	6	
4		Virupasamudra	Obala Narasimha	Madda-giri.	Narlakere and Kosahally –	– do –	Pilligundlu – Ranganahally Harasandra – Kallumary Yelloti –	100	6	
5		Kilarlahally	Nagappah	Sira	Kallahally and Arejujahally Viligere and Boppanadu –	– do –	Gudibandi – Jumble-bunde – Sivara and Hamavati	300	10	
6		Bellibathu	Venkatesiah	Challa-kere	Kadahodi –	– do –	Virupasandra – Nadavalada Thammadihally and Valasa	90	8	
7		Satalkunte	– do –	– do –	Gowripura and Mahadevapura	– do –	Virupasandra – Nadavalada Thammadihally Valase	90	6	

Exise.]

184
Ex/10

40

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G.O. No. 189-6
9 JUL. 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore,

SECTION S.R.

FILE No. 37 of 92

SUB-FILE No. 36

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,

~~*Dept.*~~

Dated 6th July 1896.

Despatched 8th Do

Received

General No. 140

No. 12

Enclosure No.

In ~~reply to~~ continuation of this Office No. 68/4, dated 3rd instant.

SUBJECT

Requests that the Madras Government be moved to recognize, as in the case of Arrack consignments, the Mysore Permits accompanying consignments of Toddy from one village to another in the Mysore Province, across British territory, & reports that requisite passes have since come from the Collector of Anantapur.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rec 9.7.

Sub d rotedraft

8/8/96.

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore dated 6th/8th July 1896

No. 140/12

(40)

From The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue & General Departments.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 68/4 dated the 3rd instant, I have the honor to intimate that the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, reports that the requisite passports have lately been received from the Collector of Anantapur. But as the Tree-tax system will be introduced into the Anantapur District on the 1st October 1896, it is necessary that the Madras Government should be moved to issue orders to their Abkari Officers to recognize, as in the case of Arrack consignments,

the

the Mysore Permits accompanying the consignments of Toddy from one village to another village in the Mysore Province, across British territory, in-as-much as such taking amounts to transport from one place to another in the Mysore Province, but not to export into British territory.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
D. Murray
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Draft for Asst. C

4

To (41)

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

SECTION Sel. P
FILE No. 37 0692
SUB No. 37

Dated 8th/10th August 1896

No. 1491
Ex. 59.

His letter no. 140/12 of the 6th Ultimo requesting that the Madras Govt. may be moved to recognise, as in the case of arrack, the Mysore permits accompanying consignments of Toddy from one Mysore village to another across British Territory.

With reference to the above has the Honor to enquire how consignments of British toddy passing through Mysore Territory are dealt with, ~~and~~ whether they are covered by permits from British Officers or by the Dy. Commrs. ~~in Mysore~~ of the several districts in Mysore.

(Sadiq Ali)
8/8/96

8/8/96

Secretary

Gen. 30.]

43

REVENUE DEPARTMENT. Gl. No. 1531 No. Ex. 95 1896 24 AUG. 96 DEWAN'S OFFICE.

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Dated 18th August 1896.

SECTION SR. Despatched 22nd

FILE No. Received 27.8.92

38 Enclosure No.

SERIAL No.

General No. 1254

No. 94

In reply to Docket No. 1491/Ex. 59, dated 8/10th instant.

SUBJECT.

States that consignments of British Toddy passing from one British village to another through Mysore territory seem to be covered only by Permits from British Officers.

Submitted for orders.

This report was called for with reference to the Secretary's observation on the draft below.

The further report promised by the Excise Comm. may be awaited before the reference (as in draft) is made to the Resident.

30/8/96

Yes; if not received shortly, express a reminder. 31/8

Nov 24/8

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Reference 27/8/96

No. 1294/94

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 18th/22nd August 1896.

(43)

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 1491/4:59 of the 8/10th instant, I have the honor to state that from the old references in this Office it is apparent that the consignments of British Toddy passing from one British village to another through the Mysore Territory, are covered only by Permits from British Officers. The Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Kolar, Tumkur, Mysore and Chitaldroog, have, however, been requested to report the present practice. Their replies will be communicated in due course.

2. I beg to invite attention to

to the Mysore Chief Court's Judg-
-ment on the file of correspon-
-dence returned with this Office
letter No. 1893/94, dated the 24th
September 1892, from which it
will be seen that the Chief
Court quashed the sentence
passed by certain Magistrates
on British subjects for having
carried Toddy from one part
of British territory to another
across the Mysore territory,
without the Passes of Mysore
Officers.

* No 8 [illegible] B [illegible]
R. 7. [illegible]

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

N. Murugaya

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 1st October 1896.
4th

No. 2095/155

(461)

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Revenue and General Departments

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 2664/Ex. 95, dated the 9th September 1896, I have the honor to report the following result of my enquiry as to the procedure adopted in the several Districts concerned, in regard to the consignments of British Toddy passing from one British village to another through the Mysore territory.

(1) The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, reports that such consignments are not covered by his Passes, but only by those of the

the Collector of Salem, usually ——"—— vised by the Mysore Excise Officials

(2) The Deputy Commissioners of the Kolar, Tumkur and Chitaldroog Districts — state that such consignments are covered by their Passes, the procedure being adopted on the lines of the Passes issued by the Collectors of British Districts for the transport of Mysore Toddy passing through British territory.

(3) The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reports that such consignments are not covered by the Mysore Passes.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

M Burnett

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

(45) Draft for approval 5

Cuted B3d fwd
25-10-96

26/10
2nd ing

No 4040 & 29.10.96
R7100-92 2-11

SECTION S.R.
FILE No. 37 & 92
N 17 40
S 2

To

The 1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to invite attention to para 10 of the Progs of the Board of Revenue Madras received with your Office letter No. 3713/212-92 dated 19th November 1892, quoted in the margin for ready reference, on the subject of the transport through British territory of Toddy from one village ~~place~~ to another within the limits of the Mysore State. Such consignments have been till recently permitted without objection by the local

In regard to toddy, no difficulty "appears now to exist; the Collector "of Anantapur now gives passes "containing the necessary details to "the Mysore renters and the Collector "of Coimbatore will be directed to do "the same. This is effective in the case of toddy of which the consign-"-ments are regular and uniform, "but would not do for arrack which "is consigned in varying quanti-"-ties and at uncertain intervals"

Local officers of the Anantapur District upon passes issued by the Collector, but in June last, it is reported, that that Officer ~~the Collector of the Anantapur District~~ stated in reply to ~~the~~ a requisition from the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District for the usual passes for the year ending ~~the~~ 30th June 1897, that in consequence of the contemplated introduction of the tree-tax system into his District from October next, "a reference has been made "to the Board whether "permits for transporting "Toddy through the British "villages into Mysore could "be granted or not," and that

(46)

189

Gl. No. 6,115
Ex. 333
MAR 97
DEWAN'S OFFICE
7884
P. 97

From The Assistant to the Resident Cauvery Nagar

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Dept.

Dated 17-3-1897

Despatched

Received

General No. 1128

No.

The counsels are in Camp.

254
17/3/97

SECTION S.R.
FILE No. 37892
SERIAL No. 4

Enclosure No. 1

Forwarding copy of the Pros: of the Board of Revenue, Madras, regarding the transport of toddy from one Village to another thro' the British territory & requesting to be favored with the views of the Durbar as to the introduction of the tree tax system into the Mysore State

References to be marked.

Submitted for orders.
18/3/97

Secy. with a Note.
10/3/97

Rec'd 17.3.97

No. 1128-Ex

46

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

TO

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 17th March 1897.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 45 4040/R7 100-92 dated the 29th October 1896, regarding the transport of toddy from one village to another through the intervening British Territory, I am directed to forward a copy of the proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Madras, and to ask you to be so good as to favor the Resident with the views of the Durbar in regard to the introduction of the tree tax system into the tracts in the Mysore state which would have to be brought under that system and the suggestions made by the Board in the concluding portion of

Govt. No. 428 of 4th February 1897.

of para 1 of the proceedings. The subject of the extension of the tree tax system to the Bellary and Anantapur Districts from 1st October next, is now engaging the attention of the Madras Government.

2. I am further to request that the particulars of consignments of Mysore arrack transported through British Territory, asked for by the Board in para 2 of the proceedings, may also be kindly furnished to this office.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

K. D. Erskine Captain,
First Assistant Resident.

H.

46/a

Mis No 428
Dated 4th February 1897.

Read endorsement from Government, Revenue Department No 2278R dated 1st December 1896 referring to the Board for remarks letter from the Resident in Mysore forwarding copy of a letter from the Mysore Durbar on the subject of the transport through British territory of toddy from one village to another within the limits of the Mysore State and recommending approval of the proposal of the Durbar.

Resolution:-

With reference to the foregoing endorsement of Government the board begs leave to report that as regards toddy it has no objection to the existing arrangement of transport through British ~~India~~ territory under passes issued by the Collector being continued till the introduction of the tree tax system into the taluks in the Anantapur and Bellary Districts

adjoining the Mysore frontier. After the system is introduced the Board considers that it would be extremely unsafe and dangerous to the interests of Government to allow the transport of Mysore toddy through British territory either under the existing arrangements or under that in force at present as regards arrack and would suggest that the Mysore Government which has under consideration the introduction of the tree tax system into the State might be urged to bring the system into force simultaneously with its introduction into the Bellary and Anantapur districts and to adopt the same rates of tree tax as those in force on the British side of the frontier with the understanding that marked trees in Mysore will be marked for British renters by the Mysore Authorities without payment of tree tax in Mysore on requisitions by the Officers of the Department made on payment of the usual tree tax into British treasuries and vice-versa.

2. The Board would take this opportunity of pointing out to Government the grave danger to the British Revenue caused by the present arrangement under which Mysore arrack is allowed to be transported in unsealed consignments ~~through~~ intervening British territory where there is every probability of the arrack entering into consumption to the serious detriment of British revenue. The present arrangement was accepted in Board Proceedings Mis No 35 91 dated 19th September 1892 (Encs 17 & 18 Sec A) probably because the Board saw a difficulty in adopting any other course, but it considers that the risk to the revenue involved is a very serious one and that the question needs careful examination. It therefore requests that Government will be pleased to obtain from the Mysore authorities taluk-wise details of the quantities of Mysore arrack which have been transported through British territory under this arrangement during the last two years so as to enable it to arrive at

at some definite conclusions as to the extent of the risk to the revenue and if necessary to institute local inquiries as to whether all the prohibited spirits eventually recrossed the frontier.

(True Extract)

(signed) E. Millett.
Assistant Secretary.

Copy

Henry Allsche
Registrar.

BR

(47)

To The Excise Commr in Mysore.

C. No. 484
R. 7/00-92 } 19-3-97

SECTION S.R.
FILE No. 3706 92
SERIAL No. 42

No 1120 dd 17 March '97, in reply to this office No. 4040 / R.F. 100-92 dd 29 Octr. 1896.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your Letter No. 2095/155 dated 18th Octr. 1896, regarding the transport of liquor from one Mysore village to another through intervening British limits, I am directed to forward a copy of a letter from the Resident in Mysore and of its enclosure, for such remarks as you may wish to offer on the several points therein mentioned, and for the submission of a statement showing the details called for by the Board of Revenue, Madras. I am also to enquire how the ~~[illegible]~~ objections raised by the Board, if reciprocally ~~[illegible]~~ urged by the Darbar, would affect ~~[illegible]~~ British liquor (both toddy and arrack).

2. The favour of a very early reply is requested.

I have etc

19/3/97
for Secretary

Done

Office
Also send copy your Letter to Resdt, of 29 Octr. 96.

Subd for orders.
The Excise Commr's letters herein referred to are before the Secretary.
13/7/97
Subd to the Secy
13/7/97

57

Inwards
186
189
Ex II
7 JUL. 97

From The Excise Commr Mysore

To

The Secretary to Govt. of Mysore.

SECTION SRI
FILE No. 37892
STR No. 43

Dept.

Dated 3 July 1897
Despatched 5th
Received
General No. 105
No. 9
Enclosure No.

In reply to letter No. 6494, dated 19th March 1897. RF100-92

SUBJECT.

States that as regards import & export of toddy from and to the Madras Presidency, and for transport across frontier, proposals have already been submitted forwards statistics of arrack transported across British territory and submits his views as to how arrack consignments from both territories should be covered.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Memorandum

Please draft a reply to the Residency. As regards transport of toddy, reiterate to the more recent correspondence which has settled the question. As regards arrack, reproduce the by-laws regards as the Burbur Dunkar opinion & stated. The statement recd from the by-laws also be forwarded. Submit the draft to Secy before issue

Drafted 15/7/97

Re
7.7.97

105/9

a 5

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, dated 3rd July 1897.

From
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 6484/R.F.100-92, dated the 19th March 1897, I have the honor to state that so far as toddy is concerned, the arrangement to be proposed to be arrived at with the Government of Madras for the import and export of toddy from and to the frontier districts in the Madras Presidency, and for transport from one Mysore village to another across British territory, and vice versa, have been reported in my letters Nos. 5992/477, dated the 28th May 1897, and 6006/478, dated the 29th idem.

2. In regard to para 2 of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Madras No. 428 (4220?) dated 4th February 1897, I beg to state that

that Mysore arrack is now transported in duly sealed consignments through intervening British territory. As requested by the Board of Revenue, a Statement showing the quantities of Mysore arrack which were transported through British territory during the last two years, is herewith sent. It will be seen from it that the total quantity of arrack transported from one Mysore village to another, was 1025 gallons in 1894-95 and 1229 gallons in 1895-96, and that such transport was only from the arrack Bonded depôt at Tirumakudlu-Narasipur to the Mamballi retail shop in the Yelandur taluk, from the Bonded depôt at Maddi-giri to the retail shops in the Pavagada taluk, and from the Bonded depôt at Betmangala to the retail shop at Kondatimnapally

Kondatimnapally. The British taluks through which the said consignments passed, are shown in the accompanying Statement.

3. The Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District reports that British arrack is transported to Agrahara arrack shop in the North Arcot District across the Mysore territory, and that arrack consignments from the Nellikuppam Distillery in the Madras Presidency are sent to Hosur in the Salem District through the Malur taluk in the Mysore Province. The Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District reports that a few cases of smuggled arrack from Mangalore in the Madras Presidency were detected in his District.

4. The quantity of British arrack transported through the Mysore territory is probably more

than

than the Mysore arrack transported through British territory. If necessary, the particulars of the quantity transported may be obtained from the Madras Government.

5. I am of opinion that the consignments of British arrack transported from one British village to another across Mysore territory, should be covered by British permits countersigned by the Excise officer concerned in the Mysore Province; that similarly the consignments of Mysore arrack transported from one Mysore village to another through British territory should be covered by Mysore permits countersigned by the Abkary officer concerned in the Madras Presidency; and that all consignments should be duly sealed by the seals of the respective governments.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
M. Duncan

To
Excise Commissioner in Mysore

Statement showing the quantity of arrack transported from Bonded depôts to retail shops thro' British territory during the years 1894-95 and 1895-96.

Name of Bonded depôt from which transported	Name of retail shop to which transported	Quantity of arrack transported 1894-95 Gls	1894-95 Drs	1895-96 Gls	1895-96 Drs	Through what taluk in British territory	Remarks
Betmangala	Kondatimmanapally	90	.	121	.	Palmaner taluk, North Arcot District	
Guddajiri	Pavagada	175	.	309	25	Madakasira, Anantapur District	
	Hoskote	155	.	149	.		
	Venkatapura	42	.	49	.		
	Gagalapura	46	.	42	.		
	Jatturas	68	.	31	26		
T. Garipur	Gumballi (Gundlupet taluk)	449	.	527	.	Kollegal, Coimbatore District	
	Total	1025	.	1229	.		

Excise Commissioner's office
Dated 3rd July 1897.

M. Gwercy
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To (52) No 773 / Ex 31 / In 1 — 8/9/7/97

The First Asstt to the Resdt in Mysore.

SECTION SR.
FILE NO. 37 of 92
SER 44

Sir,

In reply to your Letter No 1120 dy 17 March 1897, forwarding a copy of the proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Madras, regarding the transport of liquor from one Mysore village to another through intervening British limits, I am directed ~~to forward the accompanying statement showing the quantities of arrack imported during the official year 1894-95 and 95-96~~ to invite your attention to the correspondence ending with my Letter No 678/Ex 28 dy 16 Jy 97 which settles the question so far as the transport of Toddy is concerned.

2. In forwarding, as requested by the Board in para 2 of their proc, a statement showing the quantities of arrack transported during the official years 1894-95 and 1895-96, I am desired to state that Mysore arrack is being

Issue letter
The other letter
has already
been issued
16/7/97

Sir,
Put up the enclosed letter regarding Shri Ties & Sri Chandrasekhar's application for commission issue
16/7/97

Therefore issued
16/7/97

in duly sealed consignments, and that the said transport is both restricted in quantity and confined to a very few ~~[illegible]~~ localities, as may be seen from the statement referred to, ~~and that~~ while, on the other hand, the quantity of British arrack transported through Mysore territory is believed to be greater. On this last point, the Darbar would be glad to have exact figures, if they can conveniently be furnished by the Madras Govt. Both the information of which the Darbar are now in possession shows that British arrack is transported to the Agrahar arrack shop in the North Arcot Dt. across Mysore territory, & that consignments from the Nellikuppam distillery are sent to Hosur in the Salem Dt. through the Mysore Taluk of Malur, and that even a few cases of smuggled arrack ~~[illegible]~~ from Mangalore have been detected in the Kadur District.

3. I am to add that, on the whole, the Darbar are of opinion that the consignments of British arrack transported from one British village to another across Mysore territory should be covered by British permits countersigned by the Mysore Excise officers, whereas similarly consignments of Mysore arrack may be required to be covered

by Mysore permits countersigned by the British Abkari Officer concerned; and that all consignments should be duly sealed with the seals of the respective Governments. ~~[illegible]~~ The Durbar desire to be favoured with the views of the Madras Govt on this point —

Thavendi

15/7/97

15/7/97 Secy

15/7/97

No 774 & 19/7/97
Ex: 32 19

Copy forwarded to the Secy for information with ref. this letter No 105 dt 30th July 1897.

17/7/97

Sn 481

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
10681
4-6-98

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
8795
JUN 1 1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE

53

From

The First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

53

SECTION SR
FILE No. 32692
SER A No. 45

Dated 1.6.98

Despatched

Received

General No. 2694.

No.

Enclosure No.

Suggesting an alternative proposal, for the Durbar's opinion, regarding the regulation of the transport of arrack from one Mysore Village to another across British territory and Vice Versa,

Encl. Pl.

References to be marked.

Submitted with draft.

K/ 6/6/98.

No. 2694.
212.92 3.6.98

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

(53)

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 1st June 1898.

SIR,

(52)

Reverting to your letter No.773-Ex.31 dated the 19th July 1897, containing the Durbar's proposals for regulating the transport of arrack from one Mysore village to another across British territory and vice versa, I am, at the instance of the Government of Madras, to state that His Excellency the Governor in Council approves of the proposal that such transports should be covered by permits and that the consignments of liquor should be sealed. As, however, the proposal that the permits should be countersigned by an Excise Officer of the Government through whose territory the liquor in passing is likely to cause delay and inconvenience, I am to suggest, as an alternative, that in addition to the special permit, a general permit may be granted to cover such transport, application for the same being made through an officer of the Government through whose territory the liquor is transported by the Excise Officer of the Government from and into whose territory the liquor is to be transported. The permit should specify the maximum quantity likely to be removed at

any

any one time, and would be carried with the special permit granted for each consignment, and would be subject to examination during the transport. The General permit should be current for a year.

2. If these suggestions meet with the Durbar's approval detailed instructions will be issued by the Madras Government to local officers, and the necessary conditions will be inserted in the depôt and shop-keepers' licenses.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

K. S. Erskine

Captain,

First Assistant Resident.

Draft for approval

54

(Here enter letter no 2694 & 1st June/98 from the First Asstt to the Resident in Mysore suggesting an alternative proposal regarding the regulation of the transport of arrack from one Mysore village to another across British Territory & vice versa).

54

SECTION SR
FILE NO. 37092
SER. NO. 46

No 11434 / Ex: 466 & 9/6/98

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded to the Excise Commr in continuation of this Office no 774 & 19th July 197, "for his ~~early~~ expression of his ~~early~~ opinion ~~for~~ ~~Communication to the Resident~~ on the proposal therein made

"B. O."
7-6-98
Secretary

7/6/98 7/6/98

En: 528

Gen. 30.]

189

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G. No. 9040
JUN 13 1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE

55

SECTION S.R.
FILE No. 37 of 92
SER 47

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

14/6/98

55

To

The ~~Chief Secretary to the~~ Government General & Revenue Department ~~Dewan of Mysore.~~

Dated 10th June 1898.

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No.

General No. 8176
No. 482

~~*In reply to*~~ With reference to Secretary's official memorandum No. 11434 / En 466 dated 9th instant

SUBJECT.

States that the Resident's proposal to obtain and use a general permit in addition to the special permit to cover the transport of arrack from one village to another across British territory and vice versa may be approved.

Sd/-
R
RW 13/6/98

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

15/6/1898. Recd 4.20 P.M.
14.6.98

Subd. ~~Tickt~~ dft ~~grafte~~
BR
16/6/98.

No: 8176
482

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore dated 10th June 1898.

From.

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To.

The Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No 11434/Ex 466, dated 9th instant, I have the honor to state that I am of opinion that, with a view to avoid delay in getting the permits countersigned by an Excise Officer of the Government through whose territory arrack consignments have to pass, the Resident's alternative proposal to obtain a general permit to be current for each official year, from the Excise Officer of the Government through whose territory the consignments have to pass,

to cover

to cover such transport, in ad-
-dition to the special permit
to be granted by the Excise
officer of the Government from
and into whose territory the
consignments are to be trans-
ported, may be approved.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant

M Duncan Jr

Excise Commissioner in Mysore

JR

✓ 1/893 Ex 482 D 20/6/98

(56)

To

The First assistt to the Resident in Mysore

(56)

SECTION SR
FILE NO. 37892
SR 48

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 2694/212-92 of the 1st instant conveying the approval by the Government of Madras of the Darbar's proposals for regulating the transport of arrack from one Mysore village to another across British territory and vice versa. In view however, of the delay & inconvenience likely to be caused by requiring every permit to be countersigned by an excise officer of the Govt through whose territory the consignment has to pass, I am to state that the Darbar agree to the alternative proposal suggested in your letter under reference viz that in addition to the special permit a general permit

may be granted to cover such transport, for each off year, application for the same being made through an officer of the Government through whose territory the liquor is transported, by the Excise Officer of the Government from and into whose territory the liquor is to be transported. The Darbar accordingly ~~desire~~ request the favor of your moving the Madras Govt. ~~being moved~~ to issue necessary instructions to the ~~[illegible]~~ officers in the matter.

I have &c.

C.
17-6-98
Secretary.

11894
483 Copy to Excise Commr. with reference to his letter no. 8176/482 dt 10th June/98.

"B. O."
C.
17-6-98
Secretary

Ex. 220.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 4394
JAN. 21 1899
No
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

57

To

The Secretary to Govt. of Mysore.

General and ~~Revenue~~ Dept.

SECTION S.R.
FILE No. 370692
SUB-NO. 49

Dated 19th January 1899

Despatched 20th January 1899.

Received

General No. 4602

No. 223

Enclosure No.

In ~~reply to~~ adverting to Secretary's Official memo No. 11894, dated 20th June 1898. Ex. 483. SUBJECT:

Requests to be furnished with a copy of the instructions issued by the Madras Government in regard to the issue of general permits for each official year to cover the transport of arrack from one British village to another across the Mysore territory & vice versa.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

21.1.99

Submitted with dft for approval or orders.

23/1/99

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 19th/20th January 1899

No. 4602/223.

From The Excise Commissioner, in Mysore

To The Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

Adverting to your official memo No. 11894/Ex.483, dated 20th June 1898, I have the honor to request to be furnished with a copy of the instructions issued by the Madras Government in regard to the issue of general permits for each official year to cover the transport of arrack from one British village to another across the Mysore territory and vice versa, to enable me to give necessary orders to our Excise Officers in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

S. Kondaiah Rangaiyah

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Draft for approval

58

L.

The First Asstt to the Resident in Mysore

SECTION S.R.
FILE No. 37692
S. 501
of 1.2.99

B-248.
Ex. 215.

Sir,

Serial no. 56

With reference to this Office letter No. 11893/Ex482 dated the 20th June 1898 requesting ~~the favour of~~ that the Madras Govt. ~~being~~ moved to issue necessary instructions to their Officers in the matter of regulating the transport of arrack from one Mysore village to another across British territory and vice versa, I am directed to request ~~that~~ the favor of the ~~being~~ Darbar being furnished with a copy of the instructions, if any, issued by that Govt. in the matter, ~~in order~~ so as to enable the Excise Commr. in Mysore to ~~give~~ ~~the requisite~~ give similar instructions

to the Abkari ~~of the~~ Officers

of the State.

I have de

1-2-99

Secretary.

31/1/99.

7/1/29

No. 1344. Ex1.

(59)

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

Recd 23/3/99

To

The Dewan of Mysore

Dated Bangalore 23 March 1899.

SIR,

(58)

to be obligingly returned

In Compliance with the request contai in your letter No. S-218/8x215, dated the 1 ultimo, I am directed to forward a Copy of the proceedings of the Board of Revenu Madras, No. 244, dated the 8th August 1898 containing detailed instructions for regulating the transport of arra from one British village to another across Mysore territory, and to request that Copies of any similar instruct issued by the Durbar may be kind

Supplie

Supplied for transmission to the Madras Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most obedient servant

K. Erskine

Captain,

1st Assistant Resident.

Ml.

Draft for a record

189 (60)

From

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

To

The ~~Secretary~~ Excise Commr. in Mysore

Dated 30/3/99

Despatched 30

Received

General No. 6811

No. Ex. 262

Enclosure No. 1.

SR

FILE No. 370692

S2

(57)

His letter No: 4602/223 of 19th Nov. 1899 requesting to be furnished with a copy of the instructions issued by the Madras Govt: in regard to the grant of general permits to cover the transport of arrack from one British village to another across the Mysore Territory and vice versa

In reply has the honour to forward herewith a copy (printed) (~~in original~~ to be returned after taking a copy for reference) ~~for retransmission to the Residency~~ of Proceedings No: 244 of 8th August 1898 of the Madras Board of Revenue containi

~~which was~~ received with 1st Asst. Resident's letter No: 1344 of 23rd March 99.

the instructions referred to above and to request that 30 copies of similar instructions ~~that will~~ issued to the Excise Officers of the Tuticorin State, may be ~~supplied~~ forwarded to this office at an early date both for record here and for transmission to the Madras Govt. through the Residency.

By Order

29/3/99

for Secretary

Ex. 289.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
GL. No. 6227
APR 8 99

61

1899

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Secretary to Govt. of Mysore.
Genl & Rev. Depts

SECTION SR.
FILE 32 & 92
SERIES S3

Dated 5th April 1899.

Despatched 7th

Received

General No. 6161
No. 295

Enclosure No. 1 Proceedings and 30 copies of circulars

In reply to Secretary's No. 6811 Ex 262 dated 30th March 1899

SUBJECT.

Returns printed copy of Pro: No. 244, dated 8th August 1898, of the Madras Board of Revenue, and submits 30 copies of instructions issued regarding the grant of general permits to cover transports of arrack from one Mysore village to another, across British territory and vice versa

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

S. 499

No. 6161/295 Enc 1 Proceedings + 30 circulars.

Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, 5th April 1899.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Depts.

Sir

I have the honor to return herewith the printed copy of Proceedings No. 244, dated 8th August 1898, of the Madras Board of Revenue, received with your docket No. 6811/Ex262, dated 30th March 1899.

2. Thirty copies of instructions issued in regard to the grant of general permits to cover the transport of arrack from one Mysore village to another across British territory and vice versa, are herewith submitted, as requested.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

1898.

61/a

Ex. to Board of Revenue.
(Separate Revenue),
Madras.

Printed September last.

Proceedings, No. 244, 8th August 1898.

August.

Communicating to certain officers, with instructions, Govt. order communicating letter from the Resident in Mysore stating that the Mysore Durbar agrees to the proposals of the Board of Revenue in the matter of the transport of arrack from one Mysore village to another across British territory & vice versa

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.
(Separate Revenue)

Abstract:——— submitted to Govt. on certain cases of seizure of Mysore liquor on passing thro' British territory complained of by the Resident Mysore ———

Read again

the following Proc of the Board of Revenue Mis No 4451 of 13th Octr 97

Read:—

The following G.O. Mis No. 4683 Revenue dated 6th September '97

Read:

The following letter from the Resident in Mysore dated Bangalore 20th August '97 No 3599.

With reference to your letter Mis. No. 1254 dated 5th March last regarding the transport of liquor from one Mysore village to another through intervening British territory, I have the honor to refer to my letter No. 3496 of the 23rd instant which settles the question so far the transport of toddy is concerned.

2. In forwarding as requested by the Board

of

of Revenue Madras, a statt received from the [illegible] showing the quantity of arrack transported thro' British territory during the official years '94-95 and 95-96, the Durbar observe that Mysore arrack is being transported ~~and~~ in duly sealed consign-ments and that the arrack is both restricted in quantity and confined to a very few localities while on the other hand the quantity of British arrack transported thro' Mysore territory is believed to be greater, the information which the Durbar are in possession shows that British arrack is transported to the Agrahar arrack shop in the North Arcot District across Mysore territory and that consignments from the Nellikuppam Distillery are sent to Hosur in the Salem District thro' the Mysore taluk of Malur.

3. The Durbar are of opinion that British arrack transported from one British village to another across Mysore territory should be covered by British permits countersigned by

the Mysore Excise officer concerned, that similarly consignments of Mysore arrack may be required to be covered by Mysore permits countersigned by the British abkari officer concerned and that all consignments should be duly sealed with the seals of the respective Govts.

4. I shall be obliged if you will be good enough to communicate the orders of Govt of Madras on these proposals.

— Enclosure —

Statement showing the quantity of arrack transported from bonded depots to retail shops thro' British territory during the years '94-95, '95-96

From	To	quantity transported in gals. 94-95	95-96	thro' which taluk in British territory
Bettamangala	Honda-thummanagatti	90	121	Palamaner Taluk North Arcot Dt.
Maddigiri	Pavagada	175	309-25	Madakasira Anantapur Dt.
	Hosakote	155	149	
	Venkatapura	42	49	
	Nagalapura	46	42	
	Jatras	68	31-26	
T. Narsipur ~~Mamballi~~	Mamballi	449	527	Kollegal Coimbatore Dt.

Order.

Order. Mis No 4683 Revenue
dated 6th Septr '97

Communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to paragraph 3 of the Resident's letter.

True Extract
(Sd) F. J. Wilson
For of Secretary to Govt.

To, The Board of Revenue
(Separate Revenue)
with copy of Statt

Resolution Mis No 4457 of 13th octr '97
Communicated to the Dy Comrs, Central and Southern Divisions with the request that information may be furnished at a very early date on the following points in connection with the consignments of British arrack transported thro' Mysore Territory and vice versa.

1 The Generals cases in which such transports have to take place (2) The towns or villages from which the consignments of arrack are made (3) What arrangements can be made for having them sealed in case they are numerous.

(True copies and Extract)

To the Dy Comrs Central & Southern Divisions.

(Sd) A. S. Brodie
Ag Secretary to

Read: —

Replies from the Dy Commrs, Central and Southern Divisions and the Asst Comm Bellary Sub Division.

Resolution - Mis No 1251 of 28th March '98

The Board beg to submit the report called for in G.O Mis No 4683 Revenue dated 6-9-97 regarding the arrangements under which Mysore arrack should be transported across British territory and vice versa.

2. It agrees with the Director in thinking that such transports should be covered by permits but is opposed to requiring the countersignature of such permits by an Excise officer of the Govt. thro' whose territory the liquor is passing, as already reported in its proc Mis No 3591 of 19th Septr '92 Such a procedure would inevitably be accompanied by much delay and inconvenience

It would suggest as an alternative that general permits be granted to cover such transports, application for the same being made thro the Excise officer of the Govt from and into whose territory the liquor is to be transported. These general permits should be for

for the maximum quantity likely to be removed at any one time and should be current for the whole year. They would be carried by the special permits granted for each consignment and would be subject to check and initial in transport.

The Board concurs with the Durbar as to the advisability of sealing consignments. It was loth to insist on this in the proposal above quoted, because of the absence of any arrangement for the examination of seals on arrival. It presumes that the Durbar is now making such arrangements and will itself make the best it can. The State showing what it proposes to do in this respect accompanies.

4. If these suggestions are approved, detailed instructions will be issued to the local officers and necessary conditions will be inserted in the Depot and Shop Keepers' licenses concerned

(True copy/Extract)

(Sd) C. G. Todhunter
ag. Secretary

To
The Secy to Govt.
Revn. Deptt

Read also:

The follg G.O Mis No 2915 Rev of 11th Jly '98

Read...

The follg letter from the Resident in Mysore of 1st Jly '98 No 3271

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter No 2183 of 20th May last regarding the transport of arrack from one Mysore village to another across British territory and vice versa, I have the honor to state that the Mysore Durbar agree to the alternative proposal therein suggested namely that in addition to the special permit, a general permit may be granted to cover such transports for each official year, application for the same being made thro' an officer of the Govt. thro' whose territory the liquor is transported by the Excise officer of the Govt from and into whose territory the liquor is to be transported.

2. The Durbar will be obliged if the Madras Govt will kindly issue the necessary

necessary instructions to their officers in the matter.

Order this no 2915 Rev. of 11/7/98.

Communicated to the Board of Revenue in continuation of G.O no 2182 of 20th May 1898 with the request that the necessary instructions may be issued.

(True Extract)

(Sd) A. S. Russel.

For Secy to Govt.

To,

The Board of Revenue
(Separate Revenue)

Resolution — no 244 of 8th August 98.

Communicated to the Collectors of Cuddapah, Anantapur, Bellary, Kurnool, Salem, Coimbatore, and South Canara and to the Dy Comrs Central & Southern Divisions for information and guidance.

2. The transport of arrack from one Impore village to another across British territory and vice versa, will in future be regulated by the arrangements proposed by the Board in the

Enclosed in Board's Proc., Misc. No. 4461 of 10th November 1898
(Salt)
(Excise Revenue Salt)

the last of the Prop read again above and accepted by the Mysore Durbar. Depôt Keepers and Shop keepers requiring general permits for transport thro' Mysore Territory should be directed to submit their applications to the circle officers for transmission to the Mysore Excise officer concerned.

3. Collectors will be requested to see that no delay takes place in the disposal of applications for general permits from Mysore renters and shop keepers.

4. Consignments of spirits from Depôts to shops and from one wholesale depôt to another which have to pass thro' Mysore Territory will in future be sealed and verified at destination. The officers who will be entrusted with this duty are noted in columns 5 & 6 of the statements appended to these props. Sealing officers should be required to forward specimens of their seals to verifying officers. The foll^g conditions should be inserted in the licenses of the depôt and shop keepers concerned.—

Depot Keeper's license

You shall not issue consignments of spirits which have to be transported thro' Mysore territory without getting them sealed by officers nominated for the purpose"

Shop-keepers license

"You shall not open consignments of spirits received at your shop and which have been transported thro' Mysore territory unless and until they are verified by officers nominated for the purpose

(True copies and Extract)

(Sd) H.G. Todhunter
as Secretary

True copy. (Sd) Edmund Millet
Asst Secy

To

The Collectors of Cudapah, Anantapur, Bellary
N. Arcot, Salem, Coimbatore & S. Canara

" Dy Comrs, Central & Southern Divisions.

Copy to Asst Comrs, Bellary, Arcot, Trichinopoly
and Cabinet Sub Divisions

Inspectors in charge of Hospet, Bellary, Anantapur
Penukonda, Madanapalli, Chittoor, Vellore
Krishnagiri, Bhavani, Udipi & Mangalore

Appendix

District.	Circle.	From.	To.	By whom consignments to be sealed.	By whom consignments to be verified.
1	2	3	4	5	6.
Anantapur	Punkonda.	The wholesale arrack Depot at Punkonda (Punkonda Taluk)	The rural farmers' depots at Amarapuram, Melovoy (Madakasira Taluk)	Taluk Head accountant, Punkonda.	Range Sub Inspector or Range Inspector
		Madakasira.	The shops at Rolla, Agaly, Hathi &c (Madakasira Taluk)	Taluk Head accountant Madakasira	do.
N. Arcot.	Vellore	The wholesale arrack Depot at Kuppanur (Kangundi Division)	The arrack shops at Gallaladuganur Kavericuppam Agraharam (Kangundi Division)	Deputy Tahsildar Kuppanur.	do.
Salem.	Krishnagiri	The wholesale arrack depot at Hosur (Hosur Taluk)	The arrack shop at Madivalam	Taluk Head accountant Hosur.	do.
Coimbatore	Bhavani	The Nellikuppam & Coimbatore Distilleries & Erode wholesale depot	The wholesale depots at Kollapal via Erode & Satyamangalam	Distillery officers, and the Taluk Head accountant at Erode	do.
South Canara.	Udipi.	The renters' depot at Baindur.	The arrack shops at Hebbige, Bailge Kotesharu, Basgal, Hosali & Nittoor (Coondapur Taluk)	Sub. Inspector, Baindur Range.	do
Anantapur.	Punkonda	The wholesale depots at Dharmavaram	The rural farmers' depots at Amarapuram & Rolla, Madakasira	Taluk Head Accountant Dharmavaram.	do

61/3

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, dated 4th April 1899

No. 6110-11
285

Official Memorandum

Read:—

Docket No. 6811 dated 30th March 1899; from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, and Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Madras, No. 244, dated 8th August 1898, therewith received, in regard to the grant of general permits to cover the transport of Arrack from one British village to another across the Mysore territory, and vice versa.

1. Copy of the above correspondence is herewith forwarded to the Deputy Commissioners of the Kolar and Tumkur Districts in which exist at present the following shops to which arrack consignments are transported across British territory:—

Taluk	Name of Bonded Depôt from which transported	Names of shops to which transported and through what taluk in British territory.
Bowringpet	Betmangala	(1) Kurubur (2) Hospett through Palmaner taluk, North Arcot District.
Pavagada	Madadagiri	(1) Pavagada (2) Hoskote (3) Venkatapura (4) Nagalapura (5) Nagalwadike Jatra, through Madakasira taluk, Ananthpur District.

2. The arrack shop at Kondatimmanapally

referred to in the proceedings of the Board of Revenue has been closed. A separate Bon Depôt having been opened at Chamarajnagar arrack consignments to Mamballi shop in the Yelandur taluk are sent now from Chamarajnagar Depôt instead of from the T. Narsipur Depôt so that they do not pass through British territory.

3. The following procedure shall be observed in regard to transports of arrack from one Mysore village to another across British territory and vice versa:—

(1) The arrack consignments transported from any Bonded Depôt in the Mysore State to the retail shops in the same State across British territory shall be covered not only by the usual permits in the prescribed printed Form Excise A 49 duly signed by the Mutsaddy in charge of such Depôt but also by the general permits to be granted by the British Abkari Officers concerned.

(2) The licensees of the retail shops mentioned in para 1 above who have to transport arrack to their shops via British territory shall accordingly submit on or before the 20th of June of each year their applications for general permits to the Excise Supervisors of the Ranges in which the shops are situated for transmission to the British abkari Officers concerned for compliance.

(3) The aforesaid arrack consignments shall be duly sealed as usual with the Government seals supplied for the purpose to the Mutsaddys of the Betmangala and Maddagiri Depôts. The licensees of the shops shall not open the consignment

unless and until they are duly verified by the Government officials mentioned below:-

(a) The consignments of Kurubur and Hospet shall be verified by the Sotels thereof.

(b) The consignments of Pavagada shall be verified by the Excise Inspector, or in his absence by any Revenue official of the taluk.

(c) The consignments of Hoskote, Venkata-pura, Nagalpura and Nagalmadi-gatra shall be verified by the respective Sotels thereof.

(4) The Mutsaddys of the Depôts concerned shall forward specimens of their seals to the aforesaid verifying officials

(5) On receipt of applications from Madras Abkari officers for general permits on account of the Depot Keepers and shopkeepers in British territory who have to transport arrack through the Mysore State, the Mysore Excise Supervisors through whose Ranges the consignments have to pass, shall lose no time in issuing general permits in the prescribed form Excise A 49(a). The District Deputy Commissioner, as well as the Divisional Officer, will be so good as to see that no delay takes place in the issue of general permits. These general permits shall be for the maximum quantity of arrack likely to be removed at any one time and shall be current for the whole British official year.

The Deputy Commissioner of the district concerned will be so good as to

cw 6 & 53

to insert the following conditions in the licenses of the Depôt and Shop-Keepers concerned:—

Depôt Keeper's License R.

Add to condition 3 – "You shall not issue consignments of arrack which have to pass through British territory without duly sealing them with the government seal supplied to you for the purpose".

Shop Keeper's License C.

Add to condition 11. "You shall not open consignments of arrack received at your shop and which have been transported through British territory, unless and until they are verified by government officials nominated for the purpose.

S. Venkata Rangayya
For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
The Deputy Commissioners of
Kolar & Tumkur Districts

No 6112/1458 The Deputy Excise Commissioner

No 6113-18/286 Copy to other Deputy Commissioners for information and guidance.

No 6119-20/287 Copy to the Divisional officers Mysore and Chittaldroog for information and guidance.

6121-60/45 Copy to all Excise Supervisors

No. 7343 Ex. 271. 17/4/99

(62)

SECTION SR.
FILE NO. 37892
54

To
The First Asst to the Resid
in Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to return herewith the Copy of the Madras Board's Proceedings received with your letter No: 1344, of 23rd March 1899, containing instructions for regulating the transport of arrack from one British village to another across Mysore Territory and vice versa. I am also to forward for favour of transmission to the Madras Govt: 10 copies of instructions issued on the subject by the Excise Commr in Mysore.

I have &c

Redraft

15/4/99
for Secretary.